

13/14 14/15 15/16
 Filled Subtotal: \$656,000 \$671,250
 % Filtered: 5% 6% 11%
 NOTE: ACTIVE PROJECTS ARE -1

5/16 28

FILTER BASED ON ARE AND SPECIES TO GET A MANAGEABLE SUBSET

PARTIAL DATA ONLY

Species	Area	Project	Project Full Name	Project Category	Project Type	Indicator	Project Description	Active 2013/14 Cost	2014/15 Cost	2015/2016 Cost	2016/2017 Cost	Funding Source	Funding Program	Comment
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	8,000	28,000	0	DFO	Science	CWTI
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	1,000	0	0	DFO	Science	SEP
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	110,000	0	0	DFO	Science	CWTI
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	25,000	77,000	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	25,000	25,000	0	DFO	Science	CWTI
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	8,000	8,000	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	10,000	0	0	DFO	Science	CWTI
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	8,000	0	0	DFO	Science	ABASE
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	9,000	0	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	9,000	0	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	70,000	90,000	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	10,000	0	0	DFO	Science	CWTI
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	6,000	0	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	6,000	0	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	12,000	0	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	12,000	0	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	183,000	183,000	0	DFO	Science	ABASE
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	32,000	32,000	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	21,000	21,000	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	35,800	35,800	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	60,000	0	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	68,000	68,000	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	10,000	10,000	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast Chinook	North Coast	NC-105	Atlatko Chinook indicator program	Tagging	Indicator	Indicator	Incremental tagging CN indicator	-1	10,000	25,000	0	DFO	Science	PSC NF

① - when do projects start if not already done or cancelled?
 - Need confirmation of status: (shad total should add up to 1.5M)
 - Are there any Δ's in funding requirements @ this time - your current status?
 - Need to ENSURE expenditures DO NOT EXCEED annual budgets...

535
7

FILTER BASED ON ARE
AND SPECIES TO GET A
MANAGEABLE SUBSET

13/14	14/15	15/16
#####	#####	#####
Total:	\$138,310	\$181,000
Filtered Subtotal:	1%	3%
% Filtered:	1%	3%
NOTE		
ACTIVE		
PROJECTS		
ARE -1		
PARTIAL		
DATA		
ONLY		

Region	Species	Focus	Area	Project Label	Project Full Name	Project Category	Project Type	Indicator	Project Description	Active 2013/14	Cost	2014/15	Cost	2015/2016	Cost	2016/2017	Cost	Funding Sources	Program	Sources	Comment
North Coast	Chum	Chum	North Coast		Area 3 Wild Chum Assessment (Commercial fishery obolins)		Stock ID			-1	76,000	73,310	56,000	56,000	56,000			External	PSC	PSC NF	
North Coast	Chum	Chum	North Coast		Area 4 chum escapement enumeration		Escapement monitoring		sampling for mark rate to determine	-1	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000			DFO	SEP	SEP	Canadian sample costs 11k, us otolith analysis 45 K
North Coast	Chum	Chum	Central Coast		Area 6 commercial fishery sampling	Nass Area Core Project	Spawners		Mark-recapture and biosampling for	-1	17,000							DFO	SEP	SEP	
North Coast	Chum	Chum	Central Coast		Snoot Creek adult chum assessment	Nass Area Core Project	Spawners		Chum AUC escapement, and strea	-1	5,000							External	PSC	PSC NF	
North Coast	Chum	Chum	Nass		Chum Escapement Lower Nass and Coastal		Spawners		Fin clipping for enhanced contribut	-1	4,000			70,000				DFO	SEP	SEP	
North Coast	Chum	Chum	Central Coast		Snoot Creek chum marking	Nass Area Core Project	Spawners		Chum key stream escapement surve	-1	10,000	10,000						DFO	SEP	SEP	
North Coast	Chum	Chum	Nass		CHUM ESCAPEMENT SURVEYS	Nass Area Core Project	Spawners			-1									Science	PST	

13/14 14/15 15/16
 Total: ##### \$6,181,400
 Filtered Subtotal: \$295,500 \$317,300
 % Filtered: 3% 3% 5%

535
 15

FILTER BASED ON ARE
 AND SPECIES TO GET A
 MANAGEABLE SUBSET

NOTE
 ACTIVE
 PROJECTS
 ARE -1
 PARTIAL
 DATA
 ONLY

Region	Species Focus	Area	Project Label	Project Full Name	Project Category	Project Type	Indicator	Project Description	Active 2013/14 Cost	2014/15 Cost	2015/2016 Cost	2016/2017 Cost	Funding Sources General	Funding Sources Program	Funding Sources Comment
North Coast	Coho	North Coast	CC-033	CC COHO EXTENSIVE ABUNDANCE INDICATORS	Spawners	Spawners		Central Coast Escapement Surveys	-1	12,000	6,000	6,000	DFO	Science	PST
North Coast	Coho	North Coast	NC-066	Coho Escapement (Tlil River Weir, Area 1)	Spawners	Spawners		Coho escapement count, Camera, V	-1	10,000	9,000	9,000	DFO	Science	PST
North Coast	Coho	North Coast	NC-074	Coho Escapement Area 6	Spawners	Spawners		AUC Coho Escapement Estimates f	-1	33,000	15,000	15,000	DFO	Science	PST
North Coast	Coho	Nass	NC-068	Coho Escapement Lower Nass and Coastal	Spawners	Spawners		Coho AUC escapement and stream	-1	10,000	5,000	0	External	FN	Niagara
North Coast	Coho	Nass	NC-068	Coho Escapement Skeena high Interior (upper Skeena)	Spawners	Spawners		Coho AUC escapement and stream	-1	6,000	6,000	29,800	External	PSC	PSC NF
North Coast	Coho	North Coast	NC-069	Coho Hatchery Indicator (Toboggan)	Spawners	Spawners	Indicator	Adult coho escapement enumeration	-1	15,000	12,000	12,000	DFO	Science	PST
North Coast	Coho	North Coast	NC-049	Enhanced cwt distribution indicator	Multiple	Multiple	Indicator	Provides coho wild smolt and adult e	-1	100,000	100,000	100,000	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast	Coho	North Coast	NC-049	Coho Wild Indicator (Deena)	Multiple	Multiple	Indicator	Provides coho wild smolt and adult e	-1	100,000	100,000	100,000	DFO	Science	PSC NF
North Coast	Coho	North Coast	NC-057	Nass	Spawners	Spawners	Indicator	Kispix coho escapement	-1	108,000	7,000	7,000	DFO	Science	PST
North Coast	Coho	North Coast	NC-057	Toboggan Coho Hatchery Indicator - Wild	Juvenile	Juvenile	Indicator	Smolt marked/unmarked estimates, Tob	-1	15,000	10,000	10,000	DFO	Science	PST
North Coast	Coho	North Coast	NC-053	Toboggan CWT cost and application	Tagging	Tagging	Indicator	CWT purchase and application, Tob	-1	7,000	10,000	10,000	External	FN	Niagara
North Coast	Coho	Nass	NC-050	UPPER NASS COHO ASSESSMENT - ADULT	Spawners	Spawners	Indicator	Provides coho wild smolt and adult e	-1	100,000	100,000	100,000	External	FN	Niagara
North Coast	Coho	Nass	NC-050	ZOLZAP JUVENILE/ADULT & CWT PROGRAM	Multiple	Multiple	Indicator	Provides coho wild smolt and adult e	-1	100,000	100,000	100,000	External	FN	Niagara

	13/14	14/15	15/16
Total:		#####	\$6,181,400
Filtered Subtotal:		\$1,364,700	\$1,364,700
% Filtered:	7%	12%	22%

FILTER BASED ON ARE
AND SPECIES TO GET A
MANAGEABLE SUBSET

PROJECTS
ARE-1

[illegible]

535
1

FILTER BASED ON ARE
AND SPECIES TO GET A
MANAGEABLE SUBSET

13/14	14/15	15/16
Total:	#####	\$6,181,400
Filtered Subtotal:	\$12,000	\$12,000
% Filtered:	0%	0%

NOTE
ACTIVE
PROJECTS
ARE -1

PARTIAL
DATA
ONLY

Region	Species Focus	Area	Project Label	Project Full Name	Project Category	Project Type	Indicator	Project Description	Active 2013/14 Cost	2014/15 Cost	2015/2016 Cost	2016/2017 Cost	Funding Sources			Comment
													General	Program	PST	
North Coast	Pink	Nass		DFO aerial surveys		Spawners		In-season pink escapement	-1	12,000	12,000	12,000	DFO	Science	PST	

8/11/2016		MSC Assessment Report Conditions						
Condition #	Indicator	Stock Management Unit	Condition	Rationale	Page #	Score	Theme(s)	Potential Partners
1	1.1.1.	1	For chum salmon, within 10 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "The SMU is at or fluctuating around its TRP."	Failure of all but 2 chum SMUs to achieve the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "The SMU is at or fluctuating around its TRP."	103 and 269	75	Stock Assessment	DFO
2	1.2.4.	1	For all three species, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "The assessment of SMU status, including the choice of indicator populations and methods for evaluating wild salmon in enhanced fisheries is subject to peer review."	There is no report available providing assumptions, results and discussion that can subsequently be peer reviewed.	124 and 270	75	Stock Assessment	DFO
3	1.3.1.	1	For sockeye salmon, within 3 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "It is highly likely that the enhancement activities do not have significant negative impacts on the local adaptation, reproductive performance or productivity and diversity of wild stocks."	While some information was presented to the client, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "It is highly likely that the enhancement activities do not have significant negative impacts on the local adaptation, reproductive performance or productivity and diversity of wild stocks."	127 and 272	75	Enhancement, impact on productivity/diversity of wild stocks	DFO
4	1.3.3.	1	For chum salmon, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "Sufficient relevant qualitative and quantitative information is available on the contribution of enhanced fish to the fishery harvest, total escapement (wild plus enhanced) and hatchery broodstock."	While some information was presented, for the client, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "Sufficient relevant qualitative and quantitative information is available on the contribution of enhanced fish to the fishery harvest, total escapement (wild plus enhanced) and hatchery broodstock."	133 and 272	75	Enhancement	Industry and DFO
5	1.2.3	2	For chum salmon, within 3 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "Sufficient relevant information related to SMU structure, SMU production, fleet composition and other data is available to support the harvest strategy, including IPMP (DFO 2015d, p.108) or the four major harvest and spawning escapements for a stock unit described by the PSC Joint representative range of wild component populations."	Information supporting the CU structure of the client SMUs is insufficient. CU Management level of performance is met; i.e., that: Area, and Stat Area (DFO 2014g) do not readily transfer into the seven management designations as listed in the IPMP (DFO 2015d, p.108) or the four major harvest and spawning escapements for a stock unit described by the PSC Joint representative range of wild component populations."	152 and 273	75	Stock Assessment - WSP delineations	DFO
6	1.2.4	2	For chum salmon, within 3 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "The SMUs are well-defined and include definitions of the major populations with clear rationale for conservation, fishery management and stock assessment requirements."	There is lack of correspondence between the clear SMU definitions in the IPMP and the CU and SMU definitions (and thus the basis for Sgen calculations). The Assessment Team recognised that CUs for chum salmon may also be subdivided on a scale much finer than genetics would suggest in order to facilitate assessment, management, and conservation; however the specific description of SMUs, CUs, and escapement goals needs to be more clearly described and published.	159 and 274	75	Stock Assessment - WSP delineations	
7	1.3.1	2	For chum salmon, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "It is highly likely that the enhancement activities do not have significant negative impacts on the local adaptation, reproductive performance or productivity and diversity of wild stocks."	The Assessment Team was unable to access pg 161 and reports that provide the marking or tagging 275 assessments needed to evaluate the actual production of hatchery fish to the hatchery rack or hatchery fish straying into streams. Hatchery releases are detailed on the DFO website; recovery of hatchery fish is not. A report that evaluates the impacts of enhancement activities on the local adaptation, reproductive performance and productivity and diversity of wild stocks needs to be clearly described and published.	164 and 276	75	Enhancement	DFO
8	1.3.2.	2	For pink salmon and chum salmon, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "There is some objective basis for confidence that the strategy is effective, based on evidence that the strategy is achieving the outcome metrics used to define the minimum detrimental impacts."	The Assessment Team could not access reports that provide objective basis for confidence that the strategy is effective, based on evidence that the strategy is achieving the outcome metrics used to define the minimum detrimental impacts in order to meet the SGR0. Detailed reporting of broodstock collection process, pHOS numbers, hatchery marks and recoveries, straying, and other assessments is needed to provide some objective basis for confidence that the strategy is effective, based on evidence that the strategy is achieving the outcome metrics used to define the minimum detrimental impacts in order to meet the SGR0.	166 and 278	75	Enhancement	Industry and DFO
9	1.3.3.	2	For pink salmon and chum salmon, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "Sufficient relevant qualitative and quantitative information is available on the contribution of enhanced fish to the fishery harvest, total escapement (wild plus enhanced) and hatchery broodstock."	Both pink salmon and chum salmon meet the SGR0 because some relevant information is available on the contribution of enhanced fish to the fishery harvest, total escapement (wild plus enhanced), and hatchery broodstock. However, information provided to the Assessment Team is not sufficient to evaluate the overall contribution of enhanced adult pink salmon and chum salmon to UoA 2 fisheries and to the hatchery broodstock.	167 and 279	65	Enhancement	DFO
10	1.3.3.	2	For all three species, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "A moderate-level analysis of relevant information is conducted and used by decision makers to quantitatively estimate the impact of enhancement activities on wild-stock status, productivity, and diversity."	The Assessment Team could not identify documentation that a moderate-level analysis of relevant information is conducted and used by decision makers to quantitatively estimate the impact of enhancement activities on wild-stock status, productivity, and diversity.	189 and 280	75	Stock Assessment	DFO
11	1.2.4	3	For sockeye salmon and chum salmon, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "The assessment of SMU status, including the choice of indicator populations and methods for evaluating wild salmon in enhanced fisheries is subject to peer review."	The specific methods used to develop biological benchmarks for the Area 23 sockeye salmon have not been peer-reviewed. Information on the UoA 3 chum salmon stock status and fishery impacts is not consolidated and presented in a single document, nor has there been a recent peer review.	193 and 281	75	Enhancement	DFO
12	1.3.1	3	For chum salmon, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met; i.e., that: "It is highly likely that the enhancement activities do not have significant negative impacts on the local adaptation, reproductive performance or productivity and diversity of wild stocks."	The Assessment Team could not conclude that it is highly likely that the enhancement activities of chum salmon do not have significant negative impacts on the local adaptation, reproductive performance or productivity and diversity of wild stocks. While there are estimates of pHOB and pHOS from Nitinat, there are no such estimates from Conoma.				

13 1.3.3.	3	<p>To-date, there has been no systematic client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met, i.e. that "Sufficient relevant qualitative and quantitative information is available on the contribution of enhanced fish to the fishery harvest, total escapement (wild plus enhanced) and hatchery broodstock."</p>	197 and 282	75 Stock Assessment/ Enhancement	Industry and DFO
14 2.1.1.	2	<p>For steelhead, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met, i.e. that "Main primary species are highly likely to be above the PRL OR, if the species is below the PRL, there is either evidence of fluctuating around the lower extreme recovery or a demonstrably effective strategy in place between all MSC UoAs which categorize this species as main, to ensure that they collectively do not hinder recovery and rebuilding."</p>	199 and 283	75 Stock Assessment - Province?	Province
15 2.1.2.	All 3	<p>Within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met, i.e. that "There is some evidence that the measure/part strategy is being implemented successfully."</p>	205 and 284	75 Fisheries Management	DFO
16 2.3.1.	1 and 2	<p>For sturgeon species, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met, i.e. that "Direct effects of the UoA including enhancement activities are highly likely to not hinder recovery of ETP species."</p>	220 and 285	UOA 1 - 75 Stock Assessment - Province; SARA; Education UOA 2 - 70	Province
17 2.3.1.	All 3	<p>For marine mammal species, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met, i.e. that "Direct effects of the UoA including enhancement activities are highly likely to not hinder recovery of ETP species."</p>	220 and 286	UOA 1 - 75 Fisheries Management; Education UOA 2 - 70 UOA 3 - 75	DFO and Industry
18 2.3.1.	All 3	<p>For bird species, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met, i.e. that "Direct effects of the UoA including enhancement activities are highly likely to not hinder recovery of ETP species."</p>	227 and 288	75 Fisheries Management	DFO
19 2.3.2.	All 3	<p>For ETP species, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met, i.e. that "There is a regular review of the potential effectiveness and practicality of alternative ETP species) is undertaken regularly in their measures to minimise UoA and production. enhancement related mortality of ETP species and they are implemented as appropriate"</p>	229 and 290	UOA 1 - 75 Fisheries Management; Education UOA 2 - 70 UOA 3 - 75	DFO and Industry
20 2.3.3.	All 3	<p>For ETP species, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met, i.e. that "Some quantitative information is adequate to assess the UoA related mortality and impact and to determine whether the UoA and associated enhancement may be a (LGL 2006) and information on release rates for seabirds and marine mammals (DFO, pers. comm.) are adequate to estimate the impact of the UoAs on ETP species, so meeting the SGR0 level of performance. The SGR0 level of performance is not achieved</p>	230 and 291	75 Fisheries Management; Reporting	Industry
21 2.4.3	All 3	<p>For main habitats, within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGR0 level of performance is met, i.e. that "Information is adequate to allow for identification of the main impacts of the UoA and enhancement activities on the main habitats, and there is reliable information on the spatial extent of interaction and on the timing and location of use of the fishing gear"</p>			

		There was evidence that the enhancement	239 and 292	70 Enhancement	DFO
		Within 4 years, the client shall demonstrate that the SGRD level of programme is reviewed through the SEP performance is met, i.e. that: "The fishery specific and associated enhancement Assessment Team was not provided with program(s) management system is evidence that the enhancement subject to regular internal and occasional external review."			
22 3.2.4.	All 3				

2016-17 Starting Point - Anticipated Allocation of Projects funds

2015-16 Actual	\$ for projects (all in O&M)				
	Core	SAFE	N Coast	S Coast	total
Admin	44,992		91,864	85,635	400,242
2015/16 project \$ excl admin - CORE & SAFE	864,050	170,610			1,034,660
2015/16 project \$ excl admin - AREAS		579,473	679,617	1,692,474	3,216,523
2015/16 project \$ incl admin	909,042	170,610	671,337	765,452	4,651,424
reduce 400K FR SX				-400,000	-400,000

note Core deferred staffing to address anticipated safety net in 2016 - that S&W will be renewed this year

2016-17 Planning					
reallocation of FR SX pressure	83,195	18,027	61,425	72,142	137,109
reallocation Late Fee (area specific)	990		228	166	28,102
reallocation CSPS (area specific)	2,136	108	1,225	2,298	1,013
2015/16 alloc (incl admin) + restored 412K	955,363	188,744	734,215	840,058	4,227
assume 20% reduction	796,291	150,995	587,372	672,046	1,237,972
					286,376
					3,731,052

restored funds for FR SX pressure + late fees + CSPS (CSPS will be taxed again this year)

2016/17 starting point

? 20% reduction

2015/16 reductions					
reductions FR SX	-83,195	-18,027	-61,425	-72,142	-28,102
reductions FR SX + late fee + CSPS	-86,321	-18,135	-62,878	-74,606	-28,115
reduction for remain shortfall reallocation	-28,908	-6,264	-21,344	-25,067	-9,765
Area Reductions, excl OBF	-115,229	-24,396	-84,222	-99,674	-38,879
					-551,380

Masson, Colin

From: Davies, Sandra
Sent: Tuesday, August 9, 2016 7:49 PM
To: Potyrala, Mark; Grout, Jeff; Johnston, Cynthia; Kimura-Miller, Karen
Cc: Masson, Colin
Subject: Fw: Gitanyow Economic Fishery (GEDF) - 2016
Attachments: GEDF Plan_2016_Final_dated_August 8_2016.doc

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

s.19(1)
s.20(1)(b)

We need to come up with a response to this email and request for a demo fishery for the Gitanyow.

While the Nass sockeye forecast is creeping up, it seems that the Meziadin forecast is still low.

We should chat with the Nisga'a tomorrow regarding their intentions, if any, to have further sockeye harvests and/or demo fishery

Any idea where the response letter is?

Sandra

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, August 8, 2016 12:09 PM
To: Davies, Sandra
Cc: Masson, Colin; Reid, Rebecca; Johnston, Cynthia; Grout, Jeff; [REDACTED] Kimura-Miller, Karen; Potyrala, Mark
Subject: Gitanyow Economic Fishery (GEDF) - 2016

Sandra,

It is now Aug. 8th and the department has still not provided us a response on our proposal for a new and improved economic fishery for Gitanyow on the Nass River, despite our numerous requests. Because the window to implement the Gitanyow economic fishery is now closing very fast, it is with great regret that the Gitanyow Chiefs have decided to withdraw their 2016 GEDF proposal for this year and fish their ATP / PICFI allocation under the existing demonstration fishery guidelines which have been in place for the last number of years for the fishery. We have updated our demonstration fishing plan to reflect these changes and it is attached to this email for your review. Please approve it ASAP, the Gitanyow plan on catching their allocation later this week while the sockeye are in high enough densities at the fishing site to enable the fishery to proceed. We understand that the department has put aside 37 GN licences and 9 SN licences for the Gitanyow economic fishery and that the total Nass sockeye harvest to date [REDACTED] Please process our request ASAP and provide us the Aboriginal Communal Fishing Licence for the demonstration fishery without delay so that the paper work is in place for when the fishery starts.

[REDACTED]
Gitanyow Hereditary Chiefs / Gitanyow Fisheries Authority
4581 4th Ave., P.O. Box 397,
Kitwanga, BC V0J 2A0
Tel: (250) 849-5373 ext: [REDACTED] Fax: (250) 849-5375
[REDACTED]

Websites: <<http://www.gitanyowfisheries.com/>> <<http://www.gitanyowchiefs.com/homepage>>

- There are 2 linked STAD programs in Area 6 which are no longer affordable from the NC ~~for~~ allocations.
One focuses on completing salmon enumeration.

in 2007 - Area 6 - \$ 218 K. ⁴⁶⁵ - Exp. Rate index stock for Cho. (Whale)
- ~~43~~ ⁴³ - Ac. Surveys 33K - CO/SX } Stad.
- " 10K - CN - }

2012 - \$ 43K - risk mng 2K in response to Haida
2015
2016 - \$ 0 - offer in sea
risk mng.

-> Note: in 2007 the total Stad (WFO \$ only) budget was
\$ 1,268,000
in 2016 - it is \$ 556 K. (OAM)
in 2012 - " was \$ 978 K.

Masson, Colin

From: Saunders, Mark
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2016 8:44 AM
To: Tompkins, Arlene; Masson, Colin
Subject: FW: Salmon Stock Assessment - Area 6 in 2016
Attachments: CapacityVinettes_Fish_Final.docx

Hi Arlene and Colin,

Rebecca has asked for a vignette on this as per below. Short deadline This afternoon. I don't know where we are on this project. The vignettes are basically descriptions of scenarios that highlight how loss of resources over the past decade or more have reduced our core capacity and the impact this is having.

I can assist writing but will need some more details regarding the specific program and our plans for it this year.

Mark

From: Lowe, Carmel
Sent: August-11-16 8:05 AM
To: Saunders, Mark
Subject: FW: Salmon Stock Assessment - Area 6 in 2016

Mark

Rebecca has requested that we turn the information below into a vignette and include context which explains "our perspective of what we have reduced. Is the FN doing work that we consider "extra"? Or are they covering off our critical core business? That sort of thing."

Can you or one of your staff draft such a vignette. She has requested it for early this aft
Thanks

Carmel

Carmel Lowe, Ph D
Regional Director Science | Directrice régionale des sciences
Fisheries and Oceans Canada | Pêches et Océans Canada
Pacific Biological Station | Station biologique du Pacifique
3190 Hammond Bay Rd, Nanaimo, BC, Canada V9T 6N7

Carmel.Lowe@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Telephone | Téléphone 250-756-7177
Facsimile | Télécopieur 250-729-8360
Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada

From: fisheries [<mailto:fisheries@haisla.ca>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2016 8:58 PM
To: Masson, Colin <Colin.Masson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>

Cc: Reid, Rebecca <Rebecca.Reid@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Cox-Rogers, Steven <Steven.CoxRogers@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Anthony, Melanie <Melanie.Anthony@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Kimura-Miller, Karen <Karen.Miller@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Martens, Corey <Corey.Martens@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>

Subject: Salmon Stock Assessment - Area 6 in 2016

s.19(1)

Hello Colin,

[redacted] and I just completed our first aerial salmon counts on 7 watersheds within DFO Statistical Area 6. As in past years, the Haisla First Nation is committed to contributing to the regional stock assessment program and has paid for this first flight. Can you tell me what DFO is providing toward aerial salmon counts in Area 6 in 2016?

While we are encouraged by the Fisheries Minister's recent commitment to improved funding for Pacific salmon, the Haisla Fisheries Commission (HFC) is once again unsure if DFO is committed to helping to maintain the 4 aerial salmon counts typically conducted annually on Haisla streams. In 2015, the HFC assumed 90% of the costs for aerial counts formerly performed and funded by DFO. We are hoping that the Minister's announcement provides us with an opportunity to responsibly reinstate funding to the streams in our area.

As you are aware, Area 6 is supporting gill-net, seine and expanded troll fisheries this year. Alarming, these fisheries have been executed at the same time as budget cuts have been made to DFO stock assessment programs in our area. While we acknowledge that the operational constraints imposed on the DFO by the former Conservative Government of Canada are largely responsible for this loss, the Haisla community holds-out hope for a return to a more accountable and sustainable approach to resource management.

As resource managers we recognize the importance of this database that DFO has established over time. Hence, we have serious concerns that it stands to be compromised by a lack of commitment by DFO to maintain these counts.

The HFC is certainly willing to share costs wherever possible on this important work, but the Haisla need to know that this is a collaborative effort in "co-management" and not a relationship that sees the Haisla give and give while Canada only takes. We are hopeful that this trend will change. As our first aerial survey is now over, will DFO Stock Assessment provide help with the subsequent flights this season?

With respect,

[redacted] - Haisla Fisheries Commission

- There are 2 ~~are~~ linked STAP programs in Area 6 which are no longer affordable from the WC budget -*
- In recent years the NCA STAP has been limited in ability to support this work.*
- Historically, B.C. covered \$ for an assessment program which consisted of:*
- and required approx \$ in funding*
- Link to sequential budget limitation/reductions over past 5-10 yrs:*
- 3 yr - impact statement →*
- (→ check w/ Arlene)*

Erosion of capacity to conduct Fisheries Stock Assessment

Central to fish conservation and sustainable fisheries is the provision of scientific advice on the status of stocks. Stock assessment requires the capacity to both monitor the abundance and productivity of populations in the field and to conduct analytical assessments including forecasts. Over the past decade and more, base budgets have been eroded by a combination of targeted reductions, loss of Laroque partnership funding, corporate taxes and inflation. As a result many fisheries in the Pacific Region do not have adequate resources to conduct stock assessments that meet the needs of the current and proposed management regimes. This compromises fish conservation as well as social and economic outcomes. Without adequate monitoring and advice, the Marine Stewardship Council Certification critical to maintaining market access is at risk for a number of fisheries. As well the Department is challenged to meet its obligations to First Nations [REDACTED] While the recent investment of \$40 M annually in science nationally will help, it is mainly targeted on increasing the analytical capacity while monitoring of stocks and fisheries monitoring continues to decline. Some examples include:

Salmon Monitoring

[REDACTED]

In 1999 salmon assessment budgets were *supplemented* by \$8.8M dollars under the PST. The total salmon operating budget total has declined to \$3.7M and has relied on additional and uncertain temporary external funding to augment current funding. The Department is no longer counting returns of Pink Salmon and limited stocks of Chum Salmon in southern BC and has implemented low-risk management approaches that protect stocks but at a low- rate of harvest and economic return. Chinook and coho management rely on key indicator stocks to assess abundance and there is only one wild coho indicator remaining in the South Coast and there are no indicators for some Fraser Chinook stocks that the current fishery relies upon. Marine Stewardship Council Certification for Sockeye, Pink, and Chum and ability to deliver rights- based FSC fisheries is at risk.

The salmon recreational fishery is worth over \$200M annually and provides 3500 full time jobs in BC with over 300,000 licence holders participating in salt water fisheries. The fishery is open for 12 months of the year, [REDACTED]

The recreational sector represented by the Sportfish [REDACTED]

North Coast STAD 2016 Budget Reduction Impact Statement Aug. 12, 2016

As requested North Coast staff have prepared a budget based on the most recent planned staffing, and a projected O&M budget of 565 K. The required reductions are approximately 200 k including the STAD O&M reduction of approximately 100K and a 100 K reduction from 2015 when all indeterminate planned staffing was cancelled to reduce an indicated deficit. Meeting this budget reduction will require cancelling all of the remaining North Coast assessment projects funded through STAD. Even with these measures meeting the budget will require reducing planned indeterminate staffing and back filling and underfilling indeterminate positions with determinate program staffing.

The implications are significant and project specific impacts are summarised in the attached table. The reductions essentially eliminate all STAD escapement projects, as these are the only remaining projects.

This will significantly affect our ability to assess salmon stocks and would be expected to prompt widespread public expressions of concern. Some of these projects begin this week and have not yet been cancelled. Costs will be incurred weekly until a decision is provided.

Impacts would be far greater without the 2016 Northern Fund sponsoring 250K in projects that were previously funded through STAD. (Not including regional chinook programs). This funding is not assured for future years. As an example the Kwinamass Khutzamateen chinook escapement surveys will not be done this year, but are not included as a STAD program reduction as they were dropped in 2015 and funded by the northern fund in 2015. Funding was not available for 2016.

- Although there is N. Fund \$ there are no staff to do this. Corey directed not to do this.
- The partnering with FM is jeopardized.
- Manage accordingly to meet reductions - we have to live with budget.
- Develop communication pieces
- continue to look @ mitigation measures

Area/Program NC STAD 5G500/BL 810

Operating Non-Salary

s.21(1)(b)

RC & Description	Proposed Budget 2016 2107	O&M Component	Sal \$ Component	Comments	Reductions	Impacts
Coordination, Travel & Pgm Support	40 0	40 0		Eliminate travel out of area	10 0	Reduced participation in regional FN, and commercial consultations
Ocean Biosampling	60 0	20 0	CM ³ 40 0	Low sample rate in Area 4, early determinate layoffs. Transfer 10 K to chum SPA	25 0	Savings from lower sample requirements in 2016, reduced OT and earlier layoffs than planned staffing - SOME SAVINGS
Coordination, Travel & Pgm Support	30 0	30 0		Eliminate travel out of area	5 0	Reduced participation in Vancouver consultations, and Rupert post-season
Owikenno Sockeye Escapement Surveys	0 0	0 0	0 0	No sockeye escapement surveys	60 0	No sockeye escapement surveys for Owikenno Lake sockeye. Appears to be large return year. Will limit ability to assess status and plan future fisheries. Project part of calibration of the Rick Hanson-LGL sockeye Didson escapement estimates
Chinook Escapement Surveys Upper Skeena	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded chinook surveys	15 0	No escapement surveys for upper Skeena chinook. Mince chinook abundance very sensitive Wet'suwet'en issue. Stock specific estimates are provided through the Northern Fund Skeena Test Fishery DNA analysis
CWT Purchase Kalum chinook ER Indicator	0 0	0 0		One time savings from regional tags	21 0	Kalium ER tags provided from region for 2016, not necessarily for future years
Coho Escapement surveys Central Coast	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded coho surveys	8 0	No Bella Coola area coho escapement estimates. Essentially no remaining coho assessments. No ability to assess harvests impacts, including new troll pilot fishery
Coho Escapement surveys Area 6	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded coho surveys	11 0	No coho escapement estimates in Area 6. Highly sensitive issue with Haisla FN. Reduces chum MSC certification, or pink recertification prospects. Highly sensitive oil and gas project area
Sockeye Escapement surveys Upper Skeena	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded sockeye surveys	25 0	No DFO sockeye escapements upper Skeena. Status of Babine River wild stock is highly sensitive FN issue. With significantly reduced MSC sockeye recertification prospects for Skeena sockeye
Sockeye Escapement surveys Area 6	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded sockeye surveys	10 0	No DFO sockeye escapements in Area 6. Status of sockeye wild stock is highly sensitive FN issue. With significantly reduced MSC pink recertification prospects and reduce the potential for chum certification
Coho Escapement surveys Lower Skeena	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded coho surveys	8 0	No coho escapement surveys lower Skeena. Highly sensitive issue in the management of troll and recreational fisheries
Total All Projects	130 0	90 0	40 0		198 0	

TOTAL ↑ to \$1.6 M REQUIREMENT. - Some originally agreed to come 345


- RISK MNB \$438 (637K to Aug 15) →

Erosion of Capacity to Conduct Salmon Stock Assessment

Central to fish conservation and sustainable fisheries is the provision of scientific advice on the status of stocks. Stock assessment requires the capacity to both monitor the abundance and productivity of populations in the field and to conduct analytical assessments including forecasts. Over the past decade and more, base budgets have been eroded by a combination of targeted reductions, loss of Laroque partnership funding, corporate taxes and inflation (Table 1). As a result many fisheries in the Pacific Region do not have adequate resources to conduct stock assessments that meet the needs of the current and proposed management regimes. This compromises fish conservation as well as social and economic outcomes. Without adequate monitoring and advice, the Marine Stewardship Council Certification critical to maintaining market access is at risk for a number of fisheries. As well the Department is challenged to meet its obligations to First Nations [REDACTED] While the recent investment of \$40 M annually in science nationally will help, it is mainly targeted on increasing the analytical capacity while monitoring of stocks and fisheries monitoring continues to decline. Some examples include:

Table 1. Regional Science Salmon Assessment operational budgets 2007-2016 by Area.

Year	Total	Salmon Core	Yukon	Fraser	North Coast	South Coast
2007	8,161,100	1,188,915	822,732	2,734,294	1,589,973	1,825,186
2012	5,456,104	862,474	493,918	1,733,638	1,033,663	1,171,811
2016	3,765,651	911,992	291,561	1,223,460	589,060	669,577



In 1999 salmon assessment budgets were supplemented by \$8.8M dollars under the PST. The total salmon operating budget has declined to \$3.8M and has relied on additional and uncertain temporary external funding to augment current funding. Given 2016 budget pressures DFO will not be able to fund its portion of projects delivered with First Nations Partners.

Examples:

In Area 6 there are three linked assessment programs which are no longer affordable: 1) coho and sockeye escapement surveys (\$33K); 2) Chinook escapement surveys (~\$10K); and 3)

development of an exploitation rate indicator for coho by completing an indicator stock assessment program (~\$165K). DFO provided this funding level through 2010/11 when the coho indicator stock project was dropped. In 2015 no funds were available for these projects, however, in response to the Haisla FN in-season request for some supporting /matching funds for the coho and sockeye escapement work , the North Coast Area risk-managed \$11K. This was eventually covered by delaying planned staffing.

In the South Coast, the last wild coho exploitation rate indicator, Black Creek, has been at risk of cancellation for the past five years. The department has relied on partnership funding from the Atlegay and Campbell River First Nations (50% of the program costs) to maintain this indicator. DFO funding will not be available in 2016 to maintain this program. Other examples of programs delivered in partnership with First Nations that are currently unfunded in 2016 include: the Cowichan River Chinook enumeration fence, the Birkenhead Sockeye enumeration fence, Late Stuart Sockeye enumeration, and continued development of the Summer 5.2 Chinook indicator at Chilko.

The Department is no longer counting returns of Pink Salmon and limited stocks of Chum Salmon in southern BC and has implemented low-risk management approaches that protect stocks but at a low-rate of harvest and economic return. Marine Stewardship Council Certification for Sockeye, Pink, and Chum and ability to deliver rights- based FSC fisheries is at risk. Chinook and coho management relies on key indicator stocks to assess abundance. In southern BC, only Interior Fraser coho stocks are monitored and there are no indicators for some Fraser Chinook stocks that the current fishery relies upon.

s.21(1)(b)

The salmon recreational fishery is worth over \$200M annually and provides 3500 full time jobs in BC with over 300,000 licence holders participating in salt water fisheries. The fishery is open for 12 months of the year.

[REDACTED] the rest of year and subareas in the strait are unmonitored. The recreational sector represented by the Sport Fish Advisory Board has recommended increasing licence fees to provide additional resources to the Department to more adequately monitor the fishery but the Department remains unable to provide a mechanism to do so.

With the loss of Larøque partnership funding and low stock sizes, in-season test fisheries, critical to managing salmon fisheries operate at a deficit and have exhausted a fund held to cover years of low abundance. Other programs will be eroded to continue this activity.

Masson, Colin

From: Tompkins, Arlene
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2016 10:01 AM
To: Masson, Colin
Subject: RE: Salmon Stock Assessment - Area 6 in 2016
Attachments: NC ProjectInventory_2016.xls

Colin

Thanks for your response on SACC project cancellations & impacts this year. I don't have a good understanding what projects have been maintained by science or external funds. So next step is to update the SACC project database for 2016/17. Is there someone else in NC I should be working with?

This should not take long given recent efforts. Need to update / confirm 5 columns in the attached file, sheet titled - Data:

- 1) **Active** - if the project is ongoing -1, otherwise blank
- 2) **2016/17 Costs** - funding level - 0 if cancelled
- 3) 3 columns identifying funding source - **general** (internal / external; **program** (science / PSC/ etc), **source** - (abase, pst, etc)

If the funding source has changed **DO NOT** update the source in the existing record, rather add a new row - copy all the information & update the funding source.

If a brand new project, add a row & complete details - note NEW in Project ID column

Don't worry about updating the MU / SU/ CU columns on the right - we will complete

If you have the info in some other form or spreadsheet I can work with that. Happy to assist, so give me a shout.

Thanks Arlene

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: August-12-16 4:49 PM
To: Saunders, Mark; Tompkins, Arlene
Cc: Lowe, Carmel; Reid, Rebecca
Subject: RE: Salmon Stock Assessment - Area 6 in 2016

s.19(1)

Mark and Arlene:

As I previously noted, I have spent a considerable amount of time sorting out projects and funding and options to address revised/reduced allocations. I had a series of questions and issues requiring clarification and was able to benefit from a couple of days of [REDACTED] time (- thank you for making it available) and by working with him sorted out many of the questions and some of my mis-understanding.

Attached I have a summary sheet noting the projects/activities we need to eliminate or reduce ; together with a summary impact statement.

I am continuing to work with NC STAD staff and have a meeting scheduled for Monday morning to further review current projects and those to be amended or eliminated. This is also helping us get closer to a clear set of projects/activities assigned to each biologist together with a confirmed budget allocation making it easier to assign accountability and track status. More details will follow as we proceed.

Regardless, you will note, the implications are significant as you already know and appreciate.

Colin M

From: Saunders, Mark
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2016 4:47 PM
To: Reid, Rebecca <Rebecca.Reid@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Cc: Lowe, Carmel <Carmel.Lowe@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>, Tompkins, Arlene <Arlene.Tompkins@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>, Masson, Colin <Colin.Masson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: RE: Salmon Stock Assessment - Area 6 in 2016

Hi Rebecca,

Apologies for not having this to you sooner. Took us a while to assemble the facts. We revised an earlier vignette and provided more broad detail on salmon. We literally have dozens of examples to choose from if you need more. It is bit long so let me know if you want me to shorten and tighten up a bit. Given the time I thought it best to get it to you.

Mark

From: Lowe, Carmel
Sent: August-11-16 8:05 AM
To: Saunders, Mark
Subject: FW: Salmon Stock Assessment - Area 6 in 2016

Mark

Rebecca has requested that we turn the information below into a vignette and include context which explains "our perspective of what we have reduced. Is the FN doing work that we consider "extra"? Or are they covering off our critical core business? That sort of thing."

Can you or one of your staff draft such a vignette. She has requested it for early this aft.

Thanks

Carmel

Carmel Lowe, Ph.D.
Regional Director Science | Directrice régionale des sciences
Fisheries and Oceans Canada | Pêches et Océans Canada
Pacific Biological Station | Station biologique du Pacifique
3190 Hammond Bay Rd, Nanaimo, BC, Canada V9T 6N7

Carmel.Lowe@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Telephone | Téléphone 250-756-7177
Facsimile | Télécopieur 250-729-8360
Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada

Area/Program NC STAD 5G500/ BL 810

Operating Non-Salary

s.21(1)(b)

RC & Description	Proposed Budget 2016 2107	O&M Component	Sal's Component	Comments	Reductions	Impacts
Coordination, Travel & Pgm Support	40 0	40 0		Eliminate travel out of area	10 0	Reduced participation in regional FN, and commercial consultations
Ocean Biosampling	60 0	20 0	40 0	Low sample rate in Area 4, early determinate layoffs Transfer 10 K to chum SPA	25 0	Savings from lower sample requirements in 2016, reduced OT and earlier layoffs than planned staffing
Coordination, Travel & Pgm Support	30 0	30 0		Eliminate travel out of area	5 0	Reduced participation in Vancouver consultations, and Rupert post-season
Owikeno Sockeye Escapement Surveys	0 0	0 0	0 0	No sockeye escapement surveys	60 0	No sockeye escapement surveys for Owikeno Lake sockeye Appears to be large return year Will limit ability to assess status and plan future fisheries Project part of calibration of the Rick Hanson-LGL sockeye Didson escapement estimates
Chinook Escapement Surveys Upper Skeena	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded chinook surveys	15 0	No escapement surveys for upper Skeena chinook Morice chinook abundance very sensitive Wet'suwet'en issue Stock specific estimates are provided through the Northern Fund Skeena Test Fishery DNA analysis
CWT Purchase Kalum chinook ER Indicator	0 0	0 0		One time savings from regional tags	21 0	Kalum ER tags provided from region for 2016, not necessarily for future years
Coho Escapement surveys Central Coast	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded coho surveys	8 0	No Bella Coola area coho escapement estimates Essentially no remaining coho assessments No ability to assess harvests impacts, including new troll pilot fishery
Coho Escapement surveys Area 6	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded coho surveys	11 0	No coho escapement estimates in Area 6 Highly sensitive issue with Haisla FN Reduces chum MSC certification, or pink recertification prospects Highly sensitive oil and gas project area
Sockeye Escapement surveys Upper Skeena	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded sockeye surveys	25 0	No DFO sockeye escapements upper Skeena Status of Babine River wild stock is highly sensitive FN issue With significantly reduce MSC/sockeye recertification prospects for Skeena sockeye
Sockeye Escapement surveys Area 6	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded sockeye surveys	10 0	No DFO sockeye escapements in Area Status of sockeye wild stock is highly sensitive FN issue With significantly reduce MSC pink recertification prospects and reduce the potential for chum certification
Coho Escapement surveys Lower Skeena	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded coho surveys	8 0	No coho escapement surveys lower Skeena Highly sensitive issue in the management of troll and recreational fisheries
Total All Projects	130 0	90 0	40 0		198 0	

STAD DISCUSSION : Mon. Aug 15 / 16.

- follow-up on Ocean Biorambling - Mike J -- Cindy to work together =
- breakfast - details for admin & travel/prog support
 - check what this covers.
 - develop a specific plan - Cindy - to work -

- CN exc. : ~ already cut also flights
~ WSP issue ~

BF * Need to transition by NOT staffing some of the key positions. *

- CN Exc. surveys : - 2 projects : Type Test DNA analysis - given small sample and low pop. size \Rightarrow the ext will be poor & highly variable \Rightarrow the CN aerial survey has even $>$ importance.

- if we don't verify site counts

- Six surveys - Skeena - consistent oversight of small streams : provide value
- can Detachment do/come this

check \rightarrow need to confirm what \$ is transferred to F.M. for escapement work
(some may need to be pulled back : For example the 6 days of time for Gray to do visual exc surveys).

- STAD: Budget Discussion

- Regional + National tax
- salary and costs are going up every year.

- Endowment Funds

\$4.7 M

- 2.5 M to FW & partners

- close to 8M to support salmon st assessment

s.21(1)(b)

- its hugely time and administrative burden

RR - do believe pre-season planning is critical

CA - recognize that planning is useful but there are fundamental issues

MS - have done a lot of this - but he would end up in same place

R.R. OK but front end work is critical

Masson, Colin

Subject: Salmon Stock Assessment Shortfall
Location: Teleconference

Start: Tue 8/16/2016 3:00 PM
End: Tue 8/16/2016 4:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Organizer: Lowe, Carmel
Required Attendees: Reid, Rebecca; Saunders, Mark; Tompkins, Arlene; Thomson, Andrew; Nener, Jennifer; Brown, Laura (Pacific); Gotch, Steve; Bate, Angela; Masson, Colin; Grout, Jeff; Atwal, Randy; Fair, Brenda

Conference Details
Dial: 1-877-413-4790
ID: [REDACTED]

s.16(2)

s.19(1)

From: Lowe, Carmel
Sent: August 10, 2016 4:59 PM
To: Reid, Rebecca; Saunders, Mark; Tompkins, Arlene; Thomson, Andrew; Nener, Jennifer; Brown, Laura (Pacific); Gotch, Steve; Bate, Angela; Masson, Colin; Grout, Jeff; Atwal, Randy; Fair, Brenda
Cc: Brautigam, Michelle; Okahori, Karen
Subject: Salmon Stock Assessment Shortfall

Rebecca et al.,

Just a head's up that I am requesting Michelle schedule a 1 hour meeting for us to discuss the ~\$1.5M salmon stock assessment shortfall for 2016-17 at the earliest possible opportunity. To set the stage for the discussion:

- [REDACTED] acting Div. Man & RDS approved a number of unfunded and very time sensitive priority activities on the shortfall list (see attached) to be initiated. When complete these activities will result in an unfunded liability for Pacific Science of some \$354K. Given the current status of the our budget Pacific Science is not in a position to assume any additional financial risk at this time.
- I have discussed the situation with Randy who has confirmed that the Region is not in a position to offer additional support or assume additional financial risk at this time, but is willing to revisit this when the 5 month financial review is complete. Unfortunately, this timeframe is too late for all but a couple of the outstanding activities on the shortfall list to be undertaken should additional funding be identified.
- A request has been submitted to Science NHQ for additional financial support – and whereas a meeting to discuss the request is being scheduled for sometime next week, it is highly unlikely that additional funding support would be confirmed in that meeting or in the immediate days following.

- The potential that the Endowment Funds will support some of the identified shortfall activities is acknowledged – but this too will not be confirmed in a timeframe that will allow time-sensitive unapproved activities to advance.
- It is recognized that as this is a low return year for sockeye, full funding for some activities may not be required - but as I understand it, current information does not permit a refinement of the costs at this time.

Given these realities and uncertainties, I will be seeking your input on an immediate path forward on the unapproved activities identified in the shortfall list – with a particular focus on those scheduled to start on Monday next, August 15.

Carmel

Carmel Lowe, Ph D
Regional Director Science | Directrice régionale des sciences
Fisheries and Oceans Canada | Pêches et Océans Canada
Pacific Biological Station | Station biologique du Pacifique
3190 Hammond Bay Rd, Nanaimo, BC, Canada V9T 6N7

Carmel.Lowe@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Telephone | Téléphone 250-756-7177
Facsimile | Télécopieur 250-729-8360
Government of Canada | Gouvernement du Canada

Scenario 2 review

Pacific Region 5 Month Review 2016-17
Overall Summary
Area/Program: NORTH COAST -SCIENCE - NC STAD 5G500/810

BL #	Business Line	Budget	Expected Budget (from MFO or other non regional sources e.g. DDB)	Transfers Within Pacific Region DFO	Conversions	Total Budget	YTD Expenditures	Obligations & Commitments	Expenditures not in System	JV's (+/-) & De-Commit	Forecast to Year End	Total Expenditures & Forecast	Variance
810	STAD - A-Base	548.6	-	-	-	548.6	283.3	483.5	9.5	65.6	204.6	1,046.5	(497.8)
810	PST	798.9	-	363.8	44.1	1,206.8	260.4	344.2	23.4	(100.5)	119.7	647.2	559.7
	TOTAL BL 810	1,347.5	-	363.8	44.1	1,755.4	543.7	827.7	32.9	(34.9)	324.3	1,693.6	61.8

Planned
Budget 565K

⇒ this is ALL that is
avail for STAD staffing
for year (-20%) = 51.5 \$ Sub.

Pacific Region 5 Month Review 2016-17
Salary Summary
Area/Program: NORTH COAST -SCIENCE /STAD 6G500 - BL 810

BL #	Business Line	FTE Utilized	Budget	Expected Budget (from M10 or other non-regional sources e.g. ORO)	Transfers Within Pacific Region DFO	Conversions	Total Budget	YTD Expenditures	Obligations & Commitments	Expenditures not in System	JV's (+/-) & De-Commit.	Forecast to Year End	Total Expenditures & Forecast	Variance
810	Regular Salary PC: 00000		456.7				456.7	140.7	167.6		65.6	14.4	388.3	68.5
810	OT PC: 00000							4.0				1.0	5.0	(5.0)
810	Contract Settlement													-
810	EG allowance													-
810	PC 5H008 (to be moved to 00000)													-
810	Planned Staffing													-
810	Total Assessing the Status of Fishery Resources (SFA)	-	456.7	-	-	-	456.7	144.7	167.6	-	65.6	51.7	429.6	27.2
810	Regular Salary - PST PC: 5PB10					(220.3)	(220.3)	199.3	232.8		(52.3)	42.6	422.4	(642.7)
810	Regular Salary - PST PC: 5P000		689.6				689.6						-	689.6
810	OT - PST PC: 5PB10							18.5		23.4		5.0	46.9	(46.9)
810	Contract Settlement													-
810	EG allowance													-
810	Mandatory Leave Cashouts													-
810	Planned Staffing													-
Multi	Total PST	-	689.6	-	-	(220.3)	469.3	217.8	232.8	23.4	(52.3)	47.6	459.3	0.0
	Total	-	1,146.4	-	-	(220.3)	926.1	362.5	400.4	23.4	13.3	99.3	898.9	27.2

(34.0)

**Pages 30 to / à 43
are duplicates of
sont des duplicatas des
pages 46 to / à 59**

Page 44
is a duplicate of
est un duplicata de la
page 61

Scenario | review

Pacific Region 5 Month Review 2016-17
Overall Summary
Area/Program: NORTH COAST -SCIENCE - NC STAD 5G500/810

BL #	Business Line	Budget	Expected Budget (from list of regional sources e.g. 000)	Transfers Within Pacific Region DFO	Conversions	Total Budget	YTD Expenditures	Obligations & Commitments	Expenditures not in System	JV's (+/-) & De-Commit.	Forecast to Year End	Total Expenditures & Forecast	Variance
810	STAD - A-Base	548.6	-	-	166.9	715.5	283.3	483.5	9.5	65.6	278.5	1,120.4	(404.8)
810	PST	798.9	-	363.8	(139.1)	1,023.6	260.4	344.2	23.4	(100.5)	154.2	681.7	342.0
	TOTAL BL 810	1,347.6	-	363.8	27.8	1,739.2	543.7	827.7	32.9	(34.9)	432.7	1,802.0	(62.8)

Planned Budget 565K

THIS ASSUMES
STAD CORE OF ONLY
\$565.K.

IF: 200K ADDITIONAL.
⇒ then \$140K + V.

Pacific Region 5 Month Review 2015-16
O&M Summary
Area/Program: NORTH COAST -SCIENCE

BL #	Business Line	Budget	Expected Budget (from AMO or other regional sources e.g. OMO)	Transfers Within Pacific Region DFO	Conversions	Total Budget	YTD Expenditures	Obligations & Commitments	Expenditures not in System	JV's (+/-)	Forecast to Year End	Total Expenditures & Forecast	Variance
810	Total A-Base O&M	91.9	-	-	166.9	258.8	138.6	315.9	9.5	-	152.9	616.9	(358.1)
810	PST Total O&M	109.3	-	363.8	-	473.1	42.6	111.4	-	(48.2)	72.1	177.9	295.3
810	Total Science BL 810	201.2	-	363.8	166.9	731.9	181.2	427.3	9.5	(48.2)	225.0	794.8	(62.9)

Pacific Region 5 Month Review 2016-17
Operating Non-Salary

Area/Program: NC STAD 5G500/ BL 810

RC & Description	Budget	Expected Budget (from NHQ or other non regional)	Transfers within Pacific Region DFO	Conversions	Total Budget	YTD Expenditures	Obligations & Commitments	Expenditures not in System	JV's (+/-)	Forecast to Year End	Total Expenditures & Forecast	Variance	Comments
00000 General Expenditures	91.9			166.9	258.8					-	0	258.8	
50001 Administration					-	2.1				-	2.1	(2.1)	
51962 Meziadin					-					-	-	-	
52134 North Coast Biosampling & Stock					-	54.8	144.1			12.8	211.7	(211.7)	
52140 Sockeye Skeena Test Fishery					-	24.1	2.7	9.5		31.2	67.5	(67.5)	
5D501 Babine Camp					-	11.7	147.4			37.5	196.6	(196.6)	
52130 CC Sockeye Docee Fence					-	36.3	21.7			23.0	81.0	(81.0)	
5H008 CC Owikeno Lake Sockeye					-					47.2	47.2	(47.2)	
5H009 CC Coordination, Travel & Pgm Support					-	7.9				1.2	9.1	(9.1)	
5H010 CC Chum Escapement Surveys					-	1.7				-	1.7	(1.7)	
97028- Uncoded Mastercard	-				-					-	-	-	
51208 (coding error)	-				-					-	-	-	
Total A-Base	91.9	-	-	166.9	258.8	138.6	315.9	9.5	-	152.9	616.9	(358.1)	
	-				-					-	-	-	
	-				-					-	-	-	
	-				-					-	-	-	
	-				-					-	-	-	
ALLOT 181 50001 Minor Capital	-				-					-	-	-	
	-				-					-	-	-	
TOTAL BL 810	91.9	-	-	166.9	258.8	138.6	315.9	9.5	-	152.9	616.9	(358.1)	

Forecast	Line Object	51962	52134	52140	5D501	51939	52130	5H008	5H009	5H011	5L003	5L005
TRAVEL, TRANSPORT & COMMUNICATIONS												
	0201-0202:02											
	05:0208											
	0212:0											
	214-											
	0215:02											
Travel	17:0220						2.0		1.0			
Travel	11:0218											
	0230-											
Relocation	0235											
	0245-											
Postage, Freight, Courier	0250		0.3									
	0260-											
	0286											
Telecommunication Services	(except 0269)											
Communication Services - Pager, Cell, etc	0289			1.1	2.5			2.0				
INFORMATION												
	0301-											
Advertising, Gov't Publications	0399											
SERVICES												
	4001-											
	4112;											
	4302-											
	4807											
	(except 4403,44											
Services (i.e. contract labour, scientific, fees, Informatics)	21,4423			26.6			11.0	20.0				
Management Consulting	4403											
Temporary Help Services	4421											
Hospitality	4423											
	4201-											
Training and Educational Services	4208											

[illegible]

[illegible]

Cell: E7
Comment: DFO-MPO:
Conversion from S&W surplus to O&M to cover off deficit.

Cell: H10
Comment: DFO-MPO:
Terrace Salmonid Enhancement Society contract F5211-140022

Cell: H11
Comment: DFO-MPO:
Type Test Trailer Rental Contract F1581-160003

Cell: I11
Comment: DFO-MPO:
Web and Corkline, paid on M. Jakubowski MC but not in system yet

Cell: H12
Comment: DFO-MPO:
Babine River Weir Contract F5211-150186
Canadian Helicopters Air Charter, Babine Fish Weir, F1581-160008.

Cell: H13
Comment: DFO-MPO:
Docee Fence Contract with G.N.N. F5211-160211

Cell: J29
Comment: DFO-MPO:
1K - B. Koroluk, travel to return leased truck

Cell: D32
Comment: DFO-MPO:
Fish samples to PBS

Cell: E33
Comment: DFO-MPO:
M. Jakubowski RPI InReach

Cell: F33
Comment: DFO-MPO:
25K - B. Finnegan. Spot, InReach, cable services Babine camp

Cell: E38
Comment: DFO-MPO:

7.5K Float removal

9.1K Transportation of Float to Osland

Cell: H38

Comment: DFO-MPO:

5K - Doceé biosampling (W. Levesque)

6K - Scientific Consultants contract (B. Koroluk)

Cell: I38

Comment: DFO-MPO:

W. Levesque - scientific consultant contracts for Owikeno

Cell: D44

Comment: DFO-MPO:

4K - rental of storage unit, Kaien Island Storage unit.

Cell: F45

Comment: DFO-MPO:

35K - B. Finnegan Air Charters, babbine

Cell: I45

Comment: DFO-MPO:

Air Charters - Owikeno

Cell: E47

Comment: DFO-MPO:

Crane rental for removing Float at Skeena Test Site

Cell: E48

Comment: DFO-MPO:

Moorage fee (Osland) for test site float

Cell: D55

Comment: DFO-MPO:

BC Hydro costs for 2 storage units. Kaien Island storage unit and Granisle storage unit.

Cell: I56

Comment: DFO-MPO:

Boat fuel, boat storage

Cell: D59

Comment: DFO-MPO:

M. Jakubowski tools and supplies for sampling

Cell: 163
Comment: DFO-MPO:
Groceries for Ovilkeno camp

Pacific Region 5 Month Review 2016-17

Operating Non-Salary

Area/Program: NCA Stock Assessment PST 5G500
Business Line: 810

RC & Description	Budget	Expected Budget (from NHQ or other non regional sources e.g. OGD)	Transfers within Pacific Region DFO	Conversions	Total Budget	YTD Expenditures	Obligations & Commitments	Expenditures not in System	JV's (+/-)	Forecast to Year End	Total Expenditures & Forecast	Variance	Comments
5P000 PST	109.3		363.8		473.1					-	-	473.1	
5PB10 NC					-	38.7	111.4		(48.2)	67.6	169.5	(169.5)	
5PC61					-					-	-	-	
5PC70 Chinook Escapement					-					-	-	-	
5PC72 CC Chinook Escapement Dean					-	0.1				-	0.1	(0.1)	
5PC26 - CC Creel Mark					-					-	-	-	
5CP61 - Atnarko Chinook CWT					-					-	-	-	
5CP62 - Bella Coola River CWT					-	0.3				3.0	3.3	(3.3)	
5CP63 - Atnarko Sport Fishery CWT					-					1.5	1.5	(1.5)	
5CP73 - Kitumkalum Chinook CWT					-					-	-	-	
97029 - Uncoded Mastercard					-	3.5				-	3.5	(3.5)	
Total	109.3	-	363.8	-	473.1	42.6	111.4	-	(48.2)	72.1	177.9	295.3	

FORECASTS	Line Objects	5PB10 NC Coho	5PC61	5PC70 Chinook Esc	5PC72 CC Chinook Esc	5PB50 Coho Ext Abund. Indicators	5CP26 CC Creek Mark	5CP61 Atnarko Chinook CST	5CP62 BCoola Rvr CWT	5CP63 Atnarko Sport CWT	5CP73 Kitum CWT
TRAVEL, TRANSPORT & COMMUNICATIONS	0201-0202-0205-0206-0212-0214-0215-0217-0220-0234-0211-0216-0219									1.5	
Travel											
Travel									3.0		
Relocation	0230-0235										
Postage, Freight, Courier	0245-0250										
Telecommunication Services	0260-0266										
Advertising, Gov't Publications	0301-0399										
SERVICES											
Services (i.e. contract labour, scientific, fees, Informatics)	4001-4112; 4302-4807 (except 4403,4421,4423)	25.2									
Management Consulting	4403										
Temporary Help Services	4421										
Hospitality	4423										
Training and Educational Services	4201-4204										
RENTALS											

Cell: D7

Comment: DFO-MPO:

Remainder of 565.0 allocation. Allocated 201.2 showing in MRS.

Cell: H8

Comment: DFO-MPO:

\$9.7K CC Contract- Purple Rainbow Chinook Tagging. F1581-160034

\$52K Kiiwanga Weir Contract F5211-130325

\$22.6K [REDACTED] Charter Patrol Contract F1489-160006, will be JV'd to STAD Nisga'a budget

s.19(1)

\$27K Toboggan Creek Contract F5211-150151

Cell: J8

Comment: DFO-MPO:

-25.6K - [REDACTED] Charter Patrol, F1489-160006

-22.6K - [REDACTED] Charter Patrol, F1489-160006 Obligated to PC 5PB10, should be in Nisga'a.

Cell: K22

Comment: DFO-MPO:

1.5K travel for B. Koroluk sport fish advisory meeting

Cell: C30

Comment: DFO-MPO:

\$6.25K Coho Escapment stream walking - Stan Hutchings contract

DFO-MPO:

9.9K Wannock Deadpitch contract, B. Koroluk

DFO-MPO:

9K Tiell Weir Operation

Cell: C37

Comment: DFO-MPO:

4K - Sockeye escapment survey

5K - Coho escapement survey (S. Hutchings)

18K - B. Koroluk, 6 CC counting flights @ 3K ea.

6K - B. Koroluk, Atnarko Sockeye sampling flights

1K - W. Levesque, Genisis camp charter flight

Cell: C38

Comment: DFO-MPO:

IT Service Agreement - 5 laptops @ \$285/ea

Cell: C63

Comment: DFO-MPO:

1K - vehicle repair, B. Koroluk

Pacific Region 5 Month Review 2016-17
JV and De-commitment Details

Area/Program: NC STAD 5G500/ BL 810

Business Line	JV		De-Commi	Details
	Dr.	CR.		
O&M				
A-Base				
5G500-810/97028				
5G500-810/5CP61				
5G500-810-750/57350				
5G500-810/52134				
5G500-810/51208				
TOTAL A-Base	-	-	-	-
5G500-810/5PB10		(25.6)		Charter Patrol, F1489-160006. JV expenditures to STAD Nisga'a (BL 430)
5G500-810/5PB10		(22.6)		Charter Patrol, F1489-160006. Contract obligated in PC 5PB10, will JV expenditures to STAD Nisga'a (BL 430)
TOTAL PST	-	(48.2)	-	(48.2)
TOTAL O&M JV's	-	(48.2)	-	(48.2)
SALARY JV'S				
5G500-810/00000	32.8			C.Leighton acting from July 16-March 31 not in the system yet. JV in from BL 480 (CR04) and the AS01 salary difference of 1.7K will be forecasted.
5G500-810/00000	14.1			S.Mack expenditures JV'd in from 5B136-441/5B280.
5G500-810/00000	18.7			W.Meeks expenditures JV'd in from 5B136-441/5B280
TOTAL A-Base JV's	65.6	-	-	65.6
5G500-810/5PB10			(39.5)	S.Davies A/O in BL 430 & 411 from Apr 1-Sept 30
5G500-810/5PB10		-1.7		Lorelle Sunduk JV to B/L 441 (SEP) 2 week period
5G500-810/5PB10			-10.8	S. Davies Decomm. Acting out in BL 430/411
Total PST	-	(1.7)	(50.3)	(52.0)
TOTAL SALARY JV'S	65.6	(1.7)	(50.3)	13.6

s.19(1)

000059

**Pacific Region 5 Month Review 2015-16
Planned Staffing Details**

Area/Program: NC STAD 5G500/ BL 810

RC	Business Line	Class	Dates	Amount	FTE	Details
5G500	810	BI-04	Oct 1/16 -Mar 31/17	43.2	0.50	Section Head staffing process underway
5G500	810	BI-03	Oct 1/16 -Mar 31/17	34.5	0.50	BI03 staffing process wrapping up
5G500	810	EG-05	Oct 1/16 -Mar 31/17	30.6	0.50	May not staff this fiscal.
5G500	810	EG-01	Oct 8/16 - Oct 24/16	1.7	0.04	Casual extension to be submitted
Total A-Base				110.0	1.54	
5G500	810	BI-03	Oct 1/16 -Mar 31/17	34.5	0.50	BI03 staffing process
TOTAL PST				34.5	0.50	
Total				144.5	2.04	

2016 Salmon Stock Assessment Allocation of Relief Funding, September 14, 2016

Area	Project	Species	Forecasted Cost			Expenditures			All Expenditures Completed (Y/N)	Comments
			Fund at \$1M	Next in priority	Project Initiation Deadline for funding	Salary	O&M	Total Expenditures (O&M equivalents)		
Fraser	Upper Chilliwack Sockeye DIDSON *	Sockeye	71			34.2	5.9	40.1	N	
	Quenean System Sockeye Visuals	Sockeye	47			35.7	10.2	45.9	N	
	Portage Creek Sockeye	Sockeye	5				0	0	N	
	N/S Thompson Sockeye Visuals (Intensive) *	Sockeye	66			40.8	4.1	44.9	N	
	Fraser Valley Sockeye Roving (Intensive) *	Sockeye	55			36.2	11.4	47.6	N	
	Bowron Sockeye Adults	Sockeye	12				7.2	7.2	N	
	Birkenhead Fence Sockeye Enumeration	Sockeye	50			42.2	5.5	47.7	N	
	Summer 52 Chinook Indicator (Chilko) *	Chinook	130			89.5	27.6	117.1	N	
	Creel Survey - Lower Fraser Rec Fisheries Assess * cost about \$5.5K-6K per week. Assuming opening about	Chinook	28			23.1	4.7	27.8	N	
	Chinook Aerial Surveys (Summer 52 CTC Series)	Chinook	120				14.6	14.6	N	
	Creel Survey Nicomen Slave Fisheries Assess	Coho	11			7.5	0	7.5	N	
	Late Stuart Sockeye Adults M-R with visual (visual only 65-70K)	Sockeye	75			65	7.1	72.1	N	proceeding, offset by 153.5K from TB Quialark & Mission downstream projects & 47.5K risk managed for lower than forecast returns (201K)
Fraser Total:	Harrison River Sockeye Adults M-R	Sockeye	126			103.1	3.4	106.5	N	proceeding, offset by 153.5K from TB Quialark & Mission downstream projects & 47.5K risk managed for lower than forecast returns (201K)
	Owlkeno Sockeye Escapement Surveys	Sockeye	796	0		477.3	101.7	579		
	Sockeye Escapement surveys Upper Skeena	Sockeye	60				0	0		
	Sockeye Escapement surveys Area 6	Sockeye	25				0	0		
	Chinook Escapement surveys Upper Skeena, Maunice, Bear, Babine below fence	Chinook	15				0	0		
	Coho Escapement surveys Lower Skeena	Coho	8				0	0		
	Coho Escapement surveys Central Coast	Coho	8				0	0		
	Coho Escapement surveys Area 6	Coho	11				0	0		
	Ocean Biosampling, A 3-4, Chum	Chum	10				0	0		
	Area Chief Coordination, Travel & Pgm Support	Admin	10				0	0		
	WCVI creel in key areas Sept 8-15 WCVI 15K (15K/week 1 week only)	Chinook	15				0	0		work underway, casual hires for fishery observers, overflight vessel counts
	Stamp counting equipment	Chinook	15				15.0	15.0		work completed - Surveillance Camera 3 K, replacement computer for Stamp Falls Counter 1 7K, batteries 1 9K, 1 tenth Principle - Stamp Falls, trouble shooting and repair 7K, misc hardware, signs 1 2K
North Coast	Sept - Victoria Area Creel survey (Areas 198 & 20, Juan de Fuca recreational fishery survey) Creel survey	Chinook	11				0.0	0.0		work underway, casual hires for fishery observers, overflight vessel counts
	Sept - Campbell River Creel survey (PFMA Area 13, portion North Georgia Strait near Campbell River) Creel	Chinook	20			1	3.7	4.9		project underway, salary for fishery observers, 2 Overflights F1054-160003
	Cowichan chinook fence Program requires advance planning, including 1 Selection and training of Cowichan	Chinook	30				0.0	0.0		preparation of field camp underway, Sept 19 start up date
	Aug - Campbell River Creel survey (PFMA Area 13, portion North Georgia Strait near Campbell River) Creel	Chinook	25			5	21.4	27.4		work complete, Salary, travel claim, F1054-160037 - Creel Flight Observer, 10 Overflights F1054-160003
	Black creek coho PST indicator - last wild coho indicator in Strait of Georgia	Coho	50				50.0	50.0		Completed a call up to AT-Legay Fisheries Society F1592-160013 for labour services
	Cowichan Chum DIDSON counts, required to implement EO demo fishery in IFMP	Chum	10				0.0	0.0		anticipated start date October 10
	Chum stock id sampling - JStr mixed stock, PSC covers processing	Chum	5				0.0	0.0		anticipated start date October 10
	WCVI creel from Sept 15-23 in key areas (add 15K for another week)	Chinook	181	15		6	90.133	97.333		anticipated start date October 10
	Reduced Taku Coho Assessment DFO provides support in Joint US/CMND M/R assessment for Taku Coho in	Coho	8				0	0		anticipated start date October 10
	Yuk / TERY Total		1,142	15		483	192	676		available terminal harvest sampling will also occur specifically Cowichan
	Grand Total									not implemented, require additional 15K for SWVI only
South Coast	Stamp counting equipment	Chinook	15				15.0	15.0		work complete, Salary, travel claim, F1054-160037 - Creel Flight Observer, 10 Overflights F1054-160003
	Sept - Victoria Area Creel survey (Areas 198 & 20, Juan de Fuca recreational fishery survey) Creel survey	Chinook	11				0.0	0.0		work complete, Salary, travel claim, F1054-160037 - Creel Flight Observer, 10 Overflights F1054-160003
	Sept - Campbell River Creel survey (PFMA Area 13, portion North Georgia Strait near Campbell River) Creel	Chinook	20			1	3.7	4.9		work complete, Salary, travel claim, F1054-160037 - Creel Flight Observer, 10 Overflights F1054-160003
	Cowichan chinook fence Program requires advance planning, including 1 Selection and training of Cowichan	Chinook	30				0.0	0.0		work complete, Salary, travel claim, F1054-160037 - Creel Flight Observer, 10 Overflights F1054-160003
	Aug - Campbell River Creel survey (PFMA Area 13, portion North Georgia Strait near Campbell River) Creel	Chinook	25			5	21.4	27.4		Completed a call up to AT-Legay Fisheries Society F1592-160013 for labour services
	Black creek coho PST indicator - last wild coho indicator in Strait of Georgia	Coho	50				50.0	50.0		anticipated start date October 10
	Cowichan Chum DIDSON counts, required to implement EO demo fishery in IFMP	Chum	10				0.0	0.0		anticipated start date October 10
	Chum stock id sampling - JStr mixed stock, PSC covers processing	Chum	5				0.0	0.0		anticipated start date October 10
	WCVI creel from Sept 15-23 in key areas (add 15K for another week)	Chinook	181	15		6	90.133	97.333		anticipated start date October 10
	Reduced Taku Coho Assessment DFO provides support in Joint US/CMND M/R assessment for Taku Coho in	Coho	8				0	0		anticipated start date October 10
	Yuk / TERY Total		1,142	15		483	192	676		available terminal harvest sampling will also occur specifically Cowichan
	Grand Total									not implemented, require additional 15K for SWVI only

Note 201K regional source offset, other projects cancelled or reduced

Expenditures Summary (In Thousands)

Fiscal Year	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-17*
S&W	\$ 710.43	\$ 843.71	\$ 939.90	\$ 1,137.58	\$ 1,109.78	\$ 1,280.03	\$ 1,216.33	\$ 1,259.98	\$ 1,248.03	\$ 1,349.74	\$ 1,101.06	\$ 1,084.20	\$ 1,097.54	\$ 1,222.11	\$ 1,172.75	\$ 935.70	\$ 847.01
O&M	\$ 2,212.07	\$ 2,391.42	\$ 1,890.28	\$ 1,560.85	\$ 1,345.14	\$ 1,442.76	\$ 1,363.00	\$ 1,279.24	\$ 1,373.40	\$ 1,491.33	\$ 1,593.97	\$ 1,412.46	\$ 1,401.01	\$ 1,093.11	\$ 860.09	\$ 848.03	\$ 646.41
Total	\$ 2,922.50	\$ 3,235.13	\$ 2,830.18	\$ 2,698.43	\$ 2,454.92	\$ 2,722.79	\$ 2,579.33	\$ 2,539.22	\$ 2,621.43	\$ 2,841.07	\$ 2,695.03	\$ 2,496.66	\$ 2,498.55	\$ 2,315.22	\$ 2,032.84	\$ 1,783.73	\$ 1,493.42

*YTD expenditures and obligations till today's date for 16-17. The budgets are S&W \$1,146.38K and O&M \$201.19K

Masson, Colin

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: Sunday, September 18, 2016 3:39 PM
To: Tompkins, Arlene, Saunders, Mark
Subject: RE: INCOMING. 2016-001-02676 Cullen FIRST THOUGHTS RELATED TO CULLEN LETTER QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE

Cullen letter poses 3 questions

1. Has there been full restoration of funding for oceans science and monitoring?

- No – There has always been a larger number of programs on the list than there has been resource for
- Considerable time and energy is directed at establishing criteria to enable the priority activities to be undertaken
- In recent years, the financial challenges have increased as has the number and scope of science and monitoring projects

2. When can monitoring programs expect to have funding restored? *Over past decade the proportion of non-core science funding (including various external sources) has increased substantially (three-fold) making the majority of critical assessment*

- In response to the funding challenges experienced this fiscal year and the need to delay approval and/or question a number of salmon assessment projects throughout the Pacific region, , The Department has reallocated and/or risk managed national science funding to ensure that priority salmon assessment work is conducted.
- All regional top priority work is being implemented this fiscal by this reallocation and financial risk management.
- An increasing number of salmon assessment activities in recent years have been deferred or left unfunded as a result of financial constraints
- Annual Regional Processes to prioritize all salmon assessment work is conducted to balance funds with changing requirements given specific regional variations

3. Is there a commitment to maintain this vital funding into the future? *Mix of funding sources -*

- The Department is committed to conduct an annual prioritization review to ensure that the highest priority projects are conducted
- It is not anticipated that sufficient funds will always be available for all the proposed work.

While I don't think its appropriate to provide specific budget levels over past 15 years, these bullets don't really tell the real situation and perhaps there are additional facts that can shape the external understanding. A better understanding of the actual situation, demonstrating good gov response within our constraints may not be unreasonable

Colin m

From: Tompkins, Arlene
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 11:37 AM
To: Masson, Colin <Colin.Masson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>

Minister / Ministre (DFO/MPO)

From: Cullen, Nathan - Riding 2 <nathan.cullen.c2@parl.gc.ca>
Sent: September-09-16 3:15 PM
To: Minister / Ministre (DFO/MPO)
Subject: Correspondence from MP Cullen
Attachments: Cullen_20160909115627.pdf

Hello,

Attached please find a letter from Nathan Cullen, MP for Skeen-Bulkley Valley.

Thank you,

Constituency Assistant | Adjoint de Circonscription
Nathan Cullen, MP | Député – Skeena Bulkley Valley

(TEL) 250-622-2413 | (TF) 1-888-622-0212 | (FAX) 250-624-7737
nathancullen.ca | ndp.ca | npd.ca

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The above e-mail page contains confidential information intended for a specific individual or organization. The information is private and protected by law. Any copying or disclosure of this transmission by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and delete the e-mail page and all attachments from your system. Thank you.

2016-001 02676

Room 1150, The Valour Building
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0A6
Tel 613-993-6654
Fax 613-993-9007



HOUSE OF COMMONS
CHAMBRE DES COMMUNES
CANADA

3891 1st Avenue
Mail to PO Box 4914
Smithers, BC V0J 2N0
Tel 250-877-4140
Fax 250-877-4141

112-4716 Lazelle Avenue
Terrace, BC V8G 1T2
Tel 250-615-5339
Fax 250-625-5344

Nathan Cullen
Member of Parliament / Député
Skeena—Bulkley Valley / Skeena—Bulkley Valley

818 3rd Avenue West
Prince Rupert, BC V8J 1M6
Tel 250-622-2413
Fax 250-624-7737

Honourable Dominic Leblanc
Minister of Fisheries and Oceans
House of Commons
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0A6

September 9, 2016

Dear Minister,

I am writing to make enquiries about the Salmon Enumeration Programs on the North Coast. It is my understanding that the fall enumeration programs up and down the west coast have been significantly decreased, including the absolute cancellation of many Enumeration Programs in my riding of Skeena-Bulkley Valley.

This news is particularly disappointing when the mandate letter from the Prime Minister specifically instructs you as Minister to "[r]estore funding to support federal ocean science and monitoring programs, to protect the health of fish stocks."

Enumeration Programs are a vital part of the management work that the Department of Fisheries and Oceans undertakes. The information gathered from these programs about the health of various salmon stocks is imperative for the Ministry to make informed decisions for the present and future management of these stocks.

I pose the following questions. Has there been full restoration of funding for ocean science and monitoring programs? When can monitoring programs expect to have their funding restored? Is there a commitment to maintain this vital funding into the future?

It is my hope that funding will be fully restored to ensure robust monitoring programs for the future health of our salmon stocks.

Thank you for your consideration and prompt response.

Sincerely,

Nathan Cullen,
MP, Skeena Bulkley Valley



nathan.cullen@parl.gc.ca

Masson, Colin

From: Saunders, Mark
Sent: Monday, September 19, 2016 6:38 AM
To: Tompkins, Arlene; Masson, Colin
Subject: FW: NCC Escapement indicator stream - final report for review
Attachments: NCC Review of Escapement Indicator Streams_Final25July2016b.docx

Hi Arlene and Colin,

s.19(1)

This could be useful in our response to Cullen letter

Mark

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: August-07-16 9:55 AM
To: Tompkins, Arlene; Saunders, Mark; Cox-Rogers, Steven
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fwd: NCC Escapement indicator stream - final report for review.

Here is the final CSAR report on the review of salmon escapement monitoring streams. I have addressed all the comments I have received on the draft report which was circulated on 16 June 2016 to all the individuals and groups I contacted and worked with during the review process (see email message below).

Only [REDACTED] provided comments on the draft report. I have addressed all their comments, updated the tables where required and added in a section on the importance of the Charter Patrol Program and my list of compelling reasons for obtaining annual escapement estimates for these indicator streams.

Please review the attached report and send me any comments or questions you have.

The PSF and I would like to finalize this report as soon as possible so it can be used to promote support for the annual escapement monitoring efforts for each of the NCC salmon indicator streams.

Thanks, [REDACTED]

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: NCC Escapement indicator stream - draft report for review.

Date: Thu, 16 Jun 2016 15:05:59 -0700

From: [REDACTED]

To: [REDACTED]

s.19(1)

CC:

After preparing the attached draft report for your review, I have been thinking about how to get DFO/Canada to make escapement monitoring of the indicator streams a top priority for BC salmon and ensure the resources needed to do this work are available every year.

While it should be obvious why spawning escapement data for salmon stocks is critical for management of our salmon stocks and fisheries, this probably needs to be communicated more clearly and completely to key people in Ottawa who don't understand the need for this information, scope of the task and the additional funding required.

The following is an initial list of some of the most obvious and compelling reasons for obtaining spawning escapement estimates for the indicator streams:

1. to implement Canada's Wild Salmon Policy (WSP);
2. to monitor the health of salmon stocks for conservation;
3. to assess the effect/impact of fisheries on salmon stocks;
4. to set and adjust management goals (e.g. escapement goals, harvest rules, etc.);
5. to meet Canada's commitments under the Canada/US Pacific Salmon Treaty;
6. to maintain Marine Stewardship Council (MSC) certification for the BC commercial fisheries for sockeye, pink and chum salmon;
7. to continue the current 50-61 year time series of annual abundance estimates for BC salmon (e.g. <http://shiny.lglsidney.com/ncc-salmon/>);
8. to successfully negotiate modern Treaties with BC First Nations that harvest salmon (e.g Nisga'a, Tsawwassen, Maanulth, and many more in process);
9. to provide First Nations and local communities with meaningful jobs related to salmon management and stock assessment;
10. to ensure salmon resources are managed in a responsible manner for the benefit of current and future generations.

When you look at the above list it is hard to understand how escapement monitoring efforts have been allowed to decline to the point where we are only monitoring 51% of the 679 indicator streams identified by fisheries

managers and First Nations as the most reliable indicators of the health for over 120 salmon Conservation Units (CUs) and over 3500 spawning stocks on BC's North and Central Coasts (NCC). I will include the above points in a revised introduction for this report.

The total estimated costs for annual monitoring of the NCC salmon indicator streams is approximately \$2.5 million (see the attached draft report). Available funding in recent years from DFO, First Nation Agreements, and NGOs has covered \$1.7 million (66%) of the required annual funding. Therefore, the additional annual funding required to ensure most of the NCC indicator streams are monitored each year is \$0.8 million. There should also be a commitment of at least \$0.4 million per year for 5 years for experts to train the escapement monitoring crews, build the capacity within First Nations and seek funding from sources that could cover some of the capital costs related these escapement monitoring efforts. Given the value of Northern BC salmon fisheries to First Nations, recreational anglers, commercial fisheries and Canadians in general, this is a very small additional commitment to ensure that the most critical data for salmon management is collected each year.

Please provide me with any comments you have on the attached report, as soon as possible. The PSF and I would like to finalize this report within the next month so we can initiate the effort to confirm the commitment and resources need to monitor each of these indicator streams each year. If I don't receive any further input or feedback from you for this report, I will assume that I can finalize this report in mid-July 2016.

Thanks for all the information you have provided for this initiative and any further information you can provide.

s.19(1)

Operating Non-Salary

DRAFT - REQUIRES ADDN. INPUT

Proposed RC	RC & Description	Budget Control	Proposed Budget 2016 2107	O&M Component	Sal \$ Component	Comments	Reductions
base	00000 General Expenditures						
base ## NC	Coordination, Travel & Pgm Support	STAD Chief	40 0	40 00		Eliminate travel out of area	10.0
base ## NC	Ocean Biosampling	Ike Jakubows	60 0	20 00	40 0	Low sample rate in Area 4, early determinate layoffs Transfer 10 K to chum SPA	25.0
base ## NC	FW Biosampling	Ike Jakubows	5 0	5 00	0 0	Transfer 10 K to disease sampling	10.0
base ## NC	Sockeye Skeena Test Fishery Maintenance and Operations	Ike Jakubows	40 0	30 00	10 0	Completed	
base ## NC	Sockeye Skeena Test Fishery Biosampling	Ike Jakubows	70 0	20 00	50 0	80% complete, remainder funded	
base 5D5C NC	Babine Camp Maintenance and Support	Barry Finnega	25 0	25 00		Completed	
base 5D5C NC	Babine Camp Adult Counts	Barry Finnega	140 0	140 00		Completed	
base 5H0C CC	Coordination, Travel & Pgm Support	Brad Korolok	30 0	30		Eliminate regional travel	5.0
base ## CC	Sockeye Docee Fence GNN contract	Brad Korolok	22 0	22		Completed	
base ## CC	Sockeye Docee Fence Maintenance and Support	Brad Korolok	25 0	20	5	Completed	
base	Owikeno Sockeye Escapement Surveys	Brad Korolok	0 0	0	0	No sockeye escapement surveys May	60.0
PST NC	Chinook Escapement Surveys Area 6	Cory Martins	0 0	0	0	No STAD funded chinook surveys	
PST NC	Chinook Escapement Surveys Lower Skeena	Cory Martins	0 0	0	0	No STAD funded chinook surveys	
PST NC	Chinook Escapement Surveys Upper Skeena	Barry Finnega	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded chinook surveys	15.0
PST 5CP7 NC	NC Kitsumkalum Chinook ER Indicator TSS Contract	Ivan Winther	189 0	189 0		Completed	
PST NC	CWT Purchase Kalum chinook ER Indicator	Ivan Winther	0 0	0 0		One time savings from regional tags	21.0
PST CC	Coho Escapement surveys Central Coast	Brad Korolok	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded coho surveys	8.0
PST NC	Coho Escapement surveys Area 6	Cory Martins	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded coho surveys	11.0
PST NC	Sockeye Escapement surveys Upper Skeena	Barry Finnega	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded sockeye surveys	25.0
PST NC	Sockeye Escapement surveys Area 6	Cory Martins	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded sockeye surveys	10.0
PST NC	Coho Escapement surveys Lower Skeena	Cory Martins	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded coho surveys	8.0
PST NC	Coho Escapement surveys Upper Skeena	Barry Finnega	0 0	0 0		No STAD funded coho surveys	0.0
PST NC	Coho Escapement Tlell Weir	Coho Biologis	9 0	9 0		Funding proposed	
PST NC	Kitwanga smolt program	Barry Finnega	21 0	21 0		Completed	
PST NC	Kitwanga Adult Program	Barry Finnega	30 0	30 0		Completed	
PST NC	Coho Hatchery ER Indicator (Toboggan)	Barry Finnega	15 0	15 0		Funding Proposed	
PST NC	Toboggan Coho Hatchery Indicator Smolt Program	Barry Finnega	9 0	9 0		Completed	
PST NC	Toboggan CWT Cost and Application	Barry Finnega	9 0	9 0		Funding Proposed	
and PST	Total All Projects		739 0	634 0	105 0		208 0
ar				565 0			
get	Variance			69 0			
unt							
a'a (DFO) NC	FM Charter Patrol DNA sampling	Cory Martins	31 00	31 00	0 0		
a'a (DFO) NC	FM Charter Patrol Pink and Chum Management	Cory Martins	48 00	48 00	0 0		
a'a (DFO) NC	Area 3 Pink and Chum Esc Surveys	Cory Martins	15 0	15 0	0 0		
a'a (DFO) NC	Area 3-4 Sockeye Ocean sampling DNA analysis	Ive Cox-roge	45 0	45 0	0 0		
a'a (DFO) NC	Area 3-4 Sockeye Ocean sample collection	Ike Jakubows	30 0	30 0	0 0		
a'a (DFO) NC	Meziadin Fishway	Ike Jakubows	73 9	30 0	43 9	Includes 10 K OT	Includes 10 K
a'a (DFO) NC	Data Entry Position		61 6	0 0	61 6		
a'a (DFO)	Total All Projects		304 5	199 0	105 5		
	Actual Budget		304 8	199 3	105 5		
	Variance			0 3	0 0		

Pacific Region 8 Month Review 2016-17

Overall Summary

Area/Program: NORTH COAST -SCIENCE - NC STAD 5G500/810

BL #	Business Line	Budget	Expected Budget (from MHD or other regional sources e.g. ODD)	Transfers Within Pacific Region DFO	Conversion s	Total Budget	YTD Expenditures	Obligations & Commitments	Expenditures not in System	JV's (+/-) & De-Commit.	Forecast to Year End	Total Expenditures & Forecast	Variance
810	STAD - A-Base	558.5	178.0	-	-	736.5	679.4	292.4	12.6	(24.8)	49.3	1,008.9	(272.4)
810	PST	838.3	-	-	-	838.3	397.1	252.3	17.3	(107.1)	17.4	577.0	261.3
	TOTAL BL 810	1,396.8	178.0	-	-	1,574.8	1,076.5	544.7	29.9	(131.9)	66.7	1,585.9	(11.1)

Key Issues:

INCLUDED.

- deficit of \$ 52.8 @ 5MR. (FEB 01 FEB 01 B1-D4 / B1-D3.)

- now covered by staffing shortfall.

- under: - staffing of 2 B1-D3
1 B1-D2
1 EG-D4.

② NEW YEAR WILL BE WORSE: ~ \$500K DEF.

→ INSUF. O&M to operate as salary increment not possible.

→

RELATIVE PRIORITY

\$ 6.5-7 K.

Mid FEB STAD AREA MEETING.

- Review/Confirm NC Area Assessment Core Activities + other key work.

- Objective to agree on CORE PROGRAM: ACTIVITIES & RESOURCES.

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

⇒ if staffing 2-B1-D3 = 28K.

⇒ would have deficit of \$ -344 on FEB 01.

⇒ 8MR NOW SHOWS -37.5 =

+

RELATIVE PRIORITY

\$ 6.5-7 K.

Mid FEB STAD AREA MEETING.

- Review/Confirm NC Area Assessment Core Activities + other key work.

- Objective to agree on CORE PROGRAM: ACTIVITIES & RESOURCES.

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

Pacific Region 8 Month Review 2016-17
Salary Summary
Area/Program: NORTH COAST-SCIENCE /STAD 5G500 - BL 810

BL #	Business Line	FTE Utilized	Budget	Expected Budget (from)	Transfers Within Pacific	Conversions	Total Budget	YTD Expenditures	Obligations & Commitments	Expenditures not in System	JV's (+/-) & De-Commit.	Forecast to Year End	Total Expenditures & Forecast	Variance	Comments
810	Regular Salary PC: 000000		406.9				406.9	257.0	140.2		(22.7)	4.9	379.4	27.5	
810	OT PC: 000000						-	3.9				2.5	6.4	(6.4)	
810	Contract Settlement						-								
810	Planned Staffing						-					27.9	27.9	(27.9)	
810	Total A-Base	-	406.9	-	#	-	406.9	260.9	140.2	-	(22.7)	35.3	413.7	(6.8)	-
810	Regular Salary - PST PC: 5P000		493.5				493.5							493.5	
810	Regular Salary - PST PC: 5PB10						-	269.9	152.0		(58.2)	5.0	368.7	(368.7)	
810	OT - PST PC: 5PB10						-	45.6			(0.7)	7.0	51.9	(51.9)	
810	Contract Settlement						-								
810	Mandatory Leave Cashouts						-								
810	Planned Staffing						-								
Multi	Total PST	-	493.5	-	-	-	493.5	315.5	152.0	-	(58.9)	12.0	420.6	72.9	-
	Total	-	900.4	-	-	-	900.4	576.4	292.2	-	(81.6)	47.3	834.3	66.1	-

41.2 = 79.3

Masson, Colin

From: Holmes, John
Sent: Friday, January 20, 2017 11:59 AM
To: Luedke, Wilf; Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Gotch, Steve
Cc: Tompkins, Arlene; Dobson, Diana
Subject: RE: Area Staffing
Attachments: ARRAD_AREAS_Approved_Critical_Staffing_2017-18.xlsx

An update of the staffing update below.

The spreadsheet originally attached was incomplete, it was missing a Central Coast EG-05 and South EG-04 positions, that were also approved for staffing based on health and safety concerns. These positions are now shown on the attached spreadsheet.

A note: staffing actions within Science should come through my office to the RDS for approval. I will need formation in the rationale such as "this position is on the approved list of the critical planned staffing".

Thanks and apologies,

John

(250) 756-7145 <- new phone number
John.Holmes@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

BI-04
BI-03
BI-02

From: Holmes, John
Sent: January-16-17 5:45 PM
To: Luedke, Wilf; Timber Whitehouse; Masson, Colin; Gotch, Steve
Cc: Tompkins, Arlene; 'Dobson, Diana'
Subject: Area Staffing

Hi All,

A staffing update and some good news, I think.

First some background

- The new Government's focus on evidence-based decision-making has resulted in a significant reinvestment (\$40 1M/yr A-Base – TB positions that were targeted to specific areas) and also new investments in DFO Science, including: Marine Conservation Targets (MCT), Climate Change (ACCASP), South of 60, and Ocean Protection Plan (OPP).
- All new Science funding is targeted – none toward initiatives that directly support the FM mandate but most generate information and knowledge that do support its mandate. This means that we are not able to use the positions supported by any of this new funding to deliver stock assessments.
- Regionally, Science went through a re-organization in 2016-17 and the impacts of this re-organization on the Division and the organization are still being felt and will likely continue for the foreseeable future. Stock assessment, both in the Areas and at PBS, took a big hit as a result of the staffing associated with the new funding and the re-org.
- The Regional Science Executive established several principles for staffing:
 1. All indeterminate staff on strength will be funded from A-base or directed A-base (i.e., PST) sources;

2. Division Managers have the authority to staff Section Head (and Area Chief) and Admin positions that are vacant (no approval from the Science Executive is needed);
3. When an indeterminate position becomes vacant mid-year, the responsible Division manager will bring the position to the Regional Science Executive for discussion about regional priorities – that position may or may not be backfilled, depending on the outcome of those discussion;
4. Division Managers will prepare a list of prioritized critical planned staffing for their divisions. In the case of ARRAD, this includes critical planned staffing in the Areas.

With respect to the Areas:

1. Mark and I did not originally provide a list of critical planned staffing that included area needs. This oversight created a delay in staffing discussions.
2. The Regional Science Executive recognized that applying Principle 1, salary will be provided for all indeterminate staff on strength (i.e., bumps in the seats principle) disadvantaged the areas because they were not permitted to staff indeterminately for several years and as a result many of these positions were filled with determinate staff (casuals, terms, actors) who would not be covered by Principle 1.
3. Given the gap between indeterminate staff on strength in the Areas and the critical planned staffing needs that were submitted to us and staffing needs in other Science Divisions, it was not possible to close the Area gap in one year.
4. The indeterminate positions in the attached spreadsheet are approved for staffing in FY2017-18. This list includes the Area Chief for North Coast and 0.5 CR-04 in Fraser plus two EGs (one in NC and one in SC) that were approved for health and safety reasons. The remaining positions (5.17 FTEs) are identified as PST-funded positions.
5. There is a possibility that some positions could be staffed prior to the end of this fiscal year but you will need to check with Brenda Fair regarding the availability of funds for approval prior to the Letter of Offer stage. I believe all Letters of Offer need approval from the RDS and she will be looking for approval from Brenda if you are staffing prior to the end of the FY.
6. Salary budgets will cover indeterminate staff on strength as shown in the Summary tab of the attached spreadsheet as well as the approved staffing. In addition, the \$1.5M in salary converted from O&M a few years ago will be available for project staffing, etc.
7. Directed A-base funds (PST salary, which can only be used for salmon) will be fully utilized to cover indeterminate salaries, i.e., the mix of A-base and PST salary funds in your allocations will be more heavily weighted to PST in the upcoming FY.
8. Please note that the Science Executive will be applying Principle 1 of staffing going forward. If you do not indeterminately staff the positions that were approved, then it will be very hard or impossible for me to request approval for these positions the following year.
9. We (through SACC?) need to prepare and prioritize a list of critical staffing for the following year. Presumably the positions that were not approved this year, will be at the top of that list.
10. Lastly, the Division (read me) is required to provide weekly staffing updates to the Science Executive. A tracking spreadsheet has been developed. I will have Tarah add the Area positions and forward it to you for details.

To summarize, the Area salary funding envelope for 2017-18 will consist A-base and directed A-base for indeterminate staff on strength, new indeterminate staffing, plus \$1.5M in project-related determinate salaries.

Lastly, it is expected that National and Regional taxes on Science O&M will be significantly reduced as a result of comprehensive review. As a result A-base O&M available to Science is expected to increase in the next FY, although exact amounts are not known at this time. PST-related O&M is not affected by comprehensive review, at least as I understand it.

Thanks,
John

Dr. John Holmes

A/Division Manager, Aquatic Resources Research and Assessment Division, Pacific Biological Station
Fisheries and Oceans Canada / Government of Canada / 3190 Hammond Bay Road
John.Holmes@dfo-mpo.gc.ca / Tel. +1-250-756-7145; Fax +1-250-756-7053

Directeur de division par intérim, Division de la recherche et de l'évaluation des ressources aquatiques,
La station de biologie du Pacifique
Pêches et Océans Canada / Gouvernement du Canada / 3190 chemin Hammond Bay
John.Holmes@dfo-mpo.gc.ca / Tél +1-250-756-7145, Fax +1-250-756-7053

APPROVED CRITICAL INDETERMINATE STAFFING - 2017/18

Division	Section	New position?	If not new Position #	Position Title	Classification	Why Vacant	Proposed Mechanism	Possible Candidates
ARRAD	NC Salmon Assessment	N	51699	Aquatic Science Biologist, Section Head	B-04	Vacant due to retirement.	BI-04 process is underway, written exams have been completed. Planning to staff this position by February 2021, shown on Staffing plan.	
ARRAD	Fraser Salmon Assessment	N	67236	Program Administrator	CN-04	DIT P/T (Mansell) no sector approval to proceed with indefinite staffing	This start time position provides full administrative program support to between 12 & 30 stock assessment staff operating from the Anichis Island site. As the Fraser Stock assessment program operates from two separate sites, Anichis Island and Kamlopp, decentralized administrative support is required to administer pay, travel, staffing, purchasing and contracting services to various teams staff.	existing CN-04 pool - Mansell
ARRAD	Salmon Assessment	N	28714	Chinook Salmon Assessment Biologist	BI-03	vacants or filled determinately since C Parkin deployed to Fraser Area. Delayed staffing in 2018 to address salmon budget shortfall & term funded by COSEWIC. 2016 staffing approved was granted & pending month national process.	Appoint from a pool. Select Candidate from National BI-03 Pool, review Asset criteria and look for candidate with strong quantitative fishery assessment & modelling experience.	Availing results national pool
ARRAD	NC Salmon Assessment	N	70284	Aquatic Science Biologist III	BI-03	Previous substantive deployed to Salmon Core (Savard). Responsible for IBC domestic & PST Coho assessment, Northern Boundary Technical committee.	PST funded. Position is vacant, current (collaborative with FAM) BI-03 process is underway.	
ARRAD	NC Salmon Assessment	N	68626	Aquatic Science Biologist I	BI-03	The intent is to fill this position indefinitely as the current incumbent has been appointed to a BI-03 indefinitely. This position is not species specific. The incumbent will continue to work on the domestic & PST Coho assessment supported by stock assessments. Primary support biologist to the B-03's and EG-05.	PST funded. S. Davies vacating this position on Nov 21/16. Appointed to BI-03 position FV2098	
ARRAD	Fraser Salmon Assessment	N	69521	Biologist, Chinook/Coho	BI-02	Just vacated on OACOT16 - Incumbent deployed to FPP working on led staffing.	BI Blue PST. Position provides ongoing operational and analytic support for the delivery of field projects for Chinook and Coho stocks. Provides technical support for fishery management, including support of the PST CH 3 and the Chinook Technical Committee (CTC). Operational support is necessary to deliver complex field programs that address Fraser Chinook salmon escapement estimation and CWI indicator stock programs. Includes support for the CTC. Extensive data collected from field programs requires coordination and oversight to ensure timely and effective program delivery and data analysis. Data must be entered and analyzed for operation of the coast-wide Chinook stock assessment. Includes support for the development of terminal run and management, estimation of terminal run sizes, development of terminal run and escapement forecasts, and development and application of escapement goals.	existing BI-02 pool - Lemke
ARRAD	Fraser Salmon Assessment	N	67208	A/Biologist, Chum /Pink/Rc Fisheries	BI-02	Vacated on 29/03/16 - Incumbent deployed to SEP working on led staffing.	BI Blue PST. Position provides ongoing operational and analytic support for the delivery of the core Chum and Pink Salmon stock assessment and Recreational Fishery Creel programming in the lower Fraser River. The work supports numerous elements of the Fraser River stock assessment and fishery management. Operational support is necessary to plan and deliver complex field programs that address Fraser Chum escapement estimation, Fraser Pink Juvenile estimation, and recreational fishery creel projects in the lower Fraser River which report all salmon species. Analytical support encompasses regionalized juvenile estimates and trend analysis. Includes support for the development of terminal run and management, estimation of terminal run sizes, development of terminal run and escapement forecasts, and development and application of escapement goals. Extensive data collected from field programs requires coordination and oversight to ensure timely and effective program delivery and data analysis.	existing BI-02 pool - Tremourod
ARRAD	Yuk./Tribal Salmon Assessment	N	65541	Aquatic Science Biologist IV (LTH)	BI02	Yukon River - Position leads assessment field program delivery and supports design of projects for Yukon River Chinook and chum. Contributes to essential and obligatory YRP (PST) assessment programs. Position staffed in direct capacity until March 31.	PST funded. Pool established, candidate selection / development of offer in progress, start date April 1, 2017.	
ARRAD	Fraser Salmon Assessment	N	66485	Stock Assessment Technician III	EG-03	no sector approval to proceed with indefinite staffing - IND SEA	PST funded. Second independent EG positions supports technical project implementation for the ongoing core Fraser River Sockeye stock assessment program and is required to address current program delivery. Ongoing operational support and data support capacity for annual program delivery. Ongoing operational support is necessary to deliver both the field and data programs which are required to meet the objectives of the Fraser Sockeye management's data intensive and relies on an extensive network of field projects to acquire data the technical coordination and oversight provided by these positions is critical to ensure safe, timely and effective project delivery. Positions required to manage liabilities associated with long term inventory monitoring and reporting. Positions provide technical support to other team members which JPO has invested substantial resources for HRS/operational training on the job system-specific experience.	existing EG-03 pool - thej, Kalyn
ARRAD	NC Salmon Assessment	N	51705	Aquatic Science Technician V	EG-05	Technical position is necessary to meet the standards for safety, site integrity and program execution in the Central Coast. This position is essential to conduct the core assessment programs required for conservation and production management assessments of Central Coast salmon stocks. Essential to the successful completion of the assessment programs to transfer stock assessment programs to First Nations and industry.	Planning for position W/L. Intention to fill this position is 2018. Candidate has qualified in staffing pool for this position but due to budget constraints, we are unable to appoint him until next fiscal.	W. Levesque
ARRAD	SC Salmon Assessment	N	68385	Aquatic Science Technician IV	EG-04	Vacant since October 20, Andrew Prosser deployed to an EG4 stock assessment position in Campbell River.	BudBl temporarily via a short term acting assignment (4 mo. less a day) until candidates available from process / pool.	

NORTH COAST SALMON STOCK ASSESSMENT

Feb. 22, 23 2017

Skeena Boardroom – Prince Rupert DFO Office

Meeting Objectives:

To provide an opportunity for a collective overview of the NC StAD programs to ensure that a clear understanding of the full suite of programs, resource requirements and associated accountabilities is available. The input of all is required in order to ensure that we get it right.

To enable priorities to be effectively established which address NC area domestic fisheries and Pacific Salmon Treaty support requirements while supporting broader Regional salmon assessment priorities.

With the appreciation of key NCA STAD programs, examine and discuss the recent evolution of resourcing (project funds, various sources, staffing capacity, partnerships, etc.) and discuss strategies to moving forward in near and mid-term.

To provide an opportunity to improve overall communications and team-work both within the Area and with regional STAD.

Wed. Feb 22, 2017.

9:00 1. Introductions/ background info / C. Masson / J. Holmes

- Review / adjust Agenda
- Staffing Update (Need BI-03 update)
- Regional Science Changes
- StAD outlook : Regionally / for areas

BI-03
- SHAWN DAVIES - COYO / CH ✓
- JULIAN STEPHAN / P.R. - C. CONST. ✓
- CM / PK. ✓
BI-04 ✓
BI-02

9:30 – 12:00 2.(a) - Overview of meeting materials :

C. Masson / C. Leighton

- (i) Master List
- (ii) Projects by Accountable Manager
- (iii) Contract List
- (iv) Program Funding Summary by YEAR

- abundance monitoring
- monitor/determine productivity and changes
- analytical assessments and forecasts.

- objectives: meet requirements of current management regimes - domestic fisheries mgng.
 - support / meet FN, Treaty and international obligations.
 - PST obligations - assessment data to substantiate Canadian claims.

A Fisheries : escapement surveys - to support harvest mgng strategies. HR / E.R. / evaluate measures.
indicator stocks - to support ~~decrease~~ " - determine

- (v) NCA STAD Financial Coding
- (vi) Staffing Plan and current budget status

2. (b) Detailed Review of 'Projects by Accountable Manager'

- review and update to get details documented.

2. (c) Review and discuss changes to funding sources and overall availability in recent years.

- Review 'Program Funding Summary by YEAR'
- Identify advantages/challenges /successes of various resourcing sources

- CORE /

Lunch

1:00 – 2:15

3. (a) Identify range of key NCA StAD project objectives; -

3. (b) Discuss criteria for determining 'core' program and associated priorities - area considerations / regional considerations

- select : DFV - CORE - PST - for list.

2:30 – 4:00

4. (a) Discuss considerations and factors influencing future program options.

4:00 – 4:30

- Review key results and points raised.
- Next Steps and balance of Agenda

- AFS activity effectiveness
- focus on priorities - core
- measures on / expectations for STAD.

Thursday Feb. 23, 2017

9:00 – 12:00

5. (a) Identification and discussion of critical shortfalls in NCA StAD capacity: short/mid and long term

- challenges and expectations affecting each staff
- workload issues / support requirements
- Training / mentoring - links to

5. (b) Recommendations for Improvements

- Input to BI-03 selection

6. Next Steps / Follow-up

- Year End Budget - plan:
(- Budget Process & Timing -)

- Travel & Admin Budget(s) → all
- Comm assessment Review ~w/ F.M. - Shaun } will need to re-align S.D.
- Coho Assessment Review - Shaun - Shaun }
- Comm Status Reports → → new
- Follow-up on Skeena Tree Test Fishery - Mike
- " " Smith Inlet House Operation - meeting
- Collective Review of RM Program / budget & links to STAD. - SH
- Charter Patrol ~ objectives; funding; shared;

s.19(1)

R.M. - SALMON HAD B1.
- NISGA'A

STAD -

B1-D3

(B1-D2)

B1-D3

(B1-D2)

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

B1-D3

Budget Distribution and Input

2017-18 Distribution of Budgets:

	ABASE		PST	
	S&W	O&M	S&W	O&M
Distribution of funds available to allocate to STA O&M conversion to S&W to cover salary shortfall	4,899.89	276.63	4,356.25	498.01
Total budgets to distribute	4,899.89	276.63	4,356.25	498.01
Distribution to Indeterminate S&W	(4,899.9)	(355.2)	(2,420.0)	
Distribution to Admin				(995.0)
Distribution to Regional, CORE and Assessment		(78.6)	1,936.2	(497.0)
Balance				
Distribution of Project funds O&M remaining budgets, after allocations		(78.6)	1,936.2	(497.0)

no longer permitted

relief

IND REQ
Salmon_Core ARRAD NC SC Fraser Yukon
1,226,437 - 1,016,480 1,720,362 2,709,271 647,359

$$\Sigma = 1,016,480 + 307,952 = 1,324,432$$

74.04 74044

2016-17 Budget Input:

	Abase				
	Salmon_Core	ARRAD	NC	SC	Total
Indeterminate Salary Requirement (S&W):	633,745		601,696	1,123,561	2,045,808
Indeterminate Salary Allocations (S&W):	633,745		601,696	1,049,517	2,045,808
Indeterminate Salary Allocations (S&W):					
OT					
Admin Allocations (O&M):			91,864	85,635	113,654
Regional Allocations (S&W)					
Regional and Assessment Allocations (O&M)					
CORE Allocation (O&M)					
Available Project (O&M):					
Project allocations (S&W):					
Project allocations (O&M):					
Total in O&M					

PST

Salmon_Core	ARRAD	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon
592,692		414,784	596,801	663,463	78,235
592,692	-	414,784	670,845	663,463	78,235
901,000	95,000				
901,000	95,000	642,351	754,423	1,433,811	293,872
		0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		-	-	-	-
		-	-	-	-

Overall Allocation Summary

	Abase				
	Salmon_Core	SAFE	NC	SC	Total
S&W	633,745	-	601,696	1,049,517	2,045,808
O&M	-	-	91,864	85,635	113,654

PST

Salmon_Core	SAFE	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon
601,249	-	424,784	686,445	677,463	78,235
791,621	95,000	-	-	-	-

2017-18 Areas salary	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
2017-18 Areas O&M	1,026,480	1,735,962	2,723,271	647,359	6,133,072
2017-18 Areas Total	91,864	85,635	113,654	64,097	355,250
2016-17 Areas Salary	1,118,344	1,821,597	2,836,925	711,456	6,488,322
2016-17 Areas O&M	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
	1,155,383	2,073,528	3,546,354	997,519	7,772,784
	201,196	218,774	357,698	114,115	891,783

307,952	362,439	688,374	141,235
307,952	362,439	688,374	141,235
91,864	85,635	113,654	64,097
399,816	448,073	802,028	205,332
1,042,597	1,202,244	2,235,814	499,052

000082

2016-17 Areas Total 1,356,579 2,292,301 3,904,052 1,111,634 8,664,566 (642,781) (754,171) (1,433,786) (293,720)

Diff	Salary O&M Total	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
		(128,903)	(337,566)	(823,083)	(350,160)	(1,639,712)
		(109,332)	(133,139)	(244,043)	(50,019)	(536,533)
		(238,235)	(470,705)	(1,067,126)	(400,178)	(2,176,245)

--

Total
7,319,909

Total
2,345,975
2,420,019
-
-
95,000
901,000
4,120,457
-
-
-

--

Total
2,468,176
886,621

allocation of relief
total
admin 4,979,707 check

1,500,000
1,500,000
355,250
1,855,250
4,979,707

000086

O&M distributed to areas; no Admin to Core as it will be provided by ARRAD
restored funds for FR SX pressure + late fees + CSPA (CSPA will be taxed again this year)

STARTING POINT AFTER RESOTRING CUTS
3,576,558 PST Funding Allocated

1,500,000 Allocate relief funding based area proopotions

FR. Dominant SO

ADMINISTRATION COSTS

Forecast Admin Costs, established 2012/13

	General Admin	Travel Budget	2013/14 IMT resource transfer	2013/14 travel reduction	Training Budget	2015-2016 EAP costs	Total by Area
CORE	15.00	22.00	(3.00)	(4.17)	16.00	(0.83)	25.00
NC	24.50	57.60		(1.53)	12.00	(0.71)	91.86
SC	44.50	23.00		(3.34)	22.50	(1.03)	85.63
BCI				(3.73)		(2.62)	113.65
YTB	23.80	33.50		(2.76)	10.00	(0.45)	64.10
Total Admin:	107.80	136.10		(15.52)	60.50	(5.64)	355.25

NOTE: Full allocation is 44.99K. 25K in funds provided through ARRAD allocation to SALMON Core in 2017-18

Total excludes Salmon Core in 2017-18

Guidelines established June 2012:

General Admin (excluding SSC Line Objects) - Includes stationary and general office supplies, office Travel - for indeterminate core positions, not field/project related. Incl SACC related travel costs. Career Training - for indeterminate core positions, non-project related. Mandatory and for employee

2013/14, application of permanent travel reduction to Abase (note PST reduction taken off projects)

**Travel Reduction:

RC2	PRJ	COD	Total
51520	Abase		\$ 4,174.42
5F500	Abase		\$ 2,755.78
5G500	Abase		\$ 1,530.02
SC	Abase		\$ 3,335.19
BCI	Abase		\$ 3,726.83
Grand Total			\$ 15,522.24

2015-2016 EAP costs, based on \$43 per 2014/15 FTE

CORE	19.38	\$ 833
North Coast	16.42	\$ 706
South Coast	23.96	\$ 1,030
Yukon	10.41	\$ 448
BCI	60.90	\$ 2,619

2017/2018 FTE and S&W requirement for SACC Planning

	FTE Allocation	2017/2018 utilization
SALMON_CORE	18.00	14.48
NC	16.29	17.02
SC	30.40	28.58
Fraser	47.86	42.76
Yukon	11.75	12.90
Total	124.30	115.74

Total FTE requirements according to 2017/2018 staffing plans				
	Salary		O&M	
	Abase	PST	Abase	PST
Salmon_Core	8.48	6.00		
NC	7.01	4.69	3.12	2.20
SC	14.00	8.00		6.58
Fraser	27.63	9.08		6.05
Yukon	6.79	1.00	5.11	
Area total + salmo	63.91	28.77	8.23	14.83

Indet
14.48
11.70
22.00
36.71
7.79

Total salary (\$\$) requirements (excl contract settlements) according to 2017/2018 staffing plans				
	Salary		O&M	
	Abase	PST	Abase	PST
Salmon_Core	633,745	592,692		
NC	601,696	414,784	174,317	109,477
SC	1,123,561	596,801		315,457
Fraser	2,045,808	663,463		423,173
Yukon	569,124	78,235	296,043	
Area total + salmo	4,973,934	2,345,975	470,360	848,107

New: Abase & PS

% Salary
16.8%
13.9%
23.5%
37.0%
8.8%

1,226,437
1,016,480
1,720,362
2,709,271
647,359

Notes:
Salary requirements are based on old contracts, contract settlement amount will be transferred in year from TB and RHQ.

Salary and FTE figures verified by Reet 16Aug17

Total Based on latest staffing plans

14.48
26.18
48.18
84.89
92.68

39.80

IT covers only remaining salmon sec & Coord (partial) -some individuals also covered by SARA funds; funds for staff transferred to other sections / divisions taken off the top

1,226,437
1,300,274
2,035,819
3,132,444
943,402

352428.3107

19-Aug-16

NOT UPDATED

2015/16 Explanation of budgets and allocations:

Permanent (2014-2015) reduction to Abase S&W of 92.1K for OBF (Operational Budget Freeze). The positions eliminated: EG-04 position
 Permanent (2015-2016) OBF reduction to Abase S&W of 135.9K. The positions eliminated: EG-04 position
 Permanent (2015-2016) OBF reduction to PST S&W of 102.6K. The positions eliminated: BI-02 position
 Permanent reduction: Late fees and Interest payments based on area specific charges in 2013/2014

Area	Invoice Amount
Salmon	\$ 990.14
NC	\$ 227.92
SC	\$ 165.63

Permanent reduction: Canada School of Public Service based on 2013/2014 FTE (IND and Terms over 3 n

	PST	Abase
Yukon	\$227	\$786
NC	\$507	\$718
SC	\$669	\$1,629
BCI	\$1,097	\$3,130
Salmon	\$671	\$1,465
SAFE	\$108	

5.64K reduction to Abase O&M to cover EAP costs. Amount is \$43/FTE based on 2014/2015 utilization,
 No reductions were identified for SACC to cover the Real Property 1 reduction. The Safety Net and Real

S&W requirements do not include forecasted contract settlement.

Abase S&W shortfall covered off by PST salary (total Abase shortfall assigned to SC for convenience)

This year again, the CFOs office has directed that no conversion between S&W and O&M occur. As such
 As a result project large proportion of project O&M provided as PST S&W

For reporting purpose, programs are to identify necessary conversions and ensure that they have O&M :

sition eliminated was a BI-03 position in SC STAD (#51421).
on in NC STAD (#51622) and EG-04 position in SC STAD (#52421).
n NC STAD (#68625) and 24K in deferred staffing in BCI.

no) from CUBE

and has been applied to the Admin budgets. See Admin tab, Column G
Property 2 reductions were not implemented.

, SACC budget (in particular PST project \$\$) have been distributed in the same envelope that they were received
slippage (including the 20% EBP) to cover their S&W deficit.

Masson, Colin

Subject: SACC - Stock Assessment Coordinating Committee
Location: Nanaimo Conference Centre

Start: Thu 4/20/2017 1 00 PM
End: Fri 4/21/2017 1 00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Organizer: Dobson, Diana
Required Attendees: Holmes, John; Tompkins, Arlene, Luedke, Wilf, Whitehouse, Timber, Millar, Nathan; Masson, Colin; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Grout, Jeff, MacDougall, Lesley
Optional Attendees: Brown, Laura (Pacific); Gotch, Steve; Forrest, Robyn, Kennedy, Eddy; Taylor, Nathan; Bate, Angela; Lemieux, Jeffrey; Fair, Brenda; Fraser, Kathryn, Grant, Sue; Allan, Dean (Dean.Allan@dfo-mpo.gc.ca); Patterson, David (David.Patterson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca)

Good Morning:

Update for the SACC Meeting starting tomorrow at the Nanaimo conference centre.

Attached are:

- 1) A draft agenda.
- 2) A copy of the TOR for SACC.



SACC_April_20_2... TOR-SACC-v8.d...

Note:

1. For those travelling, I created an agenda that adjourns at 1pm.
2. The agenda includes 15 minutes for updates from each Area Chief + Science Division Managers (including CSAS).

Thanks

Diana

Good Afternoon,

For those of you that don't already know, I have taken on an assignment as 'Salmon Science Coordinator' for the next year.

One of the responsibilities of this new position is to organize the Stock Assessment Coordinating Committee so to that end:

There is a Salmon Working Group meeting tentatively scheduled for April 19 to April 20 in Nanaimo – location/date yet to be confirmed.

My understanding is that SACC usually meets at time adjacent to this meeting to reduce travel.

- Looking at availability in calendars, I suggest meeting after the SWG. (This format would also allow for more expanded discussion of science/assessment related topics identified for action in the SWG.)

Detailed Agenda to follow. Some ideas:

- 2017 FY budget
- Should probably also review the TOR given recent re-orgs, particularly in Science where salmon responsibilities are now spread across Divisions and Sections.
- Salmon Stock Assessment Framework
- FNFC Collaborative Science Project
- State of the Salmon Report
- Other topics would presumably fall out of issues identified in the SWG – i.e. for further discussion and work planning.
- Other suggestions?

In the meantime, John has advised that (as you know) current budgets are expected to be similar to last year noting: "One thing to put on the SACC radar is that in addition to the \$1.5M in relief funding from Ottawa that is expected, we also anticipate that O&M will be greater than last year because taxes will be lower. Of course, no idea of exact numbers yet but it likely means that the program can be bigger than last year."

Thanks,
Diana

**Stock Assessment Coordinating Committee
Draft Agenda: April 20-21, 2017
Vancouver Island Conference Center
101 Gordon Street, Nanaimo
1-877-413-4790 pw: [REDACTED]**

s.16(2)

Objectives:

- Coordinate salmon stock assessment activities.
- Identify opportunities for collaboration across areas and divisions

Day 1: April 20 1pm to 4pm

1:00 Introductions, Review Agenda

1:15 Terms of Reference, Stock Assessment Coordinating Committee

- Review and update the Terms of Reference in light of the Science reorg and other organizational changes

1.30 Area Updates

- Fraser River – T. Whitehouse
- South Coast – W. Luedke
- North Coast – C. Masson
- Yukon/Transboundary - N. Millar

2:30 Heath Break

2:45 ADGT Update – N. Taylor

3:00 Ecosystem Division – E. Kennedy

3 15 CSAS Update – L. MacDougall

3.30 Discussion

- Identify general issues for discussion and/or further action from updates for further discussion on Day 2

4:00 End

DAY 2: April 21 9 am to 1pm

9:00 Science Program Update – J. Holmes

- Budget
- Staffing
- Pressures/Demands etc. etc.

9:45 Stock Assessment Framework / Fisheries Management Framework - Dobson

10:15 Health Break

10:30 State of the Salmon Report – S. Grant

11:15 Strategic discussion – Identify further issues for discussion and/or action:

- Issues arising from Day 1 updates
- The Salmon Working Group meeting
- PST negotiations (coordination)
- Wild Salmon Policy Implementation
- First Nation Collaboration
- MSE application
- Etc.

12:30 Wrap Up/Next Steps

- Next SWG June 7-8th at RHQ.
- Schedule next SACC – June 8 – 9 At RHQ?

1:00 pm End

SAC.

April 20. 2017.

D. Doherty

J. Grant

Dean M

Timber W.

Kathy Fraser

+ others.

Will Lendke

- Jeffrey Lemieux - Section Head F/W Research.

i) TOR - discussion

- there is a data base of projects; with priorities - to determine annual funding.
- identification of priorities ~ criteria ~
- strategic approach for addressing resource constraints.

STOCK ASSESSMENT COORDINATING COMMITTEE (SACC) TERMS OF REFERENCE

Purpose: The Stock Assessment Coordinating Committee (SACC) serves as the Pacific Region's principal forum for salmon stock assessment planning and implementation coordination, guided by relevant policies and priorities.

Accountability:

- The Regional Director of Science is accountable for the planning and implementation of Salmon Stock Assessment programming in the Pacific Region. The Section Head, Salmon Stock Assessment (Science) exercises this accountability, in part, on behalf of the RD of Science, as Chair of SACC.

Reporting:

- SACC will report key conclusions reached in carrying out its planning and coordination responsibilities to the Regional Operations and Planning Committee, including an evaluation of the effectiveness of stock assessment programs to support the Department's conservation and fisheries management objectives, and any mitigation strategies that it might recommend.

Specifically, the SACC will:

For Integrated Stock Assessment Planning:

- Examine the range of policy and legal objectives for salmon stock assessment information and advice, including emerging priorities, and develop a prioritized list of these objectives for designing the annual Integrated Salmon Stock Assessment Plan. Relevant policy and legal objectives currently include the Wild Salmon Policy, Pacific Salmon Treaty, First Nations obligations including treaties, and fisheries management objectives related to sustainable use.
- Review and recommend for approval, salmon stock assessment frameworks that describe in a consistent, rigorous and transparent manner the stock assessment programs required to address priority objectives within a risk-based decision model.
- Oversee the consistent application of salmon stock assessment frameworks and the development of mitigation strategies when the required level of stock assessment programming is not achievable
- Develop the draft annual salmon stock assessment work plan. →
- Recommend the draft annual salmon stock assessment work plan for approval to the RD – Science. }

For Stock Assessment Implementation Coordination:

- Review the effectiveness of on-going Pacific Region salmon stock assessment activities and identify gaps, redundancies and inefficiencies to improve effectiveness and ensure the best use of available resources.
- Review the implementation of the annual salmon stock assessment work plan throughout the year and make recommendations for adjustments to the plan as necessary.
- Provide oversight in the preparation of Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat (CSAS) working papers to ensure consistent application of accepted methodologies and compliance with CSAS policies and procedures.
- Provide oversight in the preparation of the annual Salmon Outlook report that gives an early indication of stock status for the coming year to inform Integrated Fisheries Management Plan (IFMP) development.

Membership:

Core Members:

- Head – Salmon Stock Assessment - Science (chair)
- Area Chief of Stock Assessment – Lower Fraser River/ BC Interior
- Area Chief of Stock Assessment – South Coast
- Area Chief of Stock Assessment – North Coast
- Area Chief of Stock Assessment – Yukon/Transboundary

Members for Integrated Stock Assessment Planning

- Area Director – Lower Fraser River
- Area Director – South Coast
- Area Director – North Coast
- Area Director – BC Interior
- Area Director – Yukon/Transboundary
- Regional Salmon Coordinator – Fisheries Management
- Pacific Region – Ecosystem Management representative
- Division Manager - SAFE

Others Participants:

- Other staff members as approved by the Chair
- Program and Area Business Managers on a meeting specific basis.

Protocols:


- Alternates are permitted if a regular member is unavailable or with approval of the Chair.
- Members can attend in person or by phone

Operating Procedures:

- Meetings are chaired by the Head – Salmon Stock Assessment Section - Science

- Meetings occur when called by the Chair and as required to inform stock assessment planning and implementation coordination.
- A record of each meeting will be drafted by the Chair after each meeting and circulated to meeting participants for comments before finalizing.
- The draft annual stock assessment work plan will be transmitted by the Chair to the RD – Science for approval.
- At the discretion of the RD – Science, key issues may be presented to the Regional Operations and Planning Committee for discussion and direction.


Masson, Colin

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 2:49 PM
To: Holmes, John; Wysocki, Roger; Tompkins, Arlene; Luedke, Wilf; Whitehouse, Timber, Smith, Steve (Pacific); Millar, Nathan; Masson, Colin
Cc: Landry, Jean
Subject: RE: Text
Attachments: 

Changes in the attached word doc – needs new eyes

From: Holmes, John
Sent: May-25-17 1:27 PM
To: Wysocki, Roger; Dobson, Diana
Cc: Landry, Jean
Subject: RE: Text

s.15(1)(I.A.)
s.21(1)(b)




John Holmes
250-756-7145

From: Wysocki, Roger
Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 12:32 PM
To: Dobson, Diana
Cc: Landry, Jean; Holmes, John
Subject: Text

Your input w/in 2 hours would be appreciated!
When you response, Diana, please copy the whole group.
Big thanks!
Roger





Roger Wysocki

Manager, Fisheries Science - Pacific and Arctic Section
Fisheries and Oceans Canada / Government of Canada
roger.wysocki@dfo-mpo.gc.ca / **Tel (New): 613-990-0704**

s.15(1)(I.A.)

s.21(1)(b)

Gestionnaire des sciences halieutiques, Division Pacifique et Arctique
Pêches et Océans Canada / Gouvernement du Canada
roger.wysocki@dfo-mpo.gc.ca / **Tél (Neuf): 613-990-0704**



Government
of Canada

Gouvernement
du Canada

**Pages 103 to / à 104
are withheld pursuant to sections
sont retenues en vertu des articles**

21(1)(b), 15(1)(l.A.)

**of the Access to Information Act
de la Loi sur l'accès à l'information**

Masson, Colin

Subject: SACC Review of Proposed O&M Allocations & CR PST Integrity
Location: Phone: 1-877-413-4790 ID. [REDACTED]
Start: Tue 6/27/2017 10 30 AM
End: Tue 6/27/2017 11 30 AM
Show Time As: Tentative s.16(2)
s.21(1)(b)
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Not yet responded
Organizer: Holmes, John
Required Attendees: Luedke, Wilf, Dobson, Diana, Tompkins, Arlene, Whitehouse, Timber, Masson, Colin, Millar, Nathan, Smith, Steve (Pacific); Gotch, Steve

Agenda.

1. Proposed O&M allocations by Area and adjust if necessary (Area Projects\$ tab)
2. CR – PST Integrity – confirm specific projects (CR PST Integrity tab)
3. Science Renewal funding for Cohen (Cohen tab)
4. Other

- Check "Main & Reduction" tab - for N.C. info !!

Phone-in details:
Toll-free. 1-877-413-4790
ID: [REDACTED]
Local: 613-960-7514

I have placed this file on the salmon drive as well: N:\Science\StockAssessCoord\FY-17-18



2017-2018 SACC
Budget_Simplifi...

→ No cuts relative to last year - but alloc's may be different.

→ 1.3 M Prog Integ: : 3 core activities CWT/Exc. / FM & Cool Surveys

→ \$ will be ongoing.

→ it is not included in Area tab in not

④ → Firm up N.C. Requirements.

2017-18 Starting Point - Anticipated Allocation of Projects funds

	\$\$ for projects (all in O&M)						
2016-17 Actual	Core	SAFE	N Coast	S Coast	Fraser	Yukon	total
Admin	44,992		91,864	85,635	113,654	64,097	400,242
reduce 400K FR SX					-400,000		-400,000
reallocation of FR SX pressure	83,195	18,027	61,425	72,142	137,109	28,102	400,000
reallocation: Late Fee (area specific)	990		228	166			1,384
reallocation: CSPS (area specific)	2,136	108	1,225	2,298	4,227	1,013	11,007
2016/17 alloc (incl admin) + restored 412	995,363	188,744	734,215	840,058	1,547,465	357,969	4,663,815
2016/17 alloc (excl admin) + restored 412	950,371	188,744	642,351	754,423	1,433,811	293,872	4,263,573
2017/18 alloc (incl admin in L10)	1,097,505	209,029	803,252	921,140	1,701,565	389,553	5,122,046
2017/18 alloc (excl admin)	1,052,513	209,029	771,388	835,505	1,587,911	325,456	4,721,804

restored funds for FR SX pressure + late fee

Admin 2015
400,242

458,232 O&M increment relative
458,232 O&M increment relative

- reg. reductions only on O&M.

* Aug. 19. 2016.
- Check this #. -

- Apply funding to whatever was cut last year.

No information has been removed or severed from this page

to 2016-17 redistributed to areas proportionately based on 2016/17 budgets
to 2016-17 redistributed to areas proportionately based on 2016/17 budgets

ADMINISTRATION COSTS

Forecast Admin Costs, established 2012/13

	General Admin	Travel Budget	2013/14 IMIT resource transfer	2013/14 travel reduction	Training Budget	2015-2016 EAP costs	Total by Area
CORE	15.00	22.00	(3.00)	(4.17)	16.00	(0.83)	25.00
NC	24.50	57.60		(1.53)	12.00	(0.71)	91.86
SC	44.50	23.00		(3.34)	22.50	(1.03)	85.63
BCI				(3.73)		(2.62)	113.65
YTB	23.80	33.50		(2.76)	10.00	(0.45)	64.10
Total Admin:	107.80	136.10		(15.52)	60.50	(5.64)	380.25

NOTE: reduced from 2015 for re-org & funds provided by science

Guidelines established June 2012:

- General Admin (excluding SSC Line Objects) - Includes stationary and general office supplies, office
- Travel - for indeterminate core positions, not field/project related. Incl SACC related travel costs.
- Career Training - for indeterminate core positions, non-project related. Mandatory and for employee

2013/14, application of permanent travel reduction to Abase (note PST reduction taken off projects)

**Travel Reduction:

RC2	PRJ_COD	Total
51520	Abase	\$ 4,174.42
5F500	Abase	\$ 2,755.78
5G500	Abase	\$ 1,530.02
SC	Abase	\$ 3,335.19
BCI	Abase	\$ 3,726.83
Grand Total		\$ 15,522.24

2015-2016 EAP costs, based on \$43 per 2014/15 FTE			
	2014/15 FTE		
CORE	19.38	\$	833
North Coast	16.42	\$	706
South Coast	23.96	\$	1,030
Yukon	10.41	\$	448
BCI	60.90	\$	2,619

2016/2017 FTE and S&W requirement for SACC Planning

	FTE Allocation	2014/2015 utilization
SALMON_CORE	18.00	17.68
NC	16.29	16.42
SC	30.40	23.96
Fraser	47.86	56.72
Yukon	11.75	9.05
Total	124.30	123.82

Total FTE requirements according to 2015/2016 staffing plans				
	Salary		O&M	
	Abase	PST	Abase	PST
Salmon_Core	7.20	5.59		
NC	7.01	5.05	1.70	2.23
SC	13.74	8.00		7.17
Fraser	30.06	9.75		17.85
Yukon	10.61	1.00		
Area total + salmo	68.62	29.39	1.70	27.25

Indet
12.79
12.06
21.74
39.81
11.61

% Salary
15.8%
11.4%
23.0%
38.4%
11.5%

Total salary (\$\$) requirements (excl contract settlements) according to 2016/2017 staffing plans				
	Salary		O&M	
	Abase	PST	Abase	PST
Salmon_Core	681,989	500,592		
NC	465,739	384,380	88,114	104,454
SC	1,114,505	600,431		354,586
Fraser	2,198,049	666,915		899,234
Yukon	781,838	76,024		
Area total + salmo	5,242,121	2,228,342	88,114	1,358,274

New: Abase & PS

Notes:

Salary requirements excludes OBF positions

Salary requirements do not include forecasted increases for contract settlements past expiry date.

FTEs and salary envelope figures not yet available - Reet and Brenda are working

updated

Total
12.79
15.99
28.91
57.66
11.61

IT covers only remaining salmon sec & Coord (partial) -some individuals also covered by SARA funds; funds for staff transferred to other sections / divisions taken off the top

on them using staffing plans

BL 810

	S&W 2017-18			
	A-Base	PST	IOFR	A-base
Initial Mains Allocation	10,953,411	4,790,427		953,970
Regional Reductions				48,900
Available	10,953,411	4,790,427		905,070
		1,500,000		
Salary for Ind Staff		3,290,427		

O&M 2017-18

PST	IOFR
2,107,027	450,000
287,980	61,504
1,819,047	388,496

IOFR - Investing in Ocean and Freshwater Research - Science Research

1,800,000	Converting S&W for projects to O&M
1,500,000	Relief funding from Ottawa (requested)
5,119,047	Starting point for 2017-18 distribution
4,660,815	Starting point for 2016-17 (after cuts restarted)
458,232	Incremental increase (9.8% relative to 2016-17)

Wal Funding put towards Cohen Responses

No information has been removed or severed from this page

Masson, Colin

From: Holmes, John
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 5:08 PM
To: Whitehouse, Timber; Luedke, Wilf; Dobson, Diana; Tompkins, Arlene; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Smith, Steve (Pacific), Gotch, Steve
Cc: Bate, Angela; Lowe, Carmel
Subject: RE SACC Review of Proposed O&M Allocations & CR PST Integrity

Hi All,



Attachment cannot be located.

2017-2018 SACC
Budget_Simplifi ..

Attached is an updated SACC budget spreadsheet (2017-18 SACC Budget_Simplified_28June2017.xlsx). I found an error in the previous estimates of O&M to the areas in the Area Project\$ tab. The error was that the Admin allotment was being taken from the PST O&M rather than BL 810 A-base O&M. Once I removed it from the calculation, your allocations increased relative to those in the spreadsheet we looked at yesterday (2017-2018 SACC Budget_Simplified_26June2017.xlsx).

A couple of points that I would like you to consider:

1. Available Budget Tab – The O&M for Salmon Core covers the MRP (860K) and PST-related travel for a total of 955K. O&M support for Ewatch (74K), Hyatt (10K) and a few other things totalling 100K is shown above and appears as SAFE on the Area Project\$ tab.
2. In the Area Project\$ tab BL810 O&M (called Admin) is allocated only to the Areas. I have already included A-base O&M for Salmon Core (25K) in my Divisional request. So there will be A-base for Core, just not through this budgeting route.
3. Allocation of Budgets tab – I have used the proportions calculated in 2016-17 to allocate PST S&W (the \$1.5M for projects) and O&M to each Area and populate the relevant cells in the Overall Allocation Summary table. Brenda and Reet are asking for these figures and will add indeterminate S&W to them for their budget transfers
4. I have not provided allocations for the 1.5M in relief funding because we don't have the funds. We will have to risk manage them until they arrive – Ottawa knows that we need and expect those funds. This means we will need to populate the Tracking Relief Funding tab with specific project information that will be covered by these funds as we will be asked for regular reporting.
5. If I read the overall Allocation Summary correctly, Allocation of Budgets tab, a total of \$1.12M in O&M (PST – 764K; A-base 355K) will be transferred to you, which is an increase relative to the 887K transferred in 2016-17. In addition, Brenda is indicating that S&W transfers will increase relative to 2016-17, the exact amount is uncertain because she and Reet are still costing it out.
6. When all is said and done, I expect that each area will receive O&M support listed in L19 of the Area Project\$ tab. For the Fraser, when combined with Admin (113K) and the Harrison 4-1 support from PST Integrity CR (215K) O&M support will be \$2.19M, which exceeds the request in Timber's message below.
7. S&W allocations will cover all indeterminate staff (FT, PT, seasonal) and new hires. These allocations will increase relative to last year. Regarding, determinate staff in critical indeterminate positions, we have not cut them. They are not funded out of A-base or directed A-base (PST) S&W allocations, they are funded from O&M, which means a little less flexibility with your S&W funds. I fully expect there will be slippage within your S&W allocations that you can use in-year for determinate staff as well.

8. The directive received from the RDG was no reduction in Science funding for salmon assessment relative to 2016-17. Once the S&W figures are costed, we will exceed 2016-17 levels for both S&W and O&M.

The Cohen tab shows the breakout for Science Renewal funding (354K) applied to four areas: Qualark, Lakes Program, Rotary Screw Trap at Mission, Ewatch analytical support. I note that this support is not sufficient to meet requirements and have included that additional needs in the relief funding tab.

The remaining funds in the Cohen tab (about 34K) will be split among 11 positions (~3.1K) for which this funding was intended to support. My sense is that we should be receiving more O&M under this allotment but Brenda and Reet have not found it, yet.

PST Integrity funds from Comprehensive Review (CR PST Integrity Tab)

It appears that when the Fall integrity proposal dropped from 13M to 1.3M, there was very few parameters placed on how we use the funds. However, the RDG has requested that we make sure the funds go to the most significant pressures that will positively impact PST negotiations still underway.

Three foci were identified in the accepted proposal: CWT/MRP (625K), Escapement (425K), and Fishery Monitoring/Creel Survey (250K).

I believe we have agreed on the following yesterday:

1. The MRP BI-02 will be staffed as it provides regional support
2. The remaining MRP O&M (217K) will be reallocated to Escapement and Fishery Monitoring for 2017-18 only.
3. The MRP program will reclaim 217K in O&M beginning in 2018-19 and going forward
4. Harrison 4-1 Chinook was allocated 215K under Escapement
5. The State of the Salmon BI-02 for Sue Grant will be staffed (this is occurring already and is not in my control)
6. The iREC survey coordinator will be staffed because it provides regional support and will be located in South Coast
7. The RES position could be reprofiled. Several of you are making the case that you need data crunching, report writing staff. Will need to see a business case demonstrating how this is strategic.
8. Some creel surveys that are being risk managed are included within the Fishery Monitoring Component

We could leave the funding among the three areas of focus as is. But I think we need to rearrange it strategically with respect to program integrity. It does not have to occur this year. The CWT/MRP and Fishery Monitoring/Creel Surveys are linked and are among the major pressures affecting negotiations. My suggestion is that we consider reallocating some of the funds in the other components to the MRP program beginning in 2018-19 to cover the funding received from the Northern Endowment Fund (~484K) as this request is a significant pressure on the NEF. This would mean finding 267K to top up the MRP budget from the other funds in this envelope.

There may be other options for how this funding is profiled.

Thanks,

John

(250) 756-7145 <- new phone number
John.Holmes@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

From: Whitehouse, Timber
Sent: June-28-17 9:06 AM

To: Holmes, John; Luedke, Wilf; Dobson, Diana; Tompkins, Arlene; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Gotch, Steve
Cc: Bate, Angela
Subject: RE: SACC Review of Proposed O&M Allocations & CR PST Integrity
Importance: High

Just so we are all working from a common understanding, since January and based on discussion with John, I have been of the understanding that the funding available to our program was same as last year, including the risk managed relief total of \$1.5M (the Fraser share of that relief was \$935K O&M). Based on discussion yesterday it sounds like, at least to some, like last year does not mean same as last year. Perhaps that is where my understanding differs as I have interpreted like last year to mean same as last year.

Thus, the O&M funding total I have been planning for is same as last year at \$1,966K (as we discussed yesterday) plus admin of \$113K.

This isn't where the funding challenge stops however. We have not yet discussed Staffing Plan impacts which is critical as changes there also impact capacity to deliver projects. There were cuts to S&W in the staffing plan for 2017 that were large: in November 2016 SEC reduced the Fraser Staffing Plan by 7 FTE's and \$520K S&W; 2 of these FTE's were restored in January 2017 reducing the S&W shortfall to \$360K. Of the 5 FTE's remaining as cut (reducing Fraser funding by \$360K S&W) 4 were exclusively project delivery related, the 5th is an analytic BI-02 in the Sockeye Program and cannot be tied directly to project delivery. This leaves a shortfall relative to last year of \$280K S&W in the Fraser for project delivery, \$360K S&W to same as last year. I have been advised all along that the S&W reductions are not really reductions and that the costs will just be moved from S&W in the staffing plan to O&M funding in the PST envelop. So this is the understanding I have been working with.

This means that to get back to funding levels that were provided to the Fraser in 2016/17 for approved program delivery including risk managed elements, the O&M cost for 2017/18 in addition to the \$1,966+\$113K O&M identified above, the Fraser needs an additional \$432K in O&M just to have the same resources as provided to us last year.

This is a total of \$2,511K O&M currency. This funding will deliver the same program as last year, and is the foundation on which I have been planning. Since it seems that there is potentially a significantly different interpretation of what like-last-year means it is critical that we get on the same page right away.

If funding is not the same as last year (my understanding) then there is a large cut that has to be made to planned programming in the Fraser. This can be achieved right now, profiling for significantly reduced funds, but decisions and communications need to occur immediately.

Timber Whitehouse
Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Area Chief, Fraser Stock Assessment Program
985 McGill Place, Kamloops, BC V2C 6X6

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Holmes, John

Sent: June-26-17 10:40 AM

To: Luedke, Wilf; Dobson, Diana; Tompkins, Arlene; Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Gotch, Steve

Subject: SACC Review of Proposed O&M Allocations & CR PST Integrity

When: June-27-17 10:30 AM-11:30 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Phone: 1-877-413-4790 ID: [REDACTED]

Agenda:

1. Proposed O&M allocations by Area and adjust if necessary (Area Projects\$ tab)
2. CR – PST Integrity – confirm specific projects (CR PST Integrity tab)
3. Science Renewal funding for Cohen (Cohen tab)
4. Other

s.16(2)

Phone-in details:

Toll-free: 1-877-413-4790

ID: [REDACTED]

Local: 613-960-7514

I have placed this file on the salmon drive as well: N:\Science\StockAssessCoord\FY-17-18

<< File: 2017-2018 SACC Budget_Simplified_26June2017.xlsx >>

Masson, Colin

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2017 3:34 PM
To: Whitehouse, Timber; Holmes, John; Luedke, Wilf; Tompkins, Arlene; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan, Smith, Steve (Pacific); Gotch, Steve
Cc: Bate, Angela
Subject: RE: SACC Review of Proposed O&M Allocations & CR PST Integrity

Hi Folks,

Please see attached spreadsheet The project inventory for SACC.

As I understand it, the intention of the spreadsheet was ambitious and to capture all monitoring projects from various funding sources (not just A-base and PST, but funds directed from AFS, AAROM, Endowment Funds, etc.)

From what I can tell, this inventory has not been updated for a few years

It seems to me an updated project inventory list from each area on at least A-base and PST related funding related to SACC allocation would be most helpful at this point.

In the meantime, this is what we have to go with.

I understand the pivots I have created may not be entirely accurate with regard to O&M totals, SACC related allocations – e.g. not sure limnology or Okanogan SO is run out of the Fraser O&M identified.

If not, could you please send me an updated list and I will update the master ASAP.

Thanks,
Diana



Attachment cannot be located.

SACC

ProjectInventory...

From: Whitehouse, Timber
Sent: June-28-17 9:06 AM
To: Holmes, John; Luedke, Wilf; Dobson, Diana; Tompkins, Arlene; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Gotch, Steve
Cc: Bate, Angela
Subject: RE: SACC Review of Proposed O&M Allocations & CR PST Integrity
Importance: High

Just so we are all working from a common understanding, since January and based on discussion with John, I have been of the understanding that the funding available to our program was same as last year, including the risk managed relief total of \$1.5M (the Fraser share of that relief was \$935K O&M). Based on discussion yesterday it sounds like, at least to some, like last year does not mean same as last year. Perhaps that is where my understanding differs as I have interpreted like last year to mean same as last year.

Thus, the O&M funding total I have been planning for is same as last year at \$1,966K (as we discussed yesterday) plus admin of \$113K.

This isn't where the funding challenge stops however. We have not yet discussed Staffing Plan impacts which is critical as changes there also impact capacity to deliver projects. There were cuts to S&W in the staffing plan for 2017 that were large: in November 2016 SEC reduced the Fraser Staffing Plan by 7 FTE's and \$520K S&W; 2 of these FTE's were restored in January 2017 reducing the S&W shortfall to \$360K. Of the 5 FTE's remaining as cut (reducing Fraser funding by \$360K S&W) 4 were exclusively project delivery related, the 5th is an analytic BI-02 in the Sockeye Program and cannot be tied directly to project delivery. This leaves a shortfall relative to last year of \$280K S&W in the Fraser for project delivery, \$360K S&W to same as last year. I have been advised all along that the S&W reductions are not really reductions and that the costs will just be moved from S&W in the staffing plan to O&M funding in the PST envelop. So this is the understanding I have been working with.

This means that to get back to funding levels that were provided to the Fraser in 2016/17 for approved program delivery including risk managed elements, the O&M cost for 2017/18 in addition to the \$1,966+\$113K O&M identified above, the Fraser needs an additional \$432K in O&M just to have the same resources as provided to us last year.

This is a total of \$2,511K O&M currency. This funding will deliver the same program as last year, and is the foundation on which I have been planning. Since it seems that there is potentially a significantly different interpretation of what like-last-year means it is critical that we get on the same page right away.

If funding is not the same as last year (my understanding) then there is a large cut that has to be made to planned programming in the Fraser. This can be achieved right now, profiling for significantly reduced funds, but decisions and communications need to occur immediately.

s.16(2)

Timber Whitehouse
Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Area Chief, Fraser Stock Assessment Program
985 McGill Place, Kamloops, BC V2C 6X6

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Holmes, John

Sent: June-26-17 10:40 AM

To: Luedke, Wilf; Dobson, Diana; Tompkins, Arlene; Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Gotch, Steve

Subject: SACC Review of Proposed O&M Allocations & CR PST Integrity

When: June-27-17 10:30 AM-11:30 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

Where: Phone: 1-877-413-4790 ID: [REDACTED]

Agenda:

1. Proposed O&M allocations by Area and adjust if necessary (Area Projects\$ tab)
2. CR – PST Integrity – confirm specific projects (CR PST Integrity tab)
3. Science Renewal funding for Cohen (Cohen tab)
4. Other

Phone-in details:

Toll-free: 1-877-413-4790

ID: [REDACTED]

Local: 613-960-7514

I have placed this file on the salmon drive as well. N:\Science\StockAssessCoord\FY-17-18

<< File: 2017-2018 SACC Budget_Simplified_26June2017.xlsx >>

Masson, Colin

From: Holmes, John
Sent: Thursday, July 6, 2017 6:25 PM
To: Dobson, Diana; Whitehouse, Timber; Luedke, Wilf; Masson, Colin; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Taylor, Nathan
Cc: Tompkins, Arlene
Subject: RE. Need project lists and proposed projects for relief funding
Attachments: FINAL 2017-2018 SACC Budget_07July2017.xlsx; CR PST Integrity Funds.xlsx

Attachments cannot be located.

Hi All,

Thanks to Diana for outlining the next steps.

1. Regarding Relief Funding, I suggest you list your projects for relief funding on the Tracking Relief funding tab on the attached Budget spreadsheet (dated July 7). A separate list of core projects will also be needed.

2. CR PST Integrity funding - I attach a separate spreadsheet for your use. We have agreed (I think) to fund three BI-02 positions (MRP BI-02, State of the Salmon BI-02, IREC BI-02) and Harrison 4-1 Chinook escapement. There are some additional items under escapement and fishery monitoring that were suggested, but not agreed to so far. Our instructions are to use these funds on [REDACTED] specific chapters addressed by a project.

We have some flexibility this year as we do not need to allocate anymore than 96K to the MRP program. Please add your suggestions, identifying relevant chapters. If we allocated more funds than are available (987.5K), then we will have a discussion on reducing the asks.

Note that we have been requested to provide 40K for PST admin (travel and honoraria for Canadian panel members) and I have added it to the spreadsheet.

I will put both files on the salmon drive in the 2017-18 folder under StockAssessCoord

John Holmes

250-756-7145

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: Thursday, July 06, 2017 11:07 AM
To: Whitehouse, Timber; Luedke, Wilf; Masson, Colin; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Taylor, Nathan
Cc: Holmes, John; Tompkins, Arlene
Subject: Need project lists and proposed projects for relief funding

Good Morning!

Just following up from yesterday's budget call.

Next steps:

1. Brenda/Reit to confirm allocated S&W dollars (for PST/A-base) based on indeterminate (including seasonal at 0.17) staffing plans.
2. SACC needs to allocate relief funding + PST integrity funding. Conference follow up next week.

In order to facilitate item 2, all agreed to send in their project lists – core projects + those proposed with relief funding. (I've got an older project inventory that needs to be updated.)

In any case, so far I've only got the SC project list...

Thanks,
Diana

Masson, Colin

Subject: 2017/2018 Budgets
Location: 1-877-413-4781 ID [REDACTED]
Start: Wed 7/12/2017 12:30 PM
End: Wed 7/12/2017 1:30 PM
Show Time As: Tentative s.16(2)
Recurrence: (none)
Meeting Status: Tentatively accepted
Organizer: Dobson, Diana
Required Attendees: Holmes, John; Luedke, Wilf; Tompkins, Arlene; Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Smith, Steve (Pacific)

Good Morning,

We really need to sort out budgets.

Brenda found spreadsheet error and it turns out there is about 160K less PST O&M available than originally anticipated. (My suggestion is to take it off 'core' and then add those funds back into MRP from the PST integrity funding.)

I also believe there are also some staffing issues that need to be sorted out (i.e. IND S&W).

Notwithstanding these issues, there still should be about 500K additional O&M to allocate relative to last year after accounting for the CR and 1.5M relief funding that is being risk managed (only about 1.3M of this total was spent last year).

Without allocating the 1.5M in relief funding, current A-base and PST in O&M dollars for each area (including admin) looks like this – i.e. similar to the spreadsheet John sent out last week.

NC	SC	FRASER	YUKON	TOTAL
618,865	704,582	1,289,989	305,197	2,918,633

Proportional allocation in relief funding would like this:

For tomorrow:

1. I still need project lists/relief funding targets for NCST and YUK-TRANS.
2. SCST staffing plan updated/verified for IND staffing.

We also need to allocate the PST integrity – however, less funds will be available if CORE takes the 160K shortfall Brenda identified.

Thanks,
Diana

CORE?

Masson, Colin

From: Koroluk, Bradley
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 3:27 PM
To: Masson, Colin
Subject: RE: PSC Endowment Fund Project Science Program Level Review Meeting

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] this is a worthy project. One thing that might come up is whether the project can be done smaller scale or by other means. Antonio has done some analysis using broodstock CPUE and deadpitch data. Antonio's recommendation is that nothing can replace the data generated through the MR program. The large project which includes the IEH provides much better data and more robust results, accurate and precise escapement estimates are essential to maintain the status of this stock in the CTC analyses

The downsized project is not much cheaper anyway.

s.19(1)

Cheers

s.21(1)(b)

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: 2017-August-10 3:06 PM
To: Koroluk, Bradley
Subject: RE: PSC Endowment Fund Project Science Program Level Review Meeting

Yes sb a-based but...- thanks

From: Koroluk, Bradley
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 2:06 PM
To: Masson, Colin <Colin.Masson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: RE: PSC Endowment Fund Project Science Program Level Review Meeting

Hi Colin

The importance of the Atnarko CN is pretty well stated in the document, it is the only Chinook Indicator stream in the CCA and provides a high quality escapement estimate. It also highlights the cooperative interworking relationship between the hatchery and sTad staff and that extra value results that can be achieved (Petersen estimate, broodstock/eggtake collection and IEH analysis)

A little off topic but the program really should be A-Based.

Cheers,
Brad

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: 2017-August-09 3:58 PM
To: Cox-Rogers, Steven; Winther, Ivan; Finnegan, Barry; Koroluk, Bradley
Subject: FW: PSC Endowment Fund Project Science Program Level Review Meeting

Folks :

I am planning to participate in this meeting .

Please forward any (additional) supporting rationale linked to PSC objectives for any of the listed projects (in the embedded tracking spread sheet) that you may be associated with.

Thanks

Colin

Masson, Colin


From: Winther, Ivan
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 10:14 AM
To: Masson, Colin
Subject: RE: PSC Endowment Fund Project Science Program Level Review Meeting

Hi Colin,
some additional PSC related information for the Chinook projects:


The project *Chinook salmon Escapement Estimation to the Skeena River using Genetic techniques 2018* was not on the list but John Holmes corrected the problem. The main PSC objectives are to provide escapement data and to inform the development of biologically based escapement goals for the Skeena system. This project was originally funded by the PSC Sentinel Stocks Program and was reviewed extensively in that process. In addition to the annual projects the SSC funded retrospective projects that analyzed Chinook archived scales from Tye. Skeena Chinook estimates have been generated for the time series 1984 to present using this genetic approach.

The project *Genetic Stock Identification of Chinook salmon caught in Northern British Columbia Troll fisheries 2018* (Troll DNA) continues a time series back to 2002. The genetic data informs PSC Chinook model improvements as discussed for the sport fishery below. The data have also been used to generate escapement and terminal run estimates for stock groups with representative coded wire tagged components like South Thompson (the largest Canadian component) and WCVI. We use the data for our domestic management of WCVI Chinook as well as for Nisga'a treaty entitlements. As a relatively large and continuous data set the information has recently been used to investigate the effective population size (N_e) of component stocks (i.e. the numbers of fish actually contributing their genetics to the population).

The project *Genetic Analyses of samples from Recreational Chinook Fisheries in Northern British Columbia 2018* (Rec DNA) is used for domestic management as described for the troll fishery above and addresses problems with the identification of stocks within the PSC Chinook model. Currently the model identifies most of the fish in the NCBC sport fishery as being from NCBC. The genetic data informs model improvements in progress. Compare below the old model stock composition with more recent GSI data. We have been able to maintain a time series for the NBC sport component of the AABM fishery back to 2003 using PSC and other funding sources.



Appendix E19 Chinook Model estimates of landed catch stock composition for North/Central BC Sport 1979-2013



Ivan

From: Masson, Colin

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: Monday, September 18, 2017 4:45 PM
To: Holmes, John; Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Jarvis, Mary Ellen; Luedke, Wilf
Cc: Lemieux, Jeffrey
Subject: RE: Relief Funding - IMPLICATIONS FOR FY 2018/19

Hi again,

Success. 1.2M (including 80K Cultus) is the number Carmel is going forward with. To confirm, this means that 150K of previously planned spending is now being risk-managed regionally – i.e. through year-end surplus.

The following is important:

A head's up with regard to my conversation with Carmel:

She stated point black that she is unwilling to go back to Ottawa next year without more understanding of what the program requirements are and implications of funding levels.

Considering next year is a dominant Fraser sockeye year, the possibility of no relief funding obviously presents a serious problem for everyone...

In my opinion, we are going to have to work hard to document and describe programs.

Although there are project inventories, they are currently one-line descriptions that provide very little operational detail. There is very little information that allows for evaluation of a specific program the implications of resourcing – e.g. no. of planned surveys, level of precision, how the information is used, how the budget is allocated.

The TOC below is copied from Blair's work several ago to develop an evaluation framework for stock assessment programming.

In my opinion, we will need to agree on a perhaps modified but mostly similar TOC (I would add budget allocations, information regarding precision, etc.) and then populate such plans for specific projects over a certain monetary value. This is the 'project inventory' input I referred to in the schematic I sent out last week...

Another issue: she obviously gets a lot of feedback re. area cooperation (more often not) from core-staff. This is something we're going to have to work out.

Again, very little understanding of what staff are up to / up against.

More later,
Diana

Appendix 1. Table of Contents for an Operational Assessment Plan.

The operational assessment plans are prepared on annual or multi-year basis. At a minimum they outline the assessment programs and the responsibilities and accountabilities associated with them by assessment unit. Much of section 2 will require either no annual changes or only updating and could be replaced by a reference to a master document or an on-line source. A précis of section 2 and sections 3 and 4 would be the kernel of the operational assessment plan and would be referenced by the integrated fisheries management plans.

1. Introduction
2. Description of assessment unit
 - 2.1. Area description
 - 2.2. Population: makeup of the assessment unit
 - 2.3. Current status of assessment unit
 - 2.4. Synopsis of current assessment activities within the assessment unit
 - 2.5. Fisheries: current patterns of exploitation
 - 2.6. Current management objectives and management framework
 - 2.7. Mandatory and optional reference points applicable to the assessment unit
 - 2.8. Current enhancement activities within the assessment unit
 - 2.9. Habitat: summation of current state of species habitat within the assessment unit
 - 2.10. Noteworthy aspects of the assessment unit including developed or developing problems and conflicts
 - 2.11. Bibliography, including online data. All components of the assessment planning process should be citable and available online.
3. Assessment Plan for the plan period
 - 3.1. Overview: summary of all assessment activities—the big picture
 - 3.2. Assessment projects
 - 3.2.1. Name, location, group and contact
 - 3.2.2. Description: what is planned

- 3.2.3. Data streams: what data does the project produce, how are the data handled, schedule of collection & availability, access protocols
- 3.2.4. Links to other assessment projects
- 3.3. Other assessment activities in the assessment unit, e.g. habitat
- 4. Reporting Plan for the plan period
 - 4.1. Data and Assessment reports planned with content, authors and schedule

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: September-18-17 11:22 AM
To: Holmes, John; Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Jarvis, Mary Ellen; Luedke, Wilf
Subject: RE: Relief Funding
Importance: High

HI Folks,

I realize that knocking down the budget to 1M is totally unrealistic at this time of the year given most of the (expensive) catch monitoring programs are near complete and summer escapement programs also done.
 Not including the 80K Cultus Lake funding, the table below summarizes current projections according to the spreadsheet John circulated on Friday.
 With the exception of Yukon, all areas are projecting slightly lower than allocated - and of course N. Coast by a significant amount.

I suggest that as a first principle relief funding to each area should not exceed the allocated envelope provided in June (and later in August).
 If this is agreeable, and we delete the 66K extra currently in the Yukon allocation, that puts the would put the summed AREA funding at approximately 227K less than the 1.5M originally committed.

Can we please apply a second principle that John refers to below – i.e. allocate some of the funds you have targeted to year end surplus lists?
 I suggest we try to knock the allocation of relief funding down by an additional 150K.
 This would put the allocation to Areas at 1.12M (total allocation, including Cultus at about 1.2M).
 Although not the unrealistic 1M Carmel is requesting, considerably less than the 1.5M budgeted in June/August –i.e. represents 25% reduction from anticipated.
 I would further suggest that that NCST is not reduced because that area already 67% less than allocated.
 If the additional reductions are allocated to the other 3 areas based on their initial funding proportions, the allocation of the relief funds would look like the second table below.
 (The 150K further reductions would be re-allocated to the year-end pot.)

See the attached spreadsheet for calculations.

Please call me ASAP to confirm. We can do this individually or I can set up a quick conference for early this afternoon. File is also in the salmon drive under FY 2017/18.
 THIS HAS TO BE DONE BY THIS AFTERNOON.

Thanks,
 Diana

Current:

	June/Aug Allocated	Sept. Projected		Reduction
N. Coast	308,382	102,800	-	205,582 -67%
S. Coast	362,186	347,500	-	14,686 -4%
Fraser	688,349	682,000	-	6,349 -1%
Yukon	141,083	207,400		66,317 47%
	1,500,000	1,339,700	-	160,300 -11%
Cultus Lake	-	80,000		
	1,500,000	1,419,700		

Suggested:

	June/Aug Allocated	Sept. Projected	Sept. Rev'd
N. Coast	308,382	102,800	102,800
S. Coast	362,186	347,500	310,201
Fraser	688,349	682,000	589,549
Yukon	141,083	207,400	120,833
	1,500,000	1,339,700	1,123,383
Cultus Lake	-	80,000	80,000
	1,500,000	1,419,700	1,203,383

From: Holmes, John

Sent: September-15-17 3:29 PM

To: Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Jarvis, Mary Ellen; Luedke, Wilf; Dobson, Diana

Subject: Relief Funding

All,

Strong pushback from Carmel on the size sizeable of the request. Need to do two things: (1) provide a strong justification in a year with low returns (I noted that these were projects needed for a minimally credible program and that triggers for high and low precision estimates are used and were still eceededn also that Fraser was only area impacted heavily by fires and that it did not impact these projects. This needs to be strengthened. (2) Carmel has the \$1M level in mind. We need to try and get close. If some items can be funded with year-end money we should remove them and track separately. Also, if we can reduce estimated costs, then we should, i.e., Timber suggested that the cost of the Adams project could be lower than requested.

[REDACTED] Diana will be connecting with you to sort this out on Monday. We need to complete it Monday because Carmel is on the road for the upcoming next three weeks.

John

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

N Coast

Chinook	Central Coast Escapement Indicator: Rivers Inlet summer stocks (Chuckwalla, Kilbella, Ashlum, Neechanz, Tzeo Rivers etc.)	6.5	6.5	Aerial surveys	
Chinook	Visual escapement estimates for Area 6 (outside Kitimat)	6.3	6.3	Stream Walking (Stan Hutchings)? Received Emergency Funding in 16-17	
Chinook	AUC visual estimates of escapement for 5 Lower Skeena rivers	30.0	30.0		
Chinook	Upper Nass Escapement Assessments	10.0	10.0	DFO contribution is about 10k of 92k total, rest is covered by Nisga'a	
Sockeye	NC Admin/Travel/Training	30.0	30.0	Administrative budget for North Coast vehicles costs, hydro, office supplies	
Chinook, coho, Kitwanga, Toboggan Creek, Mission Creek fence repair/replacement in-season.	Nass run reconstruction model	25.0	25.0		
Sockeye	Skeena Test Fishery Sockeye DNA analysis - Estimation of wild vs enhanced proportion of Skeena sockeye escapement for PST and Nisga'a Treaty reconstruction model and accounting			Key chinook and coho indicator stocks; fences destroyed by recent high water evt	
Chum	Key stream escapement surveys	15.0	15.0		
		10.0	10.0		
		132.8	132.8		
N Coast Total					

Stock Assessment Coordinating Committee – Proposed Agenda

Meeting Date: November 20 – 9AM to 4.30 PM

**LOCATION: RBC Dominion Securities Executive Meeting Room 2200, SFU Harbour Centre Vancouver
or by WEBINAR –**

MEETING OBJECTIVES:

1. Provide updates and discuss issues related on standing topics and program planning.
2. Prepare for the Salmon Working Group Meeting.
3. Strategic planning for succession and program review and renewal, including planning support for current critical shortages (e.g. NCST Area Chief)

Morning: Updates on general business/prepare for the SWG meeting

9:00 to 10.30 AM

1. Introductions/Review Agenda

2. Updates on standing topics

- a. WSP Consultations
- b. PSF Explorer – *Shan /Kathi*
- c. COSEWIC Assessments
- d. PST negotiations
- e. Age lab succession planning –
- f. Fraser River CN 5-year review
- g. International Year of the Salmon ✓
- h. Other

3. Program planning and administration

- a. 8 month review – status
- b. Program planning and budget allocations – set process/timeline for FY 2018
- c. Staffing – updates on process/constraints, etc.

JOHN
→

4. Round Table

Identify time-sensitive issues for discussion and/or where further support/clarification is required.

- a. Yukon/Transboundary
- b. North Coast
- c. South Coast
- d. Fraser River
- e. Core/PST
- f. Data Management Unit
- g. Ecosystem Division

BREAK – 10.30 – 10.45

5. Prepare for the Salmon Working Group meeting

Prepare for the SWG meeting: 1) Review presentations related to stock assessment on the SWG agenda and 2) Identify specific issues for further discussion with the larger SWG.

- a. Salmon Outlook 2018 / Ocean Environmental conditions
- b. Killer whales
- c. Skeena sockeye
- d. SBC coho
- e. FN Treaty Support
- f. Other

LUNCH 12:00 – 1:00 PM

1:00 TO 4.30 PM



Afternoon: Strategic Planning Discussion

1. Critical short-term issue related to supporting Area program delivery in lieu of NCST and Fraser Area Chief support

What immediate issues that need to be addressed?
(Communication, program delivery, supervision, etc.)

In what ways can SACC facilitate a regional support model?
(Technical oversight, identification of alternates, etc.)

2. Stock Assessment Program Review –

Review, discuss and revise a draft presentation for C. Lowe to RMC (December) that proposes a work plan for reviewing our current salmon stock assessment program review and updating regional stock assessment frameworks and program delivery.

See page next page for some context, but there is obviously a lot more. At high level, we need to come to some agreement on: defining the problem, identifying potential solutions, and, importantly, identifying what resources are required to implement those solutions. I think it is important to think about consequences that matter to decision-makers and also point to examples where programs are working well and how those could be expanded.

4.30 PM ADJOURN

Some of the strategic context to support the afternoon discussion...

Background

Salmon are complex -both management and stock assessment – funding is insufficient to meet current expectations

Regional oversight and support of salmon assessment programs has eroded over the last 10 years

Priorities have changed

Considerations:

s.21(1)(b)

1. There is a lack of regionally defined standards for program implementation (monitoring, assessment and reporting standards) means that:
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - There are significant gaps in the program and perhaps also redundancies.
2. Current data management and data reporting systems are insufficient to support both program needs and external stakeholder expectations related to transparency, collaboration, etc.
3. Over half of Science's salmon stock assessment budget is directed towards sockeye and chinook programs in the Fraser River. The result is that other objectives of stock assessment programs have not been met, particularly those related to WSP implementation, broader monitoring of populations across the region, and support to management.
4. [REDACTED] Funds have largely allocated to programs supporting either PST implementation and /or larger scale fisheries. The result is that other objectives of stock assessment programs have not been met.

Recommendations:

1. Resources are required to address major gaps which are:
 - a. Development and implementation of regional standards and methods
 - b. Data management
 - c. Reporting
2. As the stock assessment framework and program is reviewed, significant leadership will be required to implement change. Recommended changes might include, for example, how monitoring programs are delivered or current responsibilities within the department.

Advice on info requirements and salmon assessment implications for treaty negotiations and other regional options related to salmon management.

b m p s ;

Scenario 1 staffing plan

STAD - BASE SALARIES - 2016/17

s.19(1)

Program	TOTAL FTE UTILIZATION	BUDGET ALLOCATION	TOTAL BASE SALARY 16/17	ALLOWANCES	OVERTIME	TOTAL SALARY 2016-17	BALANCE
A-Base PC: 00000	8.13	456,739	486,819	11,653	4,948	503,421	-46,682
PST PC: 5PB10	6.30	689,644	443,955	13,658	47,022	504,635	185,009
Total NC STAD	14.43	1,146,383	930,774	25,311	51,970	1,008,056	138,327

: INCL:

- All planned staffing Oct. 1.
+ - removal of double entry.

} huge over statement ~ \$ 138. +/-

Real Staffing Expectations:

① BI-04 - Jan. 01. - A

② BI-03 - Feb. 01. ✓

③ EG-05 - vs EG-04 A.
Back.....

④ BI-03 Feb. 01. ~.

⑤ BI-02 - Feb. 01.

ADAM W.
STEWART
Bf-D4

s.19(1)

BL 810
STAD BASE STAFFING PLAN - 2016-17
PST

AS OF: 23/09/2016

260.88

REGION	SECTOR	AR EA PR OG RA M	PC	PRI	EMPLOYEE NAME	TITLE OF POSITION	LOCATION	NEW POSITI ON #	POSITION STATUS/ TENURE	GROU P & LEVEL	EMPLOYEE TENURE	FUNDI NG SOURC E	START DATE	END DATE	WORK RATIO	INCR. / CONTRACT DATE	SALARY PRIOR TO INCR. / CONTRACT CT	TOTAL SALARY PRIOR TO INCR. / CONTRACT CT	DAYS AFTER TO INCR. / CONTRACT CT	DAYS PAST TO INCR. / CONTRACT CT	TOTAL FTE UTILIZA- TION	TOTAL BASE SALARY 16/17	ALLOW.	OT	TOTAL SALARY COST 2016/17 (w/o contract settlement)	TOTAL SALARY COST (SINCE 1/2016)	Variance		
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Vacant	Aquatic Science Biologist III	Prince Rupert	70284	IND FT	BI-03	IND FT	B-Base	Salary	11-Oct-16	31-Mar-17	1.00			130	0	130	0.50					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Davies, S	Aquatic Science Biologist II	Prince Rupert	68626	IND FT	BI-02	IND FT	B-Base	Salary	1-Apr-16	30-Sep-16	1.00			131	0	131	0.50					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Vacant	Aquatic Science Biologist II	Prince Rupert	68626	IND FT	BI-02	IND FT	B-Base	Salary	1-Oct-16	31-Mar-17	0.00			130	0	130	0.00					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Fagan, J	Aquatic Science Biologist II	Prince Rupert	68238	IND PT	BI-02	IND PT	B-Base	Salary	1-Apr-16	31-Mar-17	0.40			261	0	261	0.40					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Finnegan, B.	Aquatic Science Biologist III	Smithers	52540	IND FT	BI-03	IND FT	B-Base	Salary	1-Apr-16	31-Mar-17	1.00			261	0	261	1.00					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Levesque, W	Aquatic Science Technician IV	Bella Coola	68349	IND FT	EG-04	IND FT	B-Base	Salary	1-Apr-16	31-Mar-17	1.00			261	0	261	1.00					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Winther, I.	Aquatic Science Biologist III	Prince Rupert	68001	IND FT	BI-03	IND FT	B-Base	Salary	1-Apr-16	31-Mar-17	1.00			261	0	261	1.00					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10			OT to YE			OT to YE			B-Base	Salary				0	0	0	0.00	\$	-		5,000	\$	5,000	
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		TOTAL - SALARY FUNDED															4.40	357,699.59	10,806.68	12,022.80	380,529.07	\$ 351,664	\$ 29,865	
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Millies, Michael	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert	68384	TMO-FT	EG-01	TMO-FT	B-Base	Salary	2-May-16	2-Nov-16	1.00			133	0	133	0.51					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Spencer, Stephanie	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert		CAS	EG-01	CAS	B-Base	Salary	1-Jun-16	26-Aug-16	1.00			63	0	63	0.24					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Herbert, Cheri	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert		CAS	EG-01	CAS	B-Base	Salary	30-May-16	31-Aug-16	1.00			68	0	68	0.26					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10																								
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Sunduk, Lorelle	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert	71102	TMO-FT	EG-01	TMO-FT	B-Base	Salary	24-May-16	12-Sep-16	1.00			80	0	80	0.31					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Sunduk, Lorelle	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert	71102	TMO-FT	EG-01	TMO-FT	B-Base	Salary	13-Sep-16	16-Dec-16	0.00			69	0	69	0.00					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Proksch, Kyle	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert		CAS	EG-01	CAS	B-Base	Salary	1-Jun-16	30-Sep-16	1.00			88	0	88	0.34					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Bluebird, Darren	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert		CAS	EG-01	CAS	B-Base	Salary	1-Jun-16	26-Aug-16	1.00			63	0	63	0.24					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10			OT to YE			OT to YE			B-Base	Salary				0	0	0	0.00	\$	-		23,484	\$	23,484	
							SUB-TOTAL O&M FUNDED																						
												</																	

Page 135
is a duplicate of
est un duplicata de la
page 29

Sum of Cost_FYS2013						
AREA		FUNDING			Grand Total	
NCST	SCIENCE A-BASE	SCIENCE-PST	NCST Total			
POP'N MONITORING	Project Full Name					
POP'N MONITORING	Babine Weir Sockeye Adult		145,000	145,000	145,000	
	CC Chinook Escapement Indicator: Rivers Inlet Early Chinook	8,000		8,000	8,000	
	CC Chinook Escapement Indicators: Wannock River	9,000		9,000	9,000	
	CC COHO EXTENSIVE ABUNDANCE INDICATORS		12,000	12,000	12,000	
	Chinook Escapement Area 6		6,000	6,000	6,000	
	Chinook Escapement Lower Skeena		6,000	6,000	6,000	
	Chinook Escapement Upper Skeena Visual		12,000	12,000	12,000	
	Chinook Hatchery Indicator (Kalum)		6,000	6,000	6,000	
	CHUM_ESCAPEMENT_SURVEYS	183,000	12,000	12,000	183,000	
	Coho Escapement (Tliell River Weir, Area 1)		10,000	10,000	10,000	
	Coho Escapement Area 6		10,000	10,000	10,000	
	Coho Escapement Skeena high Interior(upper Skeena)		33,000	33,000	33,000	
	Coho Hatchery Indicator (Toboggan)		6,000	6,000	6,000	
	DFO aerial surveys		15,000	15,000	15,000	
	Kitwanga Smolt Program		12,000	12,000	12,000	
	Kitwanga Weir Adult Enumeration		23,000	23,000	23,000	
	MEZIADIN_FISHWAY_DFO		30,000	30,000	30,000	
	Morietown Sockeye Escapement M/R		56,000	56,000	56,000	
	Owikeno Lake Sockeye		18,000	18,000	18,000	
	Sockeye Docee Fence		55,000	55,000	55,000	
	Sockeye/Coho Escapement (Bulkley/ Morice)		55,000	55,000	55,000	
	Toboggan Coho Hatchery Indicator - Wild		95,000	95,000	95,000	
	UPPER NASS CHINOOK_ESCAPEMENT_ASSESSMENTS		15,000	15,000	15,000	
	POP'N MONITORING Total		200,000	624,000	824,000	824,000
MRP CWT						
MRP CWT	CWT Application Kalum chinook		32,000	32,000	32,000	
	CWT Purchase Kalum chinook		21,000	21,000	21,000	
MRP CWT Total	Toboggan CWT cost and application		7,000	7,000	7,000	
CATCH ESTIMATION			60,000	60,000	60,000	
CATCH ESTIMATION Total	Northern Boundary Annex Sockeye Gillnet Catch Monitoring, On water DNA and scale sampling.		40,000	40,000	40,000	
CATCH SAMPLING			40,000	40,000	40,000	
CATCH SAMPLING Total	On water DNA and scale collection. Fishery Monitoring Seine fisheries Areas 3, 4.		40,000	40,000	40,000	
CATCH EST AND ESC MON			23,000	23,000	23,000	
CATCH EST AND ESC MON Total	NASS SOCKEYE_RUN_RECONSTRUCTION MODEL		23,000	23,000	23,000	

FUNDING		(Multiple Items)				
Sum of Cost_FYS2013		AREA				
TYPE	Project Description	REGIONAL	NCST	SOUTH COAST	FRASER	YUKON/TBR
OVERHEAD	CORE Admin / tra	48,000				
	CORE Staffing	1,295,050				
	Deliver workplan	5,000				
	FR Staffing					2,812,021
	Fraser Admin / travel / training					116,400

Data are incomplete - i.e. take a look at South Coast AFS/AAROM funding

Sum of Cost_FYS2013	AREA					
FUNDING	REGIONAL	YUKON/TBR	NCST	SOUTH COAST	FRASER	Grand Total
SCIENCE A-BASE	1,624,286	620,471	1,011,625	1,363,617	2,544,669	7,164,668
SCIENCE-PST	772,764	526,066	1,264,458	1,243,933	2,929,152	6,736,373
Grand Total	2,397,050	1,146,537	2,276,083	2,607,550	5,473,821	13,901,041

FUNDING	(Multiple Items)
---------	------------------

Sum of Cost_FYS2013	AREA					
TYPE	REGIONAL	NCST	SOUTH COAST	FRASER	YUKON/TBR	Grand Total
POP'N MONITORING		824,000	543,400	1,915,300	412,865	3,695,565
MRP CWT	861,000	60,000		31,800		952,800
CATCH ESTIMATION		40,000	232,791	193,300	145,600	611,691
CATCH SAMPLING		40,000	4,500			44,500
CATCH EST AND ESC MON		23,000				23,000
STOCK ID		11,000	17,000		34,000	62,000
TEST FISHERY		80,000				80,000
RESEARCH				185,000		185,000
LIMNOLOGY				220,000		220,000
Grand Total	861,000	1,078,000	797,691	2,545,400	592,465	5,874,556

STOCK ID	Skeena Test Fishery Sockeye DNA analysis			11,000	11,000	11,000
STOCK ID Total				11,000	11,000	11,000
TEST FISHERY	Skeena (Tyee) Gillnet Test Fishery		25,000		25,000	25,000
	Skeena Test Fishery Biosampling		55,000		55,000	55,000
TEST FISHERY Total			80,000		80,000	80,000
Grand Total			280,000	798,000	1,078,000	1,078,000

Status of Salmon Assessment Projects Unfunded							
Area	Species/ Program	Project	Project start date to date	Project Requirements	Expended to date	Additional Required	Comment
Peace	Sockeye	Mission Botana Stream Tran	1-Apr-17	150	69	81	Colten-related project partially funded from Science Renewal / 69K

[illegible]

000140

**Pages 141 to / à 142
are duplicates of
sont des duplicatas des
pages 91 to / à 92**

2017/2018
O&M (INCLUDING CONVERSIONS)

A-BASE AND PST

AREA	NC	SC	FRASER	YUKON	TOTAL
SOURCE					
PST	370,059	434,624	826,020	169,300	1,800,004 CON S&W
PST	248,943	270,120	464,276	135,960	1,119,300 O&M
TOTAL O&M	619,003	704,744	1,290,297	305,260	2,919,303 INCLUDING ADMIN
A-BASE	(91,864)	85,635	113,654	64,097	355,250 ADMIN
	527,139	619,109	1,176,642	241,163	2,564,054 N/INC ADMIN
					2,563,384
					670

A-BASE AND PST

AREA	NC
SOURCE	
A-BASE	✓ 620,586
PST	✓ 487,656
TOTAL	1,108,242
FTEs	12.4

FTE's
12.4

RELIEF PROPORTIONAL FUNDING

AREA	NC	SC	FRASER	YUKON	TOTAL
	150,000	275,000	1,000,000	75,000	1,500,000 Proportional Allocation
Total O&M	769,003	979,744	2,290,297	380,260	4,419,303 INCLUDING ADMIN
	91,864	85,635	113,654	64,097	355,250 ADMIN
	677,139	894,109	2,176,642	316,163	4,064,054

1014,800
1,677,139
347,761

1,500,000

AC

change in salary allocation

NC
2016/17
850,119
258,123

2016/17	839,829	2,128,345	289,703	3,957,240	INCLUDING ADMIN
	139,915	161,952	90,556	462,063	INCREASE RELATIVE TO 2016/2017

ASK

1,165,000

72,000

Scenario 2 staffing plan

STAD - BASE SALARIES - 2016/17

Program	TOTAL FTE UTILIZATION	BUDGET ALLOCATION	TOTAL BASE SALARY 16/17	ALLOWANCES	OVERTIME	TOTAL SALARY 2016-17	BALANCE
A-Base PC: 00000	7.13	456,739	412,959	11,653	4,948	429,561	27,178
PST PC: 5PB10	5.80	689,644	409,406	13,658	47,022	470,086	219,558
Total NC STAD	12.93	1,146,383	822,365	25,311	51,970	899,647	246,736



- REMOVE ALL PLANNED STAFFING !! (incl. Area Chief except B103-current).
(empty)

AS OF: 23/09/2016

BL 810
STAD BASE STAFFING PLAN - 2016-17
PST

s.19(1)

REGION	SECTOR	AR EA /PR OG ress Line	RC	PC	PRI	EMPLOYEE NAME	TITLE OF POSITION	LOCATION	NEW POSTI ON #	POSITION STATUS/ TENURE	GROU P & LEVEL	EMPLOYEE TENURE	FUNDI NG SOURC E	START DATE	END DATE	WORK RATIO	INC DATE	SALARY PRIOR TO INCR / CONTRACT CT	SALARY AFTER INCR / CONTRACT CT	TOTAL WORKIN G DAYS	DAYS PRIOR TO INCR / CONTRACT CT	DAYS TO INCR CONTR ACT	TOTAL FTE UTILIZA TION	TOTAL BASE SALARY 16/17	ALLOW.	OT	TOTAL SALARY COST 2016/17 (w/o contract settlement)	TOTAL SALARY COST (SMS Sept 1 2016)	Variance		
260.88																															
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		Aquatic Science Biologist III	Prince Rupert	70294	IND FT	BI-03	IND FT	B-Base Salary	1-Oct-16	31-Mar-17	0.00					130	0	130	0.00							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Davies, S	Aquatic Science Biologist II	Prince Rupert	68626	IND FT	BI-02	IND FT	B-Base Salary	1-Apr-16	30-Sep-16	1.00					131	0	131	0.50							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Vacant	Aquatic Science Biologist II	Prince Rupert	69826	IND FT	BI-02	IND FT	B-Base Salary	1-Oct-16	31-Mar-17	0.00					130	0	130	0.00							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Fagan, J	Aquatic Science Biologist II	Prince Rupert	68238	IND PT	BI-02	IND PT	B-Base Salary	1-Apr-16	31-Mar-17	0.40					261	0	261	0.40							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Finnegan, B.	Aquatic Science Biologist III	Smithers	52540	IND FT	BI-03	IND FT	B-Base Salary	1-Apr-16	31-Mar-17	1.00					261	0	261	1.00							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Levesque, W	Aquatic Science Technician IV	Bella Coola	68349	IND FT	EG-04	IND FT	B-Base Salary	1-Apr-16	31-Mar-17	1.00					261	0	261	1.00							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Winther, I.	Aquatic Science Biologist III	Prince Rupert	68001	IND FT	BI-03	IND FT	B-Base Salary	1-Apr-16	31-Mar-17	1.00					261	0	261	1.00							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		OT to YE				OT to YE		B-Base Salary								0	0	0	0.00	\$ -		5,000	\$ 5,000			
										TOTAL - SALARY FUNDED																					
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10																		3.90	323,150.52	10,806.68	12,022.80	345,960.00	\$ 351,664	\$ (5,684)		
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Milnes, Michael	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert	66384	TWO-FT	EG-01	TWO FT	B-Base Salary	2-May-16	2-Nov-16	1.00					133	0	133	0.51							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Spencer, Stephanie	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert		CAS	EG-01	CAS	B-Base Salary	1-Jun-16	26-Aug-16	1.00					63	0	63	0.24							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Herbert, Cheri	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert		CAS	EG-01	CAS	B-Base Salary	30-May-16	31-Aug-16	1.00					68	0	68	0.26							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Sunduk, Lorelle	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert	71102	TWO-FT	EG-01	TWO FT	B-Base Salary	24-May-16	12-Sep-16	1.00					80	0	80	0.31							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Sunduk, Lorelle	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert	71102	TWO-FT	EG-01	TWO FT	B-Base Salary	13-Sep-16	16-Dec-16	0.00					69	0	69	0.00							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Protsch, Kyle	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert		CAS	EG-01	CAS	B-Base Salary	1-Jun-16	30-Sep-16	1.00					88	0	88	0.34							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10	Bluebird, Darren	Aquatic Science Tech I	Prince Rupert		CAS	EG-01	CAS	B-Base Salary	1-Jun-16	26-Aug-16	1.00					63	0	63	0.24							
PACIFIC	SCIENCE	810	NC	5G500	5PB10		OT to YE				OT to YE		B-Base Salary								0	0	0	0.00	\$ -		23,484	\$ 23,484			
										SUB-TOTAL OMM																					
																				1.9											
																				2,851											
																				34,999											
																				124,106											
																				\$98,950											
																				\$25,156											

BL 810 PST 2016-2017

000146

Follow up - Colin

Date

① Kate Atmarko - 17K ^{was} 15K ^{Now} 13K ^{Line}

Q - what would happen if removed

② Barry - Babine 200K 150K 15
Discuss - what's being provided - total costs around Babine

③ Tobagoan - Cotto 20K ^{SIB} 10K?
Clarification
Horizon + tagging program evaluation on costs justification.

④ Deena Coded wire 4.5K 4.5K
tags
2017-18 YTD 9.2K?
why.

Strategic opt / Considerations

- Okeneo needs to be funded
- Moricetown TC need to be nationalized

Push comes to shove

- Regional trade off of providing full Fraser requirement resulting in reductions in NCA.

Feb. 07. 2018.

Stat. Discussions:

s.21(1)(b)

→ Discussion on Staff Capacity gap
- Request support for adding BI-D2.

Options

→ functional focus: → Manage/coordinate.

→ C.C BI-D3 ~ to address internal CW/SX issues

→ BI-D2 - assoc in salmon explorer ~ filling link on data mang
with core science: NUSESS; FOS; link to the Regional data bases.
(link with PSF BI-D2) ~ work on defined suite of field prog's.

→ staff (another) EB-D4 - to support NCK programs & both in B.Cook and P. Report.

→ BI-D2 with access skills - support data mang and analysis

→ central coast data & mess - need more support

→ more EB support for contracting - contract mang.

→ the data quality functions.

→ wine is strategic direction: if we are going to rely on others then you need more biologist → if field work then tech

→ supports BI-D2 data mang. ~ data bases don't match - info not even in format for sharing. FOCUS

→ [redacted] SO → data capacity.

→ Salmon Explorer - flawed - needs time and atten to review data & assumptions

However - we need budget to operate programs

- we need a BI-D3 for CC ~ his priority !!

- Data Quality is key.

→ Consider - PSF - BI-D2 - follow-up.
- then a BI-D3 in CC.

→ from: can't be any audits till we've done it ourself
(B → then we would need to have money & time)

Discussion about our roles:

- it is a balance to strategic / planning / implementation.

Mike: EB.


- no much focus on admin ~ and management - office based
- we no longer have spring field programs
- if we are seeing on ext parties, ~ then one EB as is OK
- admin could do more of these tasks - time keeper; O.T. input
- H & S. tasks - require huge time
- more hesitation to field work
- staffing plans ~ require considerable time ~ Fed requirements
- with only a few summer programs ~ there is insufficient basis to hold field staff

- Sockeye:

- assessment work more intense for higher risk fisheries and stock status -
- for escapement monitoring ~ we are OK - 98 being done 68 id'd as one
- lake program - big gap → re-establish
(issue: for ref. pt. analysis for sockeye)
- ① Christine work w/ Kim Hyatt on tiered assessment for stock status
 - linked to regional initiative
 - 2 pager concept for lakes program - 'indicator'
 - Balise, Long, Megstad, Oweekeno
 - work with partners
 - contracted out
 - next level down is rotational surveys -
 - its fallen from cracks ~

- 158 - 30 = ~~130~~ / 35 → ADD TO LIST
- ② Kitwanga ~ PST NF ~ this year - 20 to smolt / 35 →
 - ③ LB smolt program ~ 1 debate about value but \$ ~~1.25K~~ →
 - ④ ~~Stuart~~ Samyash ~ does not have a recovery plan
 - ⑤ Nass - apply to NF for genetic work
 - ⑥ Atmarko - calibrate mind vs action

s.21(1)(b)

- reinitiate support for stream enumeration + partnering AFS
- "Recovery Plan" support
- *  ~ lack of agreement on a Recovery Plan
- ~ CHALLENGE - NO EFFECTIVE PARTNERSHIP - } Barry to
- ~ consider stock identification
- bounce - upgrades ~ start-up ~ \$
- ~ takes people and \$
- hydro-acoustic survey in 2019 -

(4)

CN - IVAN

- CWT & INDICATOR STOCKS

JULY TO JULY -

STAD - KITSUMKALUM - CONTRACT - 100K NF ends 2018 →

- ATNARKO -

- SEP - STAD - CWT tags -

+ NF\$ for mark/recapture CWT recovery -

} pull into master -

- TREE TEST FOR CN → NF but off - so A BASE

→ 3K-

- CATCH MONITORING MNG SUPPORT -

- AREA 1, 3, 4 Rec, DNA - A BASE (but N.F. \$)

- TROLL DNA -

- ONEWEEK DEAD PITCH 10,000 -

- (HK broodst collect - improve sampling)

- ~~WONKOCK~~ CN → ↑ to 10,000

- Upper Bulkley CN - 25,000 CN smolts ~ (at Toboggan) - tagging tags \$4K.

- NASS - Nigai's fish wheels

s.19(1)

~ FM & STAD ~

- History: ~ 20+ years of v. st. enumeration

- I.W. ~ Captured with mark/recapture

- last PM-04's ~ STAD provided EB's when available -

- 2 years ago ~ direction A'd ~

Kate: - discovered that STAD pays for stream enumeration -

(IVAN) - long term indicators lost in last 2 years

- flights - N.F. - or STAD budget

- STAD in R.M. - flights out of

- PST funding to R.C. → transferred to R.M.

- piggy backed CM & PK work on CN

→ Action: → COLIN TO CALL MEETING w F.M.

→ STAD to take back \$.

Chum & Esc. Monitoring Program - Visual surveys

- see Charmaine / KFB paper
- there is no PK & CM on stat budget
- report indicated rec'd indicator
- AFS does some - depending on there is risky
- proposing O&M for contracts:
 - Area 6 - south - Kitson & did some - but \$ 20K required
 - they wanted to redirect \$60K north
 - Area 6 outfitting → proposing \$ 30K

s.19(1)

Area 3 - NF in part

- if unfunded ~ need to maintain - even w/out sx fishery need samples
- Esc Monitoring in A. 6 → to replace CP previous work
- high priority sp. for FSC are mostly picked up

-note

Funding - cont:

- N. Fund. - costs considerable amt of time & admin to access & implement

- Barry F. ~ esp. rate appear to be ↑ - based on cut from Toboggan & Slingshot

* Action: Need to analyze CO Ex R.

⇒ Shaun and Barry to

→ R.R. & Real Property doing awesome job on rebuilding Toboggan Ca and Bahine Fence

→

Mike / My truck

(6)

s.69(1)(g) re: (a)



- Frank dominant / Jean → Mike
- PICP # for creel surveys → dried up
- general support for direction → but no meaningful support @ this time
- Jocelyne Bouthier →

- PSF data base update task →
- role of KB in this role ~ regional PSE applications

- Barry } acknowledge maintenance and dev infrastructure work
- Mike } on site / by Real Property

- some uncertainty as to identity - PHILIP W. SEARCH
- Need to confirm who is in contact for REAL PROPERTY - they must take responsibility to contact us

- Mike - Type of work / job / task / ...
- Regarding abundant facilities (backpack)

- Barry - Babine Ferry
- Mike - Four highway

- Migration → Health & Safety issues
- ~ security clearance depends on where site
- ~ insurance
- ~ contract safety requirements
- } we have all the liability - no control.

7

Mike J.

→ options: develop an MOU

- RIA sampling

- Staffing Plan: - need to have 2 people till Dec
- but remember that 1 mo notice required

- Area 3 sample to Aug 1

- chim enumeration

- Lake Elze

- also late enumeration

- Turk Hazard Analysis - work

Next Steps / Issues

(r) Admin - gap ~ mid April to
(c) Spring Tech & STAS - staff - need to maintain contact with facility operators

(c) concern about CC Tech support instability ~ Kate M - currently doing staffing → support for Kate is important

(c) Re-examine roles & resp of BI-D3's for CC support

- logistics support

- program support

(c) When & how to do monitoring plan (Charmaine)

→ use of equipment log

→

Timing: - end of March

Meeting process - focused agenda (K. Mcg - for person helps)

* - roles & responsibilities - add Techs / Data Mng.

- provide regular updates

Org chart

cond meeting w/ RM w/

* - data base updates: (BI-D2 role) (Shawn) - & sharing -

Masson, Colin

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 2:23 PM
To: Holmes, John; Whitehouse, Timber; Luedke, Wilf; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan
Cc: Gotch, Steve; Brown, Laura (Pacific); Bate, Angela; McElhaney, Elizabeth; Yamanaka, Lynne
Subject: RE: staffing in the areas
Attachments: Area Staffing Summary_April_2017.docx, SCA STAD Org Chart signed March 21 2017.pdf, SCI STA FIA April 2017 for Nikisa.vsd

Hi again,

Attached is a draft note for Lynne's benefit tomorrow summarizing the main issues that have been identified to explain the situation regarding area STAD staffing.

Also attached are the org charts that I received from South Coast and Fraser River.

RE: org charts – all have a colour-coded legend that indicates funding source – however, I'm not sure the outlines show up in the files, or it is my computer issue.

Let me know if you want changes/additions.

Thanks,

Diana

From: Holmes, John
Sent: 2017-April-13 4:18 PM
To: Dobson, Diana; Whitehouse, Timber; Luedke, Wilf; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan
Cc: Gotch, Steve; Brown, Laura (Pacific); Bate, Angela; McElhaney, Elizabeth; Yamanaka, Lynne
Subject: RE: staffing in the areas

All,

My understanding of this exercise is that Carmel wants to eliminate boxes on the org chart that we have no hope of staffing. These have been vacant for several years prior to the re-org and not funded. She has indicated that she has the authority to create new positions within a week if a business case can be made. My read is that she is mostly thinking of Core org charts rather than the Areas.

I have indicated to the Science Executive that the Areas will need to be treated differently because the org charts are important for facilitating all of the seasonal staffing that is necessary. So from an Area perspective, I think this is an opportunity to educate the Science Executive on how org charts are used for staffing. Indeterminate positions that are unfunded but staffed with terms, casuals etc should be identified. Some of these positions were approved for indeterminate staffing in January (a few at any rate). The remaining ones have been put on the list of critical planned staffing. We also know there are indeterminate positions staffed seasonally, but vacant and unfunded for a good portion of the year. These should be identified. It will be important to note the difference between a dominant and non-dominant years in Fraser/BCI STAD and other Areas with cyclic dominance.

John

(250) 756-7145 <- new phone number
John.Holmes@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: April-13-17 3:56 PM
To: Whitehouse, Timber; Luedke, Wilf; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan
Cc: Gotch, Steve; Brown, Laura (Pacific); Bate, Angela; McElhaney, Elizabeth; Yamanaka, Lynne; Holmes, John
Subject: FW: staffing in the areas

Hi there,

A further clarification on this ask -See email below from Lynne

I'll attempt to fill out the attached form, based on the information/charts I've received so far from FR and SC and then circulate for review Monday

From what I understand so far the org charts are 'clean' - i.e. there are no vacant positions that would be eliminated - at least from SC and FRAS

I still need info from NC and YUK.

Given recent history this request is a bit alarming so feel free to provide any additional information Monday AM that you may think is relevant

Happy Holiday,

Diana

From: Yamanaka, Lynne
Sent: 2017-April-13 7:36 AM
To: Dobson, Diana
Subject: RE: staffing in the areas

Hi Diana,

It sounds like you may not have the information from the Areas yet. I will touch base with Christie on Tues morning before the meeting on Wed, if you could draft up a note and send it first thing Tues morning, that would be great. **We are looking to identify 'funded but vacant positions', 'unfunded and staffed positions', and 'unfunded but vacant positions'.** For vacant positions, Christie has forwarded the attached table to fill in for priority setting. I have meetings today from 10-11 and 1-2 but should be in my office in the Annex A207 in between, if you would like to discuss in person or over the phone.

Thanks,
Lynne

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: 2017-April-11 6:24 PM
To: Yamanaka, Lynne
Subject: RE: staffing in the areas

s.19(1)

Hi Lynne,
We can.

I sent an ask/query out to Area Chiefs/Area Directors on Monday but as of yet have got no response

However, there was a big OPP-salmon meeting over the last 2 days...

So, if I don't hear back by tomorrow noon I'll start following up.

I was hoping to draft a memo for you next week summarizing results.

My sense (maybe wrong) is that Areas will not offer up vacant positions, probably justifiably so as the funding is being directed (one way or another, or for one reason or another) to program delivery...

Thanks,
Diana

From: Yamanaka, Lynne
Sent: 2017-April-11 5:06 PM
To: Dobson, Diana
Cc: Holmes, John
Subject: staffing in the areas

Hi Diana,

I'll be acting for John next week and will attend the staffing meeting at IOS on the 19th Apr. Could we meet to discuss the staffing in the Areas – I don't have an organization chart for your group and need to know which vacant positions have been vacant for some time. Carmel is looking to eliminate some of these long-term vacant positions. Could we discuss this on Thursday morning at 9 or 11?

Thanks,
Lynne

s.16(2)

K. Lynne Yamanaka

A/Section Head, Inshore Assessment, Aquatic Resource Research and Assessment Division (ARRAD),
Science Branch
Fisheries and Oceans Canada / Government of Canada
lynne.yamanaka@dfo-mpo.gc.ca / Tel: 250-756-7211 Cel : [REDACTED]

A/Chef section, Évaluation en mer, Division de la recherche et de l'évaluation des ressources aquatiques
(DRERA), Sciences
Pêches et Océans Canada / Gouvernement du Canada
lynne.yamanaka@dfo-mpo.gc.ca / Tél : 250-756-7211 Tél Cel : [REDACTED]

AREA SALMON STOCK ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

April 17, 2017

BACKGROUND

- All organizational charts for area salmon stock assessment programs were reviewed between 2012 and 2014. This review process eliminated non-essential positions and also additional positions to achieve regional Science program reduction targets. As a result of this exercise, areas organizational charts are essentially 'clean' – i.e. the remaining positions (vacant or otherwise) are utilized in program delivery
- For all areas, funded and/or non-funded vacant positions are used to deliver programs through the following mechanisms
 - a. Seasonal staffing – either through hiring terms or casuals.
 - b. The S&W associated with a position is converted to O&M for contract work.
 - c. Double-banking – i.e. work associated with the position is completed under a complimentary classification
 - d. Related to c., permission to staff was not granted in the recent past, but the need/intent to staff the position remains and, in some cases, staffing processes are underway.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Fraser River (Timber Whitehouse)

- On dominant cycle years Fraser River STAD double-books positions to meet additional program delivery requirements.
- Indeterminate Seasonal positions have significant value for several reasons including: i) ease of staffing administration compared to hiring regular terms, ii) it is an effective retention tool for regularly returning staff and iii) the staffing mechanism limits DFOs liability to roll over staff. Since the science program review of 2012-2014 only about 5% of seasonal positions are indeterminate seasonal (0.17 FTE) compared to about 10% prior. The reduction significantly impacted the ability of Fraser STAD to retain experienced staff.

South Coast (Wilf Luedke)

- Noted that in recent science program reviews, several positions in area stock assessment divisions associated with the delivery of core (e.g. A-base) assessment were eliminated. In contrast, additional positions were funded in the regional salmon stock assessment program. These additional positions were initially created with sunset funding (e.g. those positions associated with the 2009 PST 5-year coded-wire tag improvement fund)

Yukon/North Coast (Steve Gotch)

- Both North Coast and YTRA (Transboundary Rivers operations) rely on PSC Endowment Fund resources to staff key term positions on an annual basis. As these resources are not considered "DFO funds" they are not tracked by DFO in the same manner from a financial accounting perspective. This situation results in the appearance of a number of Science positions on organizational charts that are "unfunded" yet the positions are filled on an annual basis. This is an element we are seeking to address through the PST renewal process.
- To provide an example, in 2017-18 DFO YTRA will be utilizing approximately \$1.5 million of PSC-origin funding to deliver core programs / projects that are required under the PST. In many cases this funding is being used to cover STAD salary needs that would be otherwise "un-funded" by Science.

PACIFIC REGION – Science – South Coast Area – Stock Assessment
Effective February 7, 2017


(A) = Acting	(Int) = Intermittent
(Asg) = Assignment	(AR) = Affiliation
(Sec) = Secondment	(Det) = Detachment
(P) = Proposed	(P) = Proposed
(LWOP) = Leave without Pay	(CS) = Compensable
(Est.) = Extended Leave	(Abs) = Absence prolonged
(Mat) = Parental Leave	(Mat) = Parental Leave

Legend/ Légende

Unfilled Position
YELLOW DRAP (SR, SOR, TR) & OBF positions
Substantive is on leave
B-Base positions
PURPLE Other

Laura Brown
Approved by Laura Brown,
Area Director, South Coast Area

March 21, 2017
Date




Updated: Feb 7 2017

(A) = Acting	(Int) = Intérimaire
(Asg) = Assignment	(Aff) = Affectation
(Sec) = Secondment	(O4) = Détachement
(P) = Proposed	(P) = Proposé
(LWOP) = Leave without Pay	(CS) = Congé sans solde
(Ext.) = Extended Leave	(Abspr) = Absence prolongée
(Mat) = Parental Leave	(Mat) = Congé parental

Legend/ Légende

Unfunded Position
YELLOW DRAP (SR, SOR, TI) & OBF positions
Substantive is on leave
B-Base positions
Other
New Position

PACIFIC REGION – Science – South Coast Area – Stock Assessment
Effective February 7, 2017




PACIFIC REGION – Science – South Coast Area – Stock Assessment
Effective February 7, 2017

(A) = Acting	(Int) = Informative
(Asg) = Assignment	(At) = Affiliation
(Sed) = Secondment	(Otd) = Detachment
(P) = Proposed	(P) = Proposed
(LWOP) = Leave without Pay	(CSG) = Congé sans solde
(Ext.) = Extended Leave	(Absord) = Absence prolongée
(Mat) = Parental Leave	(Mat) = Congé parental

Legend/ Légende

	Unfunded Position
YELLOW	DRAP (SR, SOR, TR) & ORF positions
ORANGE	Substantive is on leave
GREEN	B-base positions
Pink	Other




PACIFIC REGION – Science – South Coast Area – Stock Assessment
Effective February 7, 2017

(A) = Acting	(Int) = Intermittent
(Asst) = Assignment	(Att) = Attraction
(Sed) = Secondment	(Dtd) = Detachment
(P) = Proposed	(P) = Proposed
(LWOP) = Leave without Pay	(CS) = Congé sans solde
(Ext) = Extended leave	(Absp) = Absence prolongée
(Mat) = Parental Leave	(Mat) = Congé parental

Legend/ Légende

Unfilled Position
YELLOW DNP (SR, SOI, TR) & OBP positions
Substantive is on leave
Base positions
Other



Masson, Colin

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: Friday, June 8, 2018 2:14 PM
To: Luedke, Wilf; Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Waugh, Bill; Thiess, Mary
Cc: Holmes, John
Subject: RE: working on budgets - head up

Importance: High

Hi again,

Can you please send me your
>FY 2018/19 staffing plans (current updated version) and
>FY 2018/19 project lists?

I need the staffing plans to determine how much project O&M is available
Project lists will help make the case for the amount of the relief funding request – last year was 1.5, but of course that didn't include FR dominant.

Thanks,
Diana

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: June-08-18 10:35 AM
To: Luedke, Wilf; Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Waugh, Bill; Lemieux, Jeffrey; Hyatt, Kim; Thiess, Mary
Cc: Holmes, John
Subject: working on budgets - head up

Good Morning,

Reet has sent the A-base, PST allocations based on the Science EXEC discussions over the last few weeks.
So I am working on updating the FY-189-19 Area allocation spreadsheets.

Here's what's you can expect:

1. There are increases to S&W, but these will only cover off increments with the exception of the IREC position approved for S. Coast.
2. There are reductions to O&M as a result of regional taxes on PST and A-base, which amounts to about 9%. However:
 - a. Keep in mind annually S&W is converted to O&M so the effective reduction to O&M is less.
 - b. More importantly, there is regional direction (R. Reid) not to reduce core salmon assessment resources. So this is why John has indicated that you should plan programs like last year. What is changing this year is that "Day 2" transfers will also be taxed by about 15%. These funds will be used to offset the O&M reductions to salmon PST, A-base. However, those of you that get Day 2 funding for programs (e.g. environmental watch) need to take these reductions into account.
3. Although budget allocations are out, transfers will not likely occur until August.
4. The amount of relief funding sought from NHQ needs to be finalized.

More details later...

Diana

Jan / Ivan / Katie / Sham / Kate

- Distributed the current version of framework
 - check \$ by project
 - if additional projects
 - add descriptions
- Budget

- Cindy sent req for list of project codes
 - determine process and realign

- Charmaine ~
- B-Base funding ? Will we prioritize those as well -

→ Comments:

- email - Diana for last priority criteria:
 - distribute for consideration

→ Sham:

- FM inter student - will help Laurel & Marilyn


- STAs / FM → meet & } Sham
 - new staff playing - rules / org
 - public access to data
 - recruitment
 - CP coord. Th- request agenda

- Type : gus.

- PSF - Post Doc -
- Sal Exp Part II - 2 day WkSot
- recovery strategies based on C. B. B. Marks

s.21(1)(b)

KB



KB
K.B.
Kute
Chenare }
→ Parliament SFP / CCRA / PSF.
→ hope that they have SEP worked.

June 19, 2018

Katie / Tina / Cindy

DEM

91,864 + 642.
642 351
734 215 →

S&W

901,386
433,785
b 1335121

Leighton, Cynthia

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: June-19-18 3:29 PM
To: Cann, Christina; Beach, Katie; Leighton, Cynthia
Subject: FW: Chinook Criteria for priority definition process as discussed
Attachments: Criteria chinook Sentinel example.xls

From: Winther, Ivan
Sent: Monday, June 18, 2018 11:18 AM
To: Masson, Colin <Colin.Masson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: Chinook Criteria for priority definition process

Hi Colin,
Please find attached a spreadsheet with the criteria used in the Sentinel Stocks program as discussed today.

Ivan

Ivan Winther
Fisheries Biologist, Stock Assessment
Fisheries & Oceans Canada
417 – 2nd Ave. West, Prince Rupert
BC, Canada, V8J-1G8
Ivan.Winther@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
250-627-3459

Criteria for evaluation of Sentinel Stocks Program concept proposals

	Skeena Aggregate (Tyee Test Fishery)	Atnarko Bella Coola	Fraser River Chilko
			0
			0
Total Score	92	92	74
1) Significance to PST mgmt decisions	13	15	15
Size of stock and contribution	5	5	5
FM importance (% mort in PST fisheries)	3	5	5
Information value to PSC	5	5	5
2) Representativeness within a region	20	14	16
Natural production vs. hatchery + wild prod.	5	3	5
Stock type within a region	5	5	5
Relative abundance within the region.	5	5	5
Exploitation Rate Indicator	5	1	1
3) Methodology	19	23	17
Meets data standard (unbiased and 15% CV)	3	5	5
Bias	3	5	3
Precision	5	5	5
Biological sampling	5	5	3
Assumptions of method addressed	3	3	1
CHANCE OF SUCCESS			
4) Risk to success/Likelihood of Success	15	15	15
History of success on system and method (I	5	5	5
Dependence on external support (is external	5	5	5
Experience of proponents	5	5	5
Note: Low risk indicated high rating.			
5) Cost effectiveness	25	25	11
Budget presented/overhead	5	5	3
Future expectations	5	5	3
Matching funds	5	5	1
Cost benefit value	5	5	3
Capital/ operating ratio	5	5	1

0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0

Masson, Colin

Subject: STAD // RM Coordination
Location: Skeena Boardroom / 1 877-413-4788 Access Code [REDACTED]
Start: Fri 6/22/2018 1:30 PM
End: Fri 6/22/2018 2:30 PM
Recurrence: (none) s.16(2)
Meeting Status: Meeting organizer
Organizer: Masson, Colin
Required Attendees: Carr-Harris, Charmaine, Cox-Rogers, Steven; Davies, Shaun, Beach, Katie; Winther, Ivan; Finnegan, Barry, McGivney, Kate; Bergsma, Ian, Helin, Marilyn, Katnic, Peter, Radford, Jeffrey, Ottley, Jessica; Koroluk, Bradley; Gordon, Jennifer; Chow, Darren; Martens, Corey, Rudiak, Chad

Message below from Colin. If I have missed anyone in the distribution list, please let me know at Jill.MacNeill@lfo-mpo.gc.ca Thanks.

Given new staff in both sectors over the past year, an overview session is scheduled. The objective of this 1 hour meeting is to conduct an overview of all roles and responsibilities, outline key points of interaction and to identify further opportunities for coordination.

Any specific agenda topics in keeping with this objective should be forwarded to myself for incorporating as time may permit.

Thanks

Colin M

Peter / Chad

does he have a degree?

(Jan) / Kate M / Jeff

- Ivan CN
- Ian B
- Jessica
- Corey
- Shen Sta
- Katie B
- Laurel
- Ch...
- Brad

- impl. of Effort-based Area F. model
- Jeff - coord w US

- Area 3 - Ray & Nigra - bjo
- Area 3/4 - ~ go in-nearby folks:
- Co - shop terminal future

Jeff's role: > need everyone's cooperation -
→ engage w US
→ mutual communication

- Colin ~ monitor impact of Area F tool
- SX: STAD support of tool kit - advice on trend
- info on background

→ Jeff needs to talk to STAD & US about tool kit
→ MSC tasks / processes - Need to COORDINATE

- impl. of CMC assessment - Chalk Patrol coordination
- STAD ~ analysis; review of recovery plan.

- ➡ Area 3 - domestic chm. dy: Exp. of 10%
- SRA to provide summary of recent fisheries ~ in Exp. R. 70
 - PK directed from STH
 - JTC from Nizga's
 - Area 3 cm Jisney - bycatch has met the objective -
- Goal: Assessment of impacts

➡ Purpose:

Addn. meeting to discuss & prioritize

F.M. Bio role: →

- Peter & / or Mark R.

- Seed. Head

- BI-03

Masson, Colin

To: Dobson, Diana
Subject: RE: Copy of June 18 2018_NC StAD Project Budget.xlsx
s.19(1)
s.69(1)(g) re: (a)

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: Monday, June 25, 2018 3:33 PM
To: Masson, Colin <Colin.Masson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: RE: Copy of June 18 2018_NC StAD Project Budget.xlsx

Hi Colin,
Thanks.

I need some help interpreting and/or clarification if I'm missing something
Here's my understanding of FY 17/18 allocations:

1. Science O&M (a-base + pst, including admin) = 734K.
2. PST Integrity (Central Coast monitoring) = 65K
3. Relief funding. 103K

Without knowledge of the impact of reductions, John committed item 1 (only) would be constant.
As we knew, the Science portion of Item 2 (PST integrity) has been re-allocated this year, largely to the MRP program. So that funding is not available.
Item 3 (relief funding) is subject to approval and prioritization + it is a Fraser dominant year.

So here's where I'm struggling:

The amount identified for O&M in FY 2017/18 contributed by Science (986K) exceeds the actual amounts received when all sources are considered (902K)

[REDACTED] the amount identified for FY 2018/19 (1.25M) which exceeds any likely amount that will be transferred - all sources combined.

Not including Kitsumkalum CN CWT, I notice there are about 165K worth of incremental increases to projects (5 or 10K here or there). Given budget cuts, these are obviously out

[REDACTED]

Keep in mind, your allocated budget from Science will look something like this for FY 2018/19:

1. Science O&M (a-base + pst, including admin) = 660K.
2. PST Integrity = 0
3. Relief funding = ? (I'd like to at least ensure each area gets funding for Science O&M up to levels commensurate with last year (e.g. maybe 75K for NCST subject to negotiations + other priorities).

In any case, I am hoping that we can delete some of the red-listed items at the very least – not sure what they mean in your spreadsheet.

I'm also wondering if there is some confusion – i.e. perhaps salary and wage allocated to O&M in the past that is no longer available because you've staffed up?

Call me when you get a chance! Thanks,
Diana

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: June-25-18 11:51 AM
To: Dobson, Diana
Cc: Cann, Christina; Beach, Katie
Subject: Copy of June 18 2018_NC StAD Project Budget.xlsx

With sums

Budget Distribution and Input

2018-19 Distribution of Budgets:				ABASE		PST	
	S&W	O&M	S&W	O&M			
Distribution of funds available to allocate to SYA O&M to S&W to cover salary shortfall.	4,778.59	276.63	4,986.48	1,493.01			
Total budgets to distribute	4,778.59	276.63	4,986.48	1,493.01			
Distribution to Intermediate S&W	(4,778.6)		(3,066.4)				
Distribution to Admin		(276.6)					
Distribution to Regional, CORE and Assessment							
Balance		(0.0)		1,920.1			
Distribution of Project funds O&M		-		(1,920.1)			
Distributing budgets, after allocations		(0.0)		(548.0)			

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

S&W def-A-base
695.28 695284.828

2016-17 Budget Input:

[illegible]

Checks

Overall Allocation Summary

[illegible]

9,764,072	9,764.07
1,769,641	1,769.64

allocation of relief	
total	4,603,090
admin	check

307,952	362,439	688,374	141,235	1,500,000
894,318	1,051,109	1,997,218	409,494	4,352,139
71,535	66,684	88,503	49,912	276,634
965,853	1,117,793	2,085,721	459,406	4,628,773

	NC	SC	Fraser	Total	Yukon
2018-19 Areas salary	1,719,873	2,274,320	3,683,512	8,569,154	
2018-19 Areas O&M	184,198	199,004	339,983	824,641	
2018-19 Areas Total	1,904,071	2,473,324	4,023,495	9,393,795	

837,015	1,150,259	2,137,014	478,802	4,603,090
128,838	(32,466)	(51,293)	(19,396)	25,683

Year	2017-18 Areas salary	2017-18 Areas O&M	2017-18 Areas O&M	2017-18 Areas O&M
1,458,157	2,242,954	3,686,830	844,849	8,232,790
231,205	390,317	120,801	958,133	

2017-18 Areas Total	1,673,966	2,474,159	4,077,147	965,650	9,190,922
---------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	---------	-----------

2016-17 Areas Salary	1,155,383	2,073,528	3,546,354	997,519	7,772,781
2016-17 Areas O&M	201,196	218,774	357,698	114,115	891,783
2016-17 Areas Total	1,356,579	2,292,301	3,904,052	1,111,634	8,664,566

Diff	Salary	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
		564,489	200,792	137,158	(106,069)	796,371

Total	547,492	181,022	119,443	(118,729)	729,229
-------	---------	---------	---------	-----------	---------

NORTH COAST

+ CWT (PST + A-Base)

Program	Project	Source	FY	Actual	Actual	Actual	17/18	18/19	ptl Code
CN	Aitkoik Chinoook indicato A-BASE		13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	-	-	-
CN	Rivers Inlet Early Chinoook A-BASE		-	-	-	7	-	-	5PC72
CN	Wanook River Chinoook A-BASE		9	9	-	-	-	-	-
CN	CC: Video estimating RE A-BASE		9	9	-	-	-	-	-
Multiple	Area 6 South: Visual sun A-BASE		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Multiple	Area 6: Visual surveys PST		6	6	-	6	-	-	5PB10
CN	Lower Skeena: Visual Su PST		12	12	15	20	20	20	5D501
CN	Upper Skeena: Visual Su A-BASE		183	183	183	105	105	105	52134
CN	Kalium Chinoook: CWT PST		32	32	-	20	20	20	52134
CN	Kalium Chinoook: CWT PST		21	21	-	21	21	21	52134
CN	Upper Skeena: CWT PST		10	-	-	-	-	-	-
CO	CC: abundance indicator PST		6	6	-	10	10	10	5PB50
CO	Tell River Weir: Coho Ea PST		9	9	9	10	10	10	5PB10
CO	Area 6 coho escapement PST		15	15	15	15	15	15	5D501
CO	Skeena high interior (Upr) PST		6	6	15	15	15	15	5D501
CO	Lower Skeena coho visu PST		-	-	-	5	5	5	5D501
CO	Morietown Coho Martin PST		15	12	15	15	15	15	5D501
CO	Toboggan Coho Smolt m PST		10	10	10	17	-	-	-
CO	Toboggan CWT cost and PST		7	7	7	10	-	-	-
Multiple	Bahine Weir Sockeye Ad PST		160	165	145	180	180	180	5D501
Admin	NC Administration		85	85	-	92	92	92	50001
Admin	NC Training		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Admin	NC Travel		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Multiple	Northern Boundary Arne PST		80	80	-	80	80	80	52134
Sx	Area 3 & 4 Skeene fisheries PST		40	40	-	40	40	40	52134
Sx	Kiwanga Snout Program PST		23	23	22	22	22	22	5PB10
Sx	Kiwanga Weir Adult Enu PST		30	30	30	30	30	30	5PB10
Sx	Morietown Sockeye Esc PST		-	-	-	12	12	12	-
Sx	NASS: SOCKEYE RUN PST		23	-	-	60	60	60	5H008
Sx	Owenko Lake Sockeye PST		55	55	52	52	52	52	52140
Sx	Skeena (Tye) Gilnet Te A-BASE		25	25	25	25	25	25	52140
Sx	Skeena Test Fishery Soc A-BASE		55	55	55	55	55	55	52140
Sx	Skeena Test Fishery Soc PST		11	-	-	-	-	-	51208
Sx	Sockeye Doezer Fence		55	55	65	65	65	65	52130
Sx	Sockeye Doezer Fence PST		20	20	22	22	22	22	52130
Sx	Morietown Sockeye/Coh PST		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CM	Upper Skeena sockeye v PST		-	-	-	25	-	-	-
Sx	Area 6 Chum otolith sam A-BASE		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sx	Aitkoik (Upper) sockeye PST		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Multiple	Kwanmass and Khatzev A-BASE		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CO	Deena CWT		-	-	-	5	5	5	52134
CO	Middle/Lower Skeena vis A-BASE		-	-	-	5	5	5	5PB11
Multiple	Visual surveys: Additional A-BASE		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total			1,018	991	730	928	928	928	

Row Labels	Sum of 17/18	Column Labels	A-BASE	PST	Grand Total
Sum of 17/18	92	ADMIN			
Admin					
CN			125	41	166
CO			9,435	69.8	79,235
Multiple			0	260	260
Sx			102	229	331
Sum of 18/19	92				
Admin					
CN			125	41	166
CO			9,435	69.8	79,235
Multiple			0	260	260
Sx			102	229	331
Total Sum of 17/18	92		236,435	599.8	928,235
Total Sum of 18/19	92		236,435	599.8	928,235

2017/18 PROJECTS	Row Labels	ADMIN	A-BASE	PST	PST INT	PICFI	Science Relit Grand Total
Sum of 17/18	Admin	92					92
CM							166
CO			125	41			79,235
Multiple			9,435	69.8			260
Sx			0	260			331
TOTAL		92	236,435	599.8	0	0	928,235
2017-18	A-Base (Admin)	92					92
2017-18	SACC (PST/A-Base)	642,351					642,351
2017-18	PST Integrity	65,000					65,000
2017-18	Cohen						102.80
2017-18	NEF/SEF						65
2017-18	PICFI						
2017-18	Relief						
2017-18	Total	102,800					902
2017-18	Planned						928
2017-18	Science Relit Grand Total						194

2018/2019 PROJECTS	Row Labels	ADMIN	A-BASE	PST	PST INT	PICFI	Science Relit Grand Total
Sum of 18/19	Admin	92					92
CM							166
CO			125	41			79,235
Multiple			9,435	69.8			260
Sx			0	260			331
FishMon			102	229			331
Grand Total		92	236,435	599.8	0	0	928,235
Year	Source						
2018-19	A-Base	71,535					72
2018-19	SACC (PST/A-Base)	572,705					572,705
2018-19	PST Integrity	644					644
2018-19	Cohen						102.80
2018-19	NEF/SEF						65
2018-19	PICFI						
2018-19	Other						
2018-19	Relief						
2018-19	Total	644,240					644
2018-19	Planned						928
2018-19	Science Relit Grand Total						284

- 65 from comp - dev -

570 08W1
490
Sc. FRW - LEVIL

- training + dev -
- non-project fund -

Science renewal funding for Cohen responses (354K) is not included in these tables - see Cohen tab
PST Integrity funding from CR is not included in these tables

2018-19 Starting Point - Anticipated Allocation of Projects funds

		\$S for projects (all in O&M)						
	2016-17 Actual Admin	Cone 44,992	ARRAD	N Coast	S Coast	Fraser	Yukon	TOTAL
				91,864	85,635	113,654	64,097	400,242
						-400,000		-400,000
	reduce 400K FR SX							
	reallocation of FR SX pressure	83,195	19,027	61,425	72,142	137,109	28,102	400,000
	reallocation: Late Fee (area specific)			228	166	980		1,384
	reallocation: CSFS (area specific)	2,136	108	2,298		4,227	1,013	11,007
		86,321	18,135	62,878	74,606	141,336	29,115	412,391
	2016/17 alloc (incl admin) + restored 412K	995,363	95,000	734,215	840,058	1,547,465	357,969	4,570,070
	2016/17 alloc (excl admin) + restored 412K	950,371	95,000	642,351	754,423	1,433,811	293,872	4,169,828
				20,56%	24,15%	45,89%	8,41%	
				102,800	310,201	598,549	120,633	
	Relief Funding (1.5M)							
	Allocated AUG							
	2017/18 alloc (incl admin in L10)	956,000	95,000	837,015	1,150,259	2,137,014	478,802	5,654,090
	2017/18 alloc (excl admin)	956,000	95,000	745,151	1,064,624	2,023,360	414,705	5,298,840
	ACTUAL ALLOCATIONS			0	0	0	0	
	Relief Funding (1.2M)							

2018-19	Core	ARRAD	N Coast	S Coast	Fraser	Yukon	TOTAL
1. Allocate Admin			71,535	65,664	88,503	49,912	271,634
			26%	24%	32%	18%	
2. Allocate PST, A-BASE O&M (based on 2016/17 proportions, for areas)							
2018/19 alloc (incl admin)	880,000	65,000	657,900	755,354	1,397,347	318,172	4,073,773
2018/19 alloc (excl admin)	880,000	65,000	596,366	688,670	1,308,845	268,259	3,797,139
3. Allocate relief funding			76,315	84,704	150,118	39,797	
			50,000	75,000	439,500	30,000	
					500,000		
2018/19 alloc (incl admin)	880,000	65,000	784,215	915,058	2,486,965	387,969	5,519,207
2018/19 alloc (excl admin)	880,000	65,000	712,680	848,374	2,398,462	338,057	5,242,573
A/ variance due to A-base/PST O&M reductions	115,363	30,000	76,315	84,704	150,118	39,797	496,267

A/ variance to due to A-base/PST O&M reductions

000179

2017-18 Starting Point - Anticipated Allocation of Projects funds

	\$\$ for projects (all in O&M)						
	Core	SAFE	N Coast	S Coast	Fraser	Yukon	total
2016-17 Actual	44,992		91,864	85,635	113,654	64,097	400,242
Admin							
reduce 400K FR SX	83,195	18,027	61,425	72,142	137,109	28,102	400,000
reallocation of FR SX pressure							
reallocation: Late Fee (area specific)	990		228	166			1,384
reallocation: CSPS (area specific)	2,136	108	1,225	2,298	4,227	1,013	11,007
2016/17 alloc (incl admin) + restored 412K	995,363	188,744	734,215	840,058	1,547,465	357,969	4,663,815
2016/17 alloc (excl admin) + restored 412K	950,371	188,744	642,351	754,423	1,433,811	293,872	4,263,573
2017/18 alloc (incl admin in L10)	955,000	100,000	927,383	1,055,929	1,978,542	446,342	5,474,297
2017/18 alloc (excl admin)	955,000	100,000	835,519	981,294	1,864,988	382,245	5,119,047

355,250 O&M distributed to areas; no Admin to Core as it will be provided by ARRAD

restored funds for FR SX pressure + late fee

Admin (A-base O&M) includes amounts in L10, except for Core and SAFE. Admin for CORE will come through ARRAD Division budget
PST O&M Available = 5,119,047 (see Mains&Reductions Tab, cell H6)

Proportions based on 2016/17 allocations in L16 used to allocate PST O&M for 2017-18

20.56% 24.15% 45.89% 9.41%

5,119,047 PST O&M Available in 2017-18

4,064,047 PST O&M distributed to areas using proportions in L22 after Core (C19) & SAFE (D19) taken off the top

Science renewal funding for Cohen responses (354K) is not included in these tables - see Cohen tab
PST Integrity funding from CR is not included in these tables

Leighton, Cynthia

From: Beach, Katie
Sent: June-28-18 11:24 AM
To: Masson, Colin; Leighton, Cynthia; Cann, Christina
Subject: Relief funding list
Attachments: June 18 2018_NC StAD Project Budget.xlsx

Hi Colin,

You asked me to get the budget down ASAP to \$760 (\$71K for A-base and \$586K for PST) and add everything else to the relief funding list.

I tried to cut within PST then within A-base, but when people see how severely the program is cut, and how long term projects might be cut, they may move "A-base" projects into "PST" projects and then re-evaluate the priorities. I tried to do what you asked, but as you know, the real list will be developed after the July 9th meeting.

Relief funding for PST:

- Area 6 coho escapement: Charter patrol and flights: \$15,000
- Upper Skeena coho visual flights: \$15,000
- Lower Skeena Visual Survey flights: \$18,000
- Upper Skeena sockeye visual surveys: \$35,000
- Visual flight surveys for Area 6 South (to make up for loss of CP): \$8000
- Visual flight surveys for Area 6 N: \$6000
- Support of Babine: \$25,000
- Docee Sockeye Fence: \$65K (This may not be possible this year anyway)

s.19(1)

Relief funding for A-base:

- Kalum: CWT and M/R program: \$205,000 (it is way more than the \$71K that we are being allocated)
- Sockeye Rearing Lakes Assessment: \$20k (total ask is \$150k per year but at a minimal to make up for loss of Docee, Charmaine wants \$20K)
- Atnarko additional support: \$17,000
- CC Video to estimate REC adipose fin mark rate: \$5000
- Wannock River Chinook deadpitch visual surveys: \$17,000
- Upper Skeena Visual Surveys: \$30,000
- CC Visual survey flights: \$30,000
- Deena CWT: \$4,500
- Middle/Lower Skeena Visual Surveys: \$9800
- Area 6 otolith sampling: \$10K
- More support for limnology sampling (might be hard to get going this year on short notice): \$130,000
- Support of Docee if it gets going again: \$32,000

Note: in addition to the PST and A-base, we have an assumption of \$217K from the DFO Nisga'a budget. This funds the STAD contribution to Area 3-6 Charter Patrol (\$65k, which this year includes \$10K sole sourcing to Kitasoo and \$3K to Lax), the Area 3-5 Ocean Fisheries Sockeye Stock ID (\$60K which includes chum sampling now), Chum/pink surveys in Khutzeymateen and Kwinamass (\$36k), and Meziadin Fishway (\$56K). If the Nisga'a budget comes under, or if people want to re-prioritize some projects from A-base or PST into Nisga'a, then these projects may move to relief funding from A-base.

I've attached the budget, filtered to A-base and PST. The blue highlighted projects are cut. You may know more about projects and change around the cuts.

Cheers,
Katie

s.16(2)
s.69(1)(g) re: (a)

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: June-18-18 8:51 AM
To: Beach, Katie <Katie.Beach@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Leighton, Cynthia <Cynthia.Leighton@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Cann, Christina <Christina.Cann@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: FW: PST - COSTING / BUDGETS

Just got this email on Friday and have not had a chance to analyze it yet . Propose that we schedule a call to review.

3 pm TUESday work for you guys?

Colin

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 9:25 AM
To: Holmes, John <John.Holmes@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Whitehouse, Timber <Timber.Whitehouse@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Masson, Colin <Colin.Masson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Thiess, Mary <Mary.Thiess@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Luedke, Wilf <Wilf.Luedke@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Waugh, Bill <Bill.Waugh@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Millar, Nathan <Nathan.Millar@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Cc: Whelan, Christie <Christie.Whehan@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: RE: PST - COSTING / BUDGETS

Good Morning,
Monday morning two items on the agenda:

1. [REDACTED]
2. FY 2018/19 budgets. I have started building spreadsheets in the Salmon folder: dcbcpbsna01a:\Science\StockAssessCoord\FY-18-19

Would you please start to update the "Relief Funding" spreadsheet? I just copied over the version from last year assuming some of the projects are similar to save time.

Thanks, Diana

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: June-13-18 10:46 AM
To: Dobson, Diana; Holmes, John; Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Thiess, Mary; Luedke, Wilf; Waugh, Bill; Millar, Nathan
Cc: Whelan, Christie
Subject: PST - COSTING
When: June-18-18 11:00 AM-12:00 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).
Where: 1-877-413-4781 ID [REDACTED]

Good Morning,


s.69(1)(g) re: (a)

I realize not everyone can make it, but I think it would be a REALLY good idea to strategize about PST costing.

I assume this will be the first of several meetings/calls: We need work out and recommend what we think the program costs are from a Science point of view.

There is a meeting scheduled to review Chap. 3 tomorrow and Corey has promised to send me updated material which I will forward to support our follow-up discussion.

In the meantime, here's a summary of the current situation:



NC StAD PROJECT MASTER LIST

IN PROCESS OF REVIEWING THESE (CHANGES MARKED IN RED FONT)			
MU	SU	MU	SU
ID	ID	ID	ID
MU MATCH	SU MATCH	CU MATCH	

	Partial Data Only	Std OSM
1	0.0000	0.0000
2	0.0000	0.0000
3	0.0000	0.0000
4	0.0000	0.0000
5	0.0000	0.0000
6	0.0000	0.0000
7	0.0000	0.0000
8	0.0000	0.0000
9	0.0000	0.0000
10	0.0000	0.0000
11	0.0000	0.0000
12	0.0000	0.0000
13	0.0000	0.0000
14	0.0000	0.0000
15	0.0000	0.0000
16	0.0000	0.0000
17	0.0000	0.0000
18	0.0000	0.0000
19	0.0000	0.0000
20	0.0000	0.0000
21	0.0000	0.0000
22	0.0000	0.0000
23	0.0000	0.0000
24	0.0000	0.0000
25	0.0000	0.0000
26	0.0000	0.0000
27	0.0000	0.0000
28	0.0000	0.0000
29	0.0000	0.0000
30	0.0000	0.0000
31	0.0000	0.0000
32	0.0000	0.0000
33	0.0000	0.0000
34	0.0000	0.0000
35	0.0000	0.0000
36	0.0000	0.0000
37	0.0000	0.0000
38	0.0000	0.0000
39	0.0000	0.0000
40	0.0000	0.0000
41	0.0000	0.0000
42	0.0000	0.0000
43	0.0000	0.0000
44	0.0000	0.0000
45	0.0000	0.0000
46	0.0000	0.0000
47	0.0000	0.0000
48	0.0000	0.0000
49	0.0000	0.0000
50	0.0000	0.0000
51	0.0000	0.0000
52	0.0000	0.0000
53	0.0000	0.0000
54	0.0000	0.0000
55	0.0000	0.0000
56	0.0000	0.0000
57	0.0000	0.0000
58	0.0000	0.0000
59	0.0000	0.0000
60	0.0000	0.0000
61	0.0000	0.0000
62	0.0000	0.0000
63	0.0000	0.0000
64	0.0000	0.0000
65	0.0000	0.0000
66	0.0000	0.0000
67	0.0000	0.0000
68	0.0000	0.0000
69	0.0000	0.0000
70	0.0000	0.0000
71	0.0000	0.0000
72	0.0000	0.0000
73	0.0000	0.0000
74	0.0000	0.0000
75	0.0000	0.0000
76	0.0000	0.0000
77	0.0000	0.0000
78	0.0000	0.0000
79	0.0000	0.0000
80	0.0000	0.0000
81	0.0000	0.0000
82	0.0000	0.0000
83	0.0000	0.0000
84	0.0000	0.0000
85	0.0000	0.0000
86	0.0000	0.0000
87	0.0000	0.0000
88	0.0000	0.0000
89	0.0000	0.0000
90	0.0000	0.0000
91	0.0000	0.0000
92	0.0000	0.0000
93	0.0000	0.0000
94	0.0000	0.0000
95	0.0000	0.0000
96	0.0000	0.0000
97	0.0000	0.0000
98	0.0000	0.0000
99	0.0000	0.0000
100	0.0000	0.0000

[illegible]

Masson, Colin

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: Friday, June 29, 2018 11:36 AM
To: Luedke, Wilf; Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Waugh, Bill; Thiess, Mary; Jarvis, Mary Ellen
Cc: Holmes, John; Dhillon, Reet
Subject: 2018-19 FY Budgets
Attachments: ALL_AREAS 2018_19_RELIEF PLANNING.xlsx; 2018-2019 SACC Budget_June_28_updates.xlsx

Good Morning,

I've attached two spreadsheets.

1. The SACC budget spreadsheet that is used to allocate A-base and PST S&W and O&M to the areas and regional salmon group.
2. A relief planning spreadsheet that summarizes available budgets and year to year differences in the available project O&M from multiple sources (PST integrity (comp. rev.), Science 'relief', PICFI (related to creel), SEF (for MRP only).

Some explanation (please read carefully):

1. Spreadsheet 1

The purpose of this workbook is to allocate available A-base and PST Science S&W and O&M dollars. Here's the key things to know: Funds that come into the region for A-base S&W and O&M are insufficient to cover off either A-base positions or programs that may have initially been A-base funded. Also, the bulk of PST funds are S&W not O&M. So what we have to do is account for all the S&W required to fund for 'core' positions associated with Salmon Science + a 2K O/T allotment per position. These funds come off the top. The total requirement is summarized in the 'S&W requirements' worksheet and associated with the FIRST TWO columns of the table – i.e. it does not include S&W requirements associated with 'project' O&M (or non-core positions). Once these funds are taken off the top, the remaining PST S&W is converted to O&M and allocated to each area and the regional salmon group *on that basis (in O&M dollars)*. HOWEVER, when these funds are disbursed to the Areas/Salmon Group for Science a portion of them will be in S&W. The conversions are on the 'allocations of budgets' spreadsheet. To make things even more confusing, the deficit of A-base S&W is offset by PST S&W. To make things easier, we just offset more PST S&W to SCST relative to A-base, *but it is only for budgeting purposes – those positions are still 'a-base'.*

Net result. there is about a 10-8% reduction in available project O&M allocation and additional reduction in A-base O&M associated with Admin. Causes. regional taxes, insufficient transfer of S&W.

2. Relief Planning worksheet.

The purpose of this worksheet is demonstrate what 'relief funding' is required to implement 2018/19 salmon programs. Rather than just report a 'lump sum' deficit, I think it makes sense to try to tell a more complete story in terms of what the programs cost and what the consequences to budget reductions are *and where those hits occurred*. For this year, it's not just the reduction in A-base/PST, but reductions in available PICFI funding and re-allocation of PST Integrity funding. Add this to a 'dominant' Fraser sockeye year, which requires about 400K in incremental funding *assuming all things equal* (i.e. that previous year relief funding is still available). So, I have taken the project information that you gave me and built summaries of the area/regional salmon group program funding requirements with year over year comparisons of available funding. I've used this information in addition to relief funding requests you previously sent to start building the list. Ultimately, the idea is to be very clear about what program is being delivered with the available resources.

Process: Please

- i) Review the summary of projects / resourcing I have put together for your program for accuracy.
- ii) Review / update projects identified in the 'relief' worksheet. Make sure to account for the O&M / admin reduction I described above – n.b. I have placeholders there now.
- iii) Add ancillary information that helps explain the situation – e.g. source of previous funding, relation of work to PST implementation, FN projects, etc.

Once this spreadsheet is updated, I assume the totals be in the range of 1.9 to 2.1M (or more)). Carmel has indicated she was only willing to seek <1.5M in relief funds from National Science. We have a meeting with her this pm so I'll know more then about how to proceed. In the meantime, assume we'll likely be asked to prioritize. So, what you add should be defensible. My view is that the ultimate accountability for 'choices' in program cuts should be at the director level. Our job is to identify required resources.

A/ Staffing Requirements - not including additional project staff associated with O&M
 (These are allocations taken off the top - other staffing is accounted for in O&M dollars.)
 updated from July 10 worksheet

	CORE STAFF		PROJECT
	Total FTE requirements according to 2018/2019		
	Salary		O&M
	Abase	PST	Abase
Salmon_Core	7.00	7.31	
NC	9.25	4.92	2.01
SC	14.70	8.46	
Fraser	28.18	9.29	
Yukon	6.81	1.19	3.38
Area total + salmon	65.94	31.17	5.39

	Total salary (\$\$) requirements (excl contract s		
	Salary		O&M
	Abase	PST	Abase
Salmon_Core	628,704	724,533	
NC	798,163	433,785	121,173
SC	1,188,780	646,779	-
Fraser	2,205,357	681,149	
Yukon	605,560	92,225	202,959
Area total + salmon	5,426,563	2,578,471	324,132

2018-19	Core	ARRAD	N Coast	S Coast
1. Allocate Admin	25,000		71,535	66,684

2. Allocate PST, A-BASE O&M (based on 2016/17 proportions, for areas)

	Core	ARRAD	N Coast	S Coast
2018/19 alloc (incl admin)	852,000	76,544	626,686	718,693
2018/19 alloc (excl admin)	827,000	76,544	555,151	652,009
	928,544			

2017-18				
2017-18 (admin)	44,992.00		91,864.00	85,635.00
2017-18 (incl. admin)	995,363.00	95,000.00	734,215.00	840,058.00
2017-18 (excl. admin)	950,371.00	95,000.00	642,351.00	754,423.00

Admin reduction	-	19,992.00	-	-	20,329.26	-	18,951.10	
Project O&M reduction	-	123,371.00	-	18,456.16	-	87,199.82	-	102,413.72
Total	-	143,363.00	-	18,456.16	-	107,529.08	-	121,364.82

combined STAR
 -13.0%

-13.6%

-13.6%

1,090,363.00

000187

staffing plans
kM
PST
3.80
7.03
5.73
16.56

settlements)
kM
PST
192,472
340,363
301,482
834,317

Fraser	Yukon	TOTAL
88,503	49,912	301,634

admin reduced by 22%

Fraser	Yukon	TOTAL
1,327,673	303,891	3,880,487
1,239,170	253,979	3,603,853

project O&M reduced by about 9.4%, relative
(after accounting for S&W requirements)
n.b. additional slippage last year because NC!

113,654.00	64,097.00	400,242.00
1,547,465.00	357,969.00	4,570,070.00
1,433,811.00	293,872.00	4,169,828.00

- 25,150.88	- 14,184.77	- 98,608.00
- 194,641.35	- 39,893.43	- 565,975.48
- 219,792.23	- 54,078.20	- 565,975.48
-13.6%	-13.6%	-13.6%

No information has been removed or severed from this page

to 2017-158

ST was not staffed up

Area	Type	Project	Incremental Requirement
YUK/TRB	Stikine River	Chinook assessment program - PST	121
YUK/TRB	Taku River	Sockeye GSI - run reconstruction	48
YUK/TRB	Yukon	GSI Chinook, Chum	30
YUK/TRB	Taku & Stikine Rivers	Air charter cost relief	35
Fraser	Chinook	Chilliwack Chinook Key Stream	60
Fraser	Chinook	Fall Indicator (Harrison)	110
Fraser	Creels	Chilliwack Rec Fishereis Assess.	80
Fraser	Creels	Lower Fraser Rec Fisheries Assess.	110
Fraser	Creels	Nicomen Stave Fisheries Asses.	14
Fraser	Sockeye	Birkenhead Fence	44
Fraser	Sockeye	Bowron Adults	15
Fraser	Sockeye	Late Stuart Adults	57
Fraser	Sockeye	Portage Creek	5
Fraser	Sockeye	Fraser Valley Sockeye Roving (Intens	57
Fraser	Sockeye	Nadina Adults	10
Fraser	Sockeye	N/S Thompson Visuals (Intensive)	80
Fraser	Sockeye	Stellako River Adults	57
Fraser	Sockeye	Quesnel system DIDSON	161
Fraser	Sockeye	Early Eagle Adults	66
Fraser	Sockeye	Seymour Adults	129
Fraser	Creels	Lower Fraser Fall Rec Fisheries Asse	60
Fraser	Sockeye	Adams River/Shuswap Lake Adults	296
Fraser	Sockeye	Lower/Middle Shuswap Adults	127
Fraser	Sockeye	Harrison River Adults M-R	196
Fraser	Administration	Project funded FTE's - CR-04 HRG (C	31
Fraser	Administration	Project funded FTE's - BI-02 CH/CO I	49
Fraser	Administration	Project funded FTE's - Cm/Cr EG-05	36
South Coast	Coho	Black Creek CWT Indicator	50
South Coast	CWT Ind STK	Tag application - SBC	70
South Coast	Catch Monitoring	Emergency boat refit	60
South Coast	Environmental Monitoring	Cowichan chum didson	20
South Coast	Catch Monitoring	Oct. Chum creel survey	25
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Nimpkish CN and CM	20
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Mainland Inlet Pink	20
South Coast	Lab Processing	Otolith lab support	20
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Sooke CN	10
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Juveline Sockeye surveys	20
South Coast	Lab Processing	Support A'legay scale lab	10
South Coast	CWT Ind STK	Black Creek juvenile monitoring	15
South Coast	CWT Ind STK	Nanaimo River	25
North Coast	CO	Moricetown Coho Marking and enum	15
North Coast	CO	Toboggan Coho Smolt mark rate ana	17
North Coast	CO	Toboggan CWT cost and application	15
North Coast	Sx	Kitwanga Weir Adult Enumeration	30
North Coast	CN	CC: Video estimating REC adipose fir	4
North Coast	Sx	Sockeye Docee Fence	25
North Coast	Sx	Kitwanga Smolt Program	25
North Coast	CO	Deena CWT	22
North Coast	CO	Middle/Lower Skeena visual surveys	5
North Coast	CO	Area 6 coho escapement: Charter Pa	6
North Coast	Sx	Owikeno Lake Sockeye: Visual Surve	15
North Coast	CM	Area 6 Chum otolith sampling	70
North Coast	Sx	Atnarko (upper) sockeye visual surve	6
North Coast	CN	Upper Skeena: Visual Surveys	20

North Coast	CO	Lower Skeena coho visual estimates	15
North Coast	Sx	Moricietown Sockeye Escapement M/I	15
North Coast	CO	Tlell River Weir: Coho Escapement	8
North Coast	Sx	Upper Skeena sockeye visual surveys	9
North Coast	Sx	Sockeye indicator lake surveys	23
North Coast	multiple	CC: abundance indicators visual sur	25
North Coast	Multiple	Lower Skeena: Visual Surveys	7
North Coast	CN	Rivers Inlet Early Chinook: Visual Sur	8
Regional Scien	PST Tech	MRP	100
Regional Scien	Fraser sockeye	E-Watch	30
Regional Scien	PST Tech	PST Tech Travel	30

Sum of Incremental Requirement		Column Labels					
Row Labels		Sockeye	Chinook	Coho	Chum	Pink	Grand Total
YUK/TRB							
Population monitoring			156.0				156.0
Catch Sampling		48.0				30.0	78.0
North Coast							
Population monitoring		147.5	28.0	33.5		32.0	241.0
CWT Indicator Stock Program			4.0	69.0			69.0
Catch Monitoring							4.0
Catch Sampling					70.0		70.0
South Coast							
Population monitoring		20.0	35.0	15.0	20.0	20.0	130.0
CWT Indicator Stock Program				50.0		70.0	120.0
Emergency boat refit						60.0	60.0
Catch Monitoring					25.0		25.0
FN Lab support						30.0	30.0
Fraser							
Population monitoring		1,300.0					1,300.0
CWT Indicator Stock Program			170.0				170.0
Catch Monitoring						264.0	264.0
Project support						116.6	116.6
Regional Science							
CWT Indicator Stock Program						100.0	100.0
E-Watch		30.0					30.0
PST Tech Travel						30.0	30.0
Grand Total		1,545.5	393.0	167.5	115.0	20.0	2,993.6

Column Labels													
Row Labels	A-base	PST	Staffing Plan	Relief	PST INT	Cohen	Cancelled	n/a	Grand Total				
Sum of 17/18													
Administrative	113.6				22				135.6				
Chinook		406			0	143		0	549				
Cohen					75		219.5		294.5				
Coho		175							175				
Creels					95	68			163				
Fraser StA			209.5						209.5				
Pink		31							31				
Sockeye		623		0	661		0	0	1284				
Sum of 18/19													
Administrative	113.6				20.8				134.4				
Chinook		290			0	170			460				
Cohen					35		219.5		254.5				
Coho		195							195				
Creels					184	80			264				
Fraser StA			292.7						292.7				
Pink		35							35				
Sockeye		780		45.9	937			0	1762.9				
Total Sum of 17	113.6	1235	209.5	853	211	219.5	0	0	2841.6				
Total Sum of 18	113.6	1300	338.6	1176.8	250	219.5	0	0	3398.5				

SOUTH COAST

			FY				
Program	Project	Source	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	
Admin		Admin	87	77	79	90	
Admin	PST	PST	92	10	-		
Admin	PST	Science Relief					
CHINOOK	Key Streams C	PST	63	33	53	55	
FishMon	DFO elog supp	PICFI			50		
FishMon	Recreational m	PICFI	230	285	247	244	
FishMon	Creel survey, P	PICFI	19	32	28	45	
FishMon	Creel survey, G	PICFI	119	104	127	115	
FishMon	Creel survey	PICFI	24	30	115	116	
Admin	PST	PST INT					
Admin	PST	PST INT					
Admin	Southern Panel	PST INT					
Admin	PST	Science Relief					
Admin	PST	Science Relief					
Admin	PST	Science Relief					
Admin	PST	Science Relief					
Admin	PST	Science Relief					
Admin	PST	Science Relief					
SOCKEYE	Nimkish Sock	ABASE	2	2	2	2	
PINK	Sea Lice Activit	ABASE	54	51	7		
FishMon	Area 12/27 Cre	ABASE	54	54	40	30	
CHINOOK	JST Wild Chinc	PST	5	5	5	5	
CHINOOK	JST Chinook K	PST	54	60	60	50	
COHO	Georgia Basin	PST	112	70	40	70	
CHUM	Chum Stock ID	PST	5	6	6	5	
COHO	Georgia Basin	PST INT					
CHUM	Nimkish Sock	Science Relief					
CHINOOK	JST Chinook K	PST					
CHINOOK	JST Chinook K	Science Relief					
COHO	Georgia Basin	Science Relief					
COHO	Georgia Basin	Science Relief					
SOCKEYE	Sakinaw Socke	ABASE	35	28	22	23	
CHINOOK	LGS Chinook F	PST	5	5	5	5	
CHINOOK	Chinook Produ	PST	75	90	64	82	
CHUM	Chum Assessn	PST	2	2	2	12	
FishMon	SC GST Creel	PST		110	75	86	
CHINOOK	LGS Chinook F	PST INT					
CHINOOK	Chinook Produ	PST INT					
FishMon	SC GST Creel	PST INT					
SOCKEYE	Sakinaw Socke	Science Relief					
CHINOOK	LGS Chinook F	Science Relief					
CHINOOK	LGS Chinook F	Science Relief					
CHUM	Chum Assessn	Science Relief					
CHINOOK	Chinook Produ	Science Relief					
SOCKEYE	WCVI Sockeye	ABASE	60	60	60	60	
CHINOOK	WCVI Wild Chi	PST	67	67	67	67	
CHINOOK	Somass River	PST	67	75	75	120	

CHUM	Chum Assessn PST	10	2	2	2
FishMon	WCVI Creel Su PST	126	120	85	75
CHINOOK	WCVI Wild Chi PST INT				
FishMon	WCVI Creel Su PST INT				
SOCKEYE	WCVI Sockeye Science Relief				
CHINOOK	WCVI Wild Chi Science Relief				
CHINOOK	WCVI Wild Chi Science Relief				
CHINOOK	WCVI Wild Chi Science Relief				
CHINOOK	Somass River Science Relief				
FishMon	SBC Creel Science Relief				
CWT IND STK	Tag Application Science Relief				
FishMon	Emergency box Science Relief				
PINK	Mainland Inlet Science Relief				
FishMon	Oct. Chum Cre Science Relief				
Lab	Otolth Lab Sup Science Relief				
Lab	At'legacy Scale I Science Relief				
TOTAL		1,363	1,377	1,315	1,359

Column Labels						
Row Labels	Admin	ABASE	PST	PST INT	PICFI	Science Relief
Sum of 17/18						
Admin	81.63		36	89.97		85.818
CHINOOK			354.592	75		128
CHUM			19			20
COHO			20	15		65
PINK		0				
SOCKEYE		85				18
FishMon		40	204.3564	99.5596	568	
CWT IND STK						
Lab						
Sum of 18/19						
Admin	81.63		36			
CHINOOK			354.592			35
CHUM			19			40
COHO			20			65
PINK		0				20
SOCKEYE		85				20
FishMon		40	204.3564	99.6	225	437
CWT IND STK						66
Lab						30
Total Sum of 17	81.63	125	633.9484	279.5296	568	316.818
Total Sum of 18	81.63	125	633.9484	99.6	225	713

Row Labels	Sum of 13/14	Sum of 14/15	Sum of 15/16	Sum of 16/17	Sum of 17/18	Sum of 18/19
Admin	86.7	77.2	78.8	90	81.63	81.63
ABASE	204.1	194.2	131	115	125	125
PST	680.8	654.3	538.1	633.5	633.9484	633.9484
PST INT					279.5296	99.6

PICFI	391.5	451	567	520	568	225
Science Relief					316.818	713
Grand Total	1363.1	1376.7	1314.9	1358.5	2004.926	1878.1784

PST/A-BASE	840
COMP REVE	

THESE ARE WRONG

17/18	18/19
82	82
36	36
3	
66	66
45	25
250	200
40	
163	
70	
6	
64	
20	
30	
3	
10	
7	
10	
23	
2	2
-	-
40	40
5	5
53	53
20	20
5	5
15	
10	20
7	7
7	
50	50
15	15
23	23
5	5
52	52
12	12
83	83
15	
30	
79	79
8	
6	
10	
10	20
30	25
60	60
87	87
80	80

2017/18 PROJECTS	
Row Labels	Admin
Sum of 17/18	
Admin	81.63
CHINOOK	
CHUM	
COHO	
PINK	
SOCKEYE	
FishMon	
CWT IND STK	
Lab	
TOTAL	81.63
2017-18	A-Base (Admin
2017-18	SACC (PST/A-I
2017-18	PST Integrity
2017-18	Cohen
2017-18	NEF/SEF
2017-18	PICFI
2017-18	Other
2017-18	Relief
2017-18	Total

2018/2019 PROJECTS	
Sum of 18/19	Column Labels
Row Labels	Admin
Admin	81.63
CHINOOK	
CHUM	
COHO	
PINK	
SOCKEYE	
FishMon	
CWT IND STK	
Lab	
Grand Total	81.63
Year	Source
2018-19	A-Base
2018-19	SACC (PST/A-I
2018-19	PST Integrity
2018-19	Cohen
2018-19	NEF/SEF

2	2
121	121
30	
21	21
10	20
25	
10	10
10	
30	
	352
	66
	60
	20
	25
	20
	10
2,005	1,878

2018-19	PICFI
2018-19	Other
2018-19	Relief
2018-19	Total

Grand Total

293.418
557.592
39
100
0
103
911.916

117.63
389.592
59
85
20
105
1005.9564
66
30

2004.926
1878.1784

ABASE	PST	PST INT	PICFI	Science Relie	Grand Total
		36		85.818	293.418
	354.592		75	128	557.592
	19			20	39
	20		15	65	100
0					0
85				18	103
40	204.3564		99.5596	400	911.916
125	633.9484	189.5596		400	316.818
					1746.956

		Allocated	Planned	
85,635	Admin	86	82	
754,423	SACC (PST/A-base)	754	759	
285,500		840	841	- 1
	Relief	310.20	317	
568,000	PST Integrity	286	190	
	PICFI	568	400	
310,201				
2,003,759	Total	2,004	1,747	257

ABASE	PST	PST INT	PICFI	Science Relie	Grand Total
		36		117.63	-175.788
	354.592			354.592	-203
	19			19	-20
	20			20	-80
0				0	0
85				85	-18
40	204.3564		99.6	225	568.9564
					-342.96
				0	0
				0	0
125	633.9484	99.6	225	0	1165.1784


		Allocated	Planned	
66,684	Admin	67	67	
652,009	PST, incl. staff	652	652	
99,500		719	719	- 0
	Relief		230	

225,000	PST Integrity	100	100	
352,000	PICFI			
	Other (Creel)	352	352	
1,395,193	Total	1,170	1,401	- 230

page is blank

100

No information has been removed or severed from this page



No information has been removed or severed from this page

YUKON / TRANSBOUNDARY

Program	Project	Funding Source	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17
Taku	Taku Project Support	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				
Taku	Taku Chinook Fishery Sampling	NEF - not funded in 2018/19				
Taku	Taku (Chinook) Headwater Sampling	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				
Taku	Taku Chinook GSI	NEF - not funded in 2018/19				
Taku	Nahlin Sonar	NEF				
Taku	Taku CWT	NEF				
Taku	Taku Sockeye Fishery Sampling	NEF				
Taku	Taku Sockeye GSI	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				
Taku	Little Trapper Sock. Assess.	NEF				
Taku	Taku Coho Fishery Sampling	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				
Stikine	Stikine Project Support	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				
Stikine	Stikine Chinook Fishery Sampling	NEF - not funded in 2018/19				
Stikine	L. Tahltan Weir	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				
Stikine	Stikine Chinook Aerial Survey	NEF				
Stikine	Stikine Sockeye Aerial Survey	Tahltan First Nation - NEF				
Stikine	Stikine Chinook GSI	NEF - not funded in 2018/19				
Stikine	Stikine CWT	NEF				
Stikine	Stikine Sockeye Fishery Sampling	NEF				
Stikine	Tahltan Lake Smolt Sockeye	NEF				
Stikine	Tahltan Lake Adult Sockeye	NEF				
Stikine	Stikine Sockeye GSI	NEF - not funded in 2018/19				
Stikine	Stikine Coho Fishery Sampling	NEF - not funded in 2018/19				
Stikine	Stikine Coho Aerial Surveys	Tahltan First Nation - NEF				
Stikine	Stikine Chinook Adult M/R	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				
Admin	Admin	ADMIN				
Lab	Otolith Lab	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				
Alsek	Chinook and Sockeye Assessment	NEF				
Klukshu	Klukshu River Enumeration - FN Treaty					
Yukon	Eagle Border Sonar	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				
Yukon	Eagle Border Sonar	PST INT				
Yukon	Porcupine River assessment	PST INT				
Yukon	GSI Chinook, Chum	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				
Yukon	GSI Development Yukon CN	Science O&M (A-base, PST)				

Sum of 18/1 Column Labels						
Row Labels	ADMIN	Science O&M	PST INT	NEF	NEF - not funded	Tahltan First
Admin	64.087					
Yukon		92	188			
Taku		48.23		306.4	65	
Stikine		134.75		340.2	110	20
Lab		17.5				
Grand Total	64.087	292.48	188	646.6	175	20

0.5226537

17/18**18/19**

15.0

40.0

4.5

25.0

123.6

73.5

39.3

23.7

70.0

5.0

15.0

40.0

56.8

23.2

10.0

25.0

118.7

90.4

59.8

48.1

25.0

20.0

10.0

63.0

64.1

17.5

50.0

31.3

exclude from SC

62.0

38.0

150.0

20.0

10.0

Grand Total

64.087

280

419.63

604.95

17.5

1386.167**2017/18 PROJECTS**

Row Label: Admin

Science O&M

Sum of 17/18

Admin

Yukon

Taku

Stikine

Lab

TOTAL

0

0

2017-18 A-Base (Admin) 64,097

2017-18 SACC (PST/A-base) 293,872

2017-18 PST Integrity 30,000

2017-18 Cohen

2017-18 NEF/SEF

2017-18 PICFI

2017-18 Other

2017-18 Relief 120,833

2017-18 Total 508,802

2018/2019 PROJECTS

Sum of 18/ Column Labels

Row Label: Admin

Science O&M

Admin

64.087

Yukon

92.0

Taku

48.2

Stikine

134.8

Lab

17.5

Grand Total

64.087

292.5

Year Source

2018-19 A-Base 49,912

2018-19 SACC (PST/A-base) 262,009

2018-19 PST Integrity 188,000

2018-19 Cohen

2018-19 NEF/SEF

2018-19 PICFI

2018-19 Other

2018-19 Relief

2018-19 Total 499,922

0 0 0 0 0

	Allocated	Planned			
Admin	64	-			
SACC (PS)	294	-			
	<u>358</u>	-	358	-	358
Relief	120.83	-			
PST Integr	30	-			
PICFI	-	-			
	<u>509</u>	-	509		

PST INT	PICFI	Science R€	Grand Total
		64.087	64.087
188		280	280
		48.23	48.23
		134.75	134.75
		17.5	17.5
		0	0
		0	0
188	0	0	544.567

	Allocated	Planned	
Admin	50	64	
PST, incl. s	262	292	
	312	357	- 45
Relief			
PST Integr	188	188	
PICFI	-	-	
Other (Creel)		-	
Total	500	545	- 45

STAR SALMON

Program	Project	Fund	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18
PST TECH	PST Travel	PST					
Lab	Scale Ageing Lab	PST					
ESB	52391 WCVI Sockeye	PST					
ESB	Environmental Watch	PST					
PST TECH	52272 CTC Travel	PST					
Admin	52113 Core Technica	Admin					
PST TECH	52015 MRP / PST D	PST					
PST TECH	5P000 PST Support	PST					
PST TECH	5PG28 SFEC travel	PST					
PST TECH	5PE01 PST COTC tr	PST					
CWT IND STK	MRP	PST					
CWT IND STK	MRP	PST INT					
CWT IND STK	Tag Purchase	PST					

Sum of 18/19	Column Labels				
Row Labels	Admin	PST	PST INT	Grand Total	
Admin	25			25	
CWT IND STK		1025	450	1475	
ESB		70		70	
Lab		10		10	
PST TECH		81		81	
Grand Total	25	1186	450	1661	

18/19

6.0

10.0

-

70.0

25.0

25.0

6.0

25.0

7.0

12.0

841.0

450.0

150.0

2017/18 PROJECTS

Science O&M

Row Labels	Admin	A-base	PST	PST INT
Sum of 17/18				
CWT IND STK				
ESB				
Lab				
PST TECH				
TOTAL	0	0	0	0
2017-18	A-Base (Admin)			Admin
2017-18	SACC (PST/A-base)			SACC (PS
2017-18	PST Integrity			
2017-18	Cohen			
2017-18	NEF/SEF			Relief
2017-18	PICFI			PST Integr
2017-18	Other			PICFI
2017-18	Relief			
2017-18	Total	-		Total

2018/2019 PROJECTS

Sum of 18/19	Column I Science O&M			
Row Labels	Admin	A-base	PST	PST INT
Admin	25			
CWT IND STK			1025	450
ESB			70	
Lab			10	
PST TECH			81	
Grand Total	25	0.0	1186	450
Year	Source			
2018-19	A-Base	25,000		Admin
2018-19	SACC (F	903,544		PST, incl. s
2018-19	PST Inte	451,000		
2018-19	Cohen			
2018-19	NEF/SEF			Relief
2018-19	PICFI			PST Integr
2018-19	Other			PICFI
2018-19	Relief			Other (Cree
2018-19	Total	1,379,544		Total

PICFI	Science R€	Grand Total
-------	------------	-------------

0	0	0
---	---	---

Allocated	Planned
-----------	---------

-	-
---	---

-	-
---	---

-	-
---	---

-	-
---	---

-	-
---	---

-	-
---	---

-	-
---	---

PICFI	Science R€	Grand Total
-------	------------	-------------

25	25
----	----

1475	1475
------	------

70	70
----	----

10	10
----	----

81	81
----	----

0

0

0	0	1661
---	---	------

Allocated	Planned
-----------	---------

25	25
----	----

904	1,186
-----	-------

929	1,211	-	282
-----	-------	---	-----

451	450
-----	-----

-	-
---	---

31)	-
-----	---

1,380	1,661	-	281
-------	-------	---	-----

includes 184 tag purchase

- 97

Area	Fiscal Year						Comments
	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	
REGION	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	<i>n/i NEF B-base</i>
NCST	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0	
SCST	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	
FRASER	2.3	3.1	2.7	2.5	2.6	3.2	<i>n/i Cohen</i>
YUKON	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	<i>n/i NEF B-base</i>
TOTAL	6.4	7.0	6.6	6.2	6.5	7.2	
SCI Allocation	5.5	5.1	4.7	3.6	4.6	3.9	
Deficit	-1.0	-2.0	-1.9	-2.5	-1.9	-3.3	

1

	Area	Cost of Program	Science Allocation	Deficit
n/I 450 CWT, SEF	REGION	1.09	0.93	0.16
	NCST	0.99	0.63	0.37
add in boat repair	SCST	1.46	0.72	0.74
n/I cohen	FRASER	3.21	1.33	1.88
n/I NEF	YUKON	0.45	0.30	0.15
	TOTAL	7.2	3.9	3.3

Variance 1 - 143,363.00 - 18,456.16 - 107,529.08 - 121,364.82 - 219,792.23

Core	ARRAD	N Coast	S Coast	Fraser
852000	76543.8412	626685.9213	718693.1843	1327672.773
827000	76543.8412	555151.1767	652009.2849	1239169.65

0.39

Row Labels	Sum of Incremental Requirements
Fraser	1850.6
Fraser	216
North Coast	384
South Coast	365
STAR Salmon	110
YUK/TRB	256.5
Grand Total	3182.1

Relief Request
0.16
0.37
0.29
1.88
0.15
2.9

- 54,078.20

Yukon	TOTAL
303890.8003	3880487
253978.5672	3603853

it

Wed. July 04, 2018.

Dianna:

- reviewed S&W requirements
- no OT included → now added back in for WC
- 11% ↓ in O&M + reduction in Admin \$) (~ 22% ↓)
- ⇒ generally ~ 12% overall reduction.

- Alloc of \$ in June 29-2018 - unaid
- last year: planned for 1.4 M relief - got \$ 1.2 M
- trying to complete story:
 - also dealing with admin \$ from comprehensive review - not avail.
 - need to build case for \$ 1.2 M.

→ will get A-Base \$ same as last year.

→ about \$ 90 K in WC has to be made up from relief \$ for core program
AND THEN, deal w/ other pressures:

- lost comprehensive review \$
- dominant Fraser SX program (\$ 400K mark/recap min add)

- Cannel - not willing to go to Science for admin relief \$


- we are trying to determine →

- direction:
 - ① review overall program - core program
 - ② doc deficits
 - ③ prioritizing relief funding candidates.

- Summary:

- Describe core program →
- " impacts - remaining gaps
- prioritizing relief candidates.

s.69(1)(g) re: (a)



→ Time line: b.f. - end of next week

Budget

ROG no ↓ to Sal. St Ass - Regional tasc ~ day 1 transfers
- 17 1/2%

Regional pressures

Science - Carmel - want to link all

↑ Sal? ~ of ~ 336 K for Sal Sp. wage
but doesn't cover all incremental ↑

so effectively we have a reduction

and there was O&M ↓ of 2% actually ~ 272 K ↓
8.7% —

→ new staffing sheet / plans

② Relief \$ 1.5 m in 17/18

all relief + no ↓

Other: Comprehensive Review are all being 'faced'

first out of last years prog

" " of this year " w lower peak

outline impacts of current situation

→ bottom line ~ entire ~ 9% Reduction and not factored
Fr dominant cycle

- reduced Comprehensive Review \$.

Staff Notes

May 28-

regular meeting
ID. component task

- Proposed - maintain TEAM approach.

Coordination → Jeff's role

✓ PRL course.

* - BI-D2 ~ consider options ~ summer tech to BI-D2 - seasonal shift.

(note: [redacted])

- could also be the "field contact" for F.N.i around the NCA

- Regional Science - replace Blain Heltby position
→ reinstate that model.

* - Science ~ in F.N.i → use this opp as rationale for
improving BI-D2 in NCA

Budget Process

- Framework

- Privatization - A-Base / B Base

(1) Budget Meeting - in 2-3 weeks

(2) Monthly - 8:30 - 10 → 3rd Week →

(3)

354

Masson, Colin

From: Leighton, Cynthia
Sent: Thursday, July 5, 2018 4:34 PM
To: Masson, Colin
Subject: Salary requirements for StAD (not incl Nisga'a)
Attachments: NEW Staffing Plan - NCA - June 12, 2018.xlsx

Attachment cannot be located.

Hi Colin,

Our most recent reconciled staff plan is attached. We won't have another one until late July, this version includes our planned staffing as previously discussed.

Total Salary requirements are:

PST (5PB10) = \$ 533,892

Abase (00000) = \$ 821,239

Abase overtime (2K per FTE, total FTE's including our planned staffing is 10.4) - \$22,880

If you want to filter it to include only StAD (not Nisga'a), filter by Business Line 810, Project Code 00000 and Project Code 5PB10

Let me know if you have any questions.

Cindy

From: Leighton, Cynthia
Sent: June-20-18 4:36 PM
To: Masson, Colin <Colin.Masson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Cc: McWilliam, Tracy <Tracy.McWilliam@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Cann, Christina <Christina.Cann@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Beach, Katie <Katie.Beach@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: BL 810 staff plan

Hello,

Attached is the updated StAD staff plan (June 12th). Filters to use: BL 810, Allotment 110.

There are a few things to note:

- Central coast (Kate & Wayne) has only hired 2 technicians so far, so I've kept the rest as planned staffing. This planned staffing was signed off on the Bulk Submission by John Holmes back in March.
- North coast (Ian) may hire more technicians, so again, I've kept the rest as planned staffing that was signed off by John Holmes.
- We've added the planned staffing for the BI04, AS01 and both EG-05's. I indicated that we are not filling the BI02's. Let me know if this needs to be changed!

Total S&W requirements are:

PST (5PB10) - \$ 533,892

Abase (00000) - \$ 820,434

Total: \$ 1,354,326

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Cynthia Leighton
Finance & Admin Team Lead, North Coast Area
Fisheries and Oceans Canada
Tel: 250-627-3420

Masson, Colin

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: Thursday, July 5, 2018 2:01 PM
To: Cox-Rogers, Steven, Davies, Shaun, McGivney, Kate, Winther, Ivan; Beach, Katie, Finnegan, Barry, Carr-Harris, Charmaine, Helin, Marilyn; Bergsma, Ian, Cann, Christina Leighton, Cynthia
Cc:
Subject: STad meeting July 06 2018 9- 3 30 Skeena or conf call # 1-877-413-4788 code [REDACTED]

s.16(2)

Folks

s.69(1)(g) re: (a)

Draft agenda for tomorrow.

- Outline evolving budget context and source and details of allocations
- Review *core/base* program – *discuss proposed/requested increases*
- Review criteria for PRIORITIZATION
- Prioritize projects and determine *core/base* projects within funding allocation
- Identify those projects on the relief funding list (i.e. below the line)

It's a lot to cover and I am still working on the prioritization criteria for distribution prior to discussion (thanks Ivan for forwarding criteria used for sentinel Stocks)

Colin Masson
North Coast Area Director,
Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans
Office: 250-627-3416
Cell. [REDACTED]

Proposed Stock Assessment Project Ranking Criteria

Value for category

- Significance to PST Management Decisions
- PST Obligations
- 1-5

- Indicator stock or key stream for a species, CU or broader region
- Key component of an assessment strategy for NCA (by species) or region
- Part of a multi-year assessment program
- 1-5

- Significance to domestic Fishery management
- Consider the scope/relative importance and scale of fishery
- 1-5

- Cost- effectiveness /value for actual investment
- Relative importance to a shared funding arrangement
- y/n alternatives to program (info from other source)
- 1-5

- Likelihood of success/demonstrated success
- Ability to meet required quality and objectives
- 1-5

Total pts possible : 25

Example of (possibly) highest NCA rating; Tyee test Fishery = ~ 24 or 25

Example of mid range project : CC escapement flights for coho = ~ 7

Example of Area 6 visual surveys ; Green and Khutz to cover CP = ~ 5

INPUTS

FUNDS ASSOCIATED WITH PROJECT DELIVERY NOT CORE STAFF S&W
(In O&M Dollars - a portion of project-directed PST funds are transferred as S&W)

Year	Source	STAR	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
2018-19	A-Base	844,240	71,535	66,684	88,503	49,812	276,634
2018-19	PST	936,000	572,705	672,626	1,278,352	262,049	3,721,693
2018-19	PST Integrity	451,000		99,500		188,000	738,500
2018-19	Cohen				188,150		188,150
2018-19	NEF/SEF						
2018-19	PICFI						
2018-19	Other			352,000			
2018-19	Relief						
2018-19	Total	1,387,000	844,240	1,190,810	1,553,005	499,822	4,922,977

2017-18	A-Base	91,864	85,635	113,654	64,097	355,250
2017-18	PST	1,045,371	642,351	754,423	1,433,811	293,672
2017-18	PST Integrity	224,000	65,000	189,500	215,000	723,500
2017-18	Cohen				219,000	219,000
2017-18	NEF/SEF	485,000				485,000
2017-18	PICFI			318,000		318,000
2017-18	Other					
2017-18	Relief	70,000	102,800	310,201	589,549	120,633
2017-18	Total	1,824,371	902,015	1,857,758	2,571,015	508,802

All Sources	437,371	257,775	466,949	1,018,009	8,880	2,188,984
Science O&M	109,371	89,975	100,748	180,610	46,047	526,751

Year	Source	STAR	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
2017-18	A-Base		-22%	-22%	-22%	-22%	-22%
2017-18	PST	-10%	-11%	-11%	-11%	-11%	-11%
2017-18	PST Integrity	101%	-100%	-47%	-100%	527%	2%
2017-18	Cohen	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-15%	#DIV/0!	-15%
2017-19	NEF/SEF	-100%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-100%
2017-18	PICFI	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-100%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	-100%
2017-18	Other	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
2017-18	Relief	-100%	-100%	-100%	-100%	-100%	-100%
2017-18	Total	-32%	-40%	-39%	-66%	-2%	-52%

n.b. did not include FTE

Actual difference: 2017/18: 7.463 M
2018/19: 4.983 M
136
4.787
2.676 = V.

ALLOUATIONS

	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
2018-19 Areas Salary	1,613,773.75	2,284,002.52	3,738,790.83	872,467.49	8,509,034.59
2018-19 Areas O&M	186,048.72	201,177.31	344,113.22	102,301.74	833,641.00
2018-19 Areas Total	1,799,822.47	2,485,179.83	4,082,904.05	974,769.23	9,342,675.59
	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
2017-18 Areas Salary	1,458,156.91	2,242,954.27	3,686,830.03	844,848.78	8,232,790.00
2017-18 Areas O&M	215,809.45	231,205.04	390,317.03	120,800.98	958,132.50
2017-18 Areas Total	1,673,966.37	2,474,159.31	4,077,147.06	965,649.76	9,190,922.50
	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
2016-17 Areas Salary	1,155,383.38	2,073,527.94	3,546,353.78	997,518.62	7,772,783.72
2016-17 Areas O&M	201,195.98	218,773.53	357,697.86	114,115.40	891,782.77
2016-17 Areas Total	1,356,579.36	2,292,301.47	3,904,051.64	1,111,634.02	8,664,566.49

UNCONVERTED ALLOCATIONS

	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
S&W	155,617	41,048	51,961	27,619	276,245
O&M	-	29,761	30,028	46,204	124,492

PROJECT ALLOCATIONS

	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
2018-19	644,240	739,310	1,366,855	311,922	3,062,327
	572,705	672,626	1,278,352	262,009	2,785,693

2017-18	734,215	840,058	1,547,465	357,969	3,479,707
	642,351	754,423	1,433,811	293,872	3,124,457

REDUCTION to O&M

	89,975	100,748	180,610	46,047	417,380
	69,646	81,797	155,459	31,863	338,764

	-12.3%	-12.0%	-11.7%	-12.9%	-12.0%
	-10.8%	-10.8%	-10.8%	-10.8%	-10.8%

inc admin
not incl admin

incl admin
not incl admin

incl admin
not incl admin

incl admin
not incl admin

-46420
-26091

-0.063
-0.040

FRANCE / FUNDING / FILLUATION / - PLAN / 07/11

NORTH COAST

2017/18 PROJECTS														2018/2019 PROJECTS						
Program	Project	Source	FY 13/14	Actual 14/15	Actual 15/16	Actual 16/17	17/18	18/19	prj. Code	Sum of 17/18	ADMIN	A-BASE	PST	PST INT	PICFI	Science Relle Grand Total				
CN	Anarko Chinook ind A-BASE			-	-	-	-	-	86	5PC72	Admin	92				92				
CN	Rivers Inlet Early Ch A-BASE			-	-	7	-	-	-		CM					0				
CN	Wannock River Chin A-BASE			9	9	-	-	-	-		CN	125	41			166				
CN	CC: Video estimating A-BASE			-	-	-	-	-	-		CO	9,435	69.8			79,235				
Multiple	Area 6 South: Visual A-BASE			-	-	-	-	-	-		Multiple		0	260		260				
Multiple	Area 6: Visual survey PST			6	-	6	-	-	-		Sx	102	229			331				
CN	Upper Skeena: Visu A-BASE			12	12	15	-	-	-		TOTAL	92	236,435	599.8	0	0	928,235			
CN	Kalum: Chinook Hatt A-BASE			183	183	183	20	105	52134											
CN	Kalum chinook: CW PST			32	32	32	20	134	52134											
CN	Kalum chinook: CW PST			21	21	-	21	-	-											
CN	Upper Nass Chinook PST			10	-	-	-	-	-											
CO	CC: abundance indic PST			6	6	-	10	5PB50												
CO	Tell River Weir: Coh PST			9	9	9	10	5PB10												
CO	Area 6 coho escapes PST			15	15	15	15	5D501												
CO	Skeena high interior PST			6	6	15	15	5D501												
CO	Lower Skeena coho PST			-	-	-	5	5D501												
CO	Morice town Coho Mi PST			15	12	15	15	5D501												
CO	Toboggan Coho Smi PST			10	10	17	-	-												
CO	Toboggan CWT cos PST			7	7	10	-	-												
Multiple	Babine Weir Sockeye PST			160	165	145	180	5D501												
Admin	NC Administration	ADMIN		85	85	-	92	50001	71											
Admin	NC Training	ADMIN		-	-	-	-	-	-											
Admin	NC Travel	ADMIN		-	-	-	-	-	-											
Multiple	Northern Boundary / PST			80	80	-	80	52134												
Sx	Area 3 & 4 Seine fish PST			40	40	-	40	52134												
Sx	Kiwanga Smolt Proc PST			23	23	22	22	5PB10												
Sx	Kiwanga Weir Adult PST			30	30	30	30	5PB10												
Sx	Morice town Sockeye PST			-	-	-	12	-												
Sx	NASS_SOCKEYE_F PST			23	-	-	-	-												
Sx	Owikeno Lake Sockt PST			55	55	52	60	5H008												
Sx	Skeena (Type) Glinx A-BASE			25	25	23	25	52140												
Sx	Skeena Test Fishery A-BASE			55	55	55	55	52140												
Sx	Skeena Test Fishery PST			11	-	-	-	51208												
Sx	Sockeye Docee Fen PST			55	55	65	65	52130												
Sx	Morice town Sockeye PST			20	20	22	22	52130												
Sx	Area 6 Chum otolith A-BASE			-	-	-	-	-												
CM	Upper Skeena sockt PST			-	-	25	-	-												
Sx	Anarko (upper) sockt PST			-	-	-	-	-												
Multiple	Kwinamase and Khu A-BASE			-	-	-	-	52134												
CO	Deena CWT	A-BASE		-	-	-	5	5PB10												
CO	Middle/Lower Skeen A-BASE			-	-	-	5	5PB11												
Multiple	Visual surveys: Addi A-BASE			-	-	-	-	-												
Total														1,018	991	730	928	Total		

Area	Type	Project	Cost of Program	Available O&M	Incremental Requirement
YUK/TRB	Placeholder	restore O&M			46
YUK/TRB	Taku River	Sockeye GSI			48
YUK/TRB	Yukon	Border Sonar			23
YUK/TRB Total					117
Fraser	Placeholder	restore O&M			181
Fraser	Catch Monitoring	Chilliwack REC	80	0	80
Fraser	Chinook	Harrison CN Indicator	110	0	110
Fraser	Chinook	Chilliwack CN CWT Indicator	60	0	60
Fraser	Sockeye	Fraser Valley Sockeye Roving (Intens)	57	0	57
Fraser	Sockeye	Birkenhead Fence	44	0	44
Fraser	Sockeye	Harrison River Adults M-R	196	0	196
Fraser	Sockeye	N/S Thompson	80	0	80
Fraser	Sockeye	Late Stuart Adults	57	0	57
Fraser	Sockeye	Quesnel system	161	0	161
Fraser	Sockeye	Early Eagle Adults	66	0	66
Fraser	Sockeye	Seymour Adults	129	0	129
Fraser	Sockeye	Lower/Middle Shuswap Adults	127	0	127
Fraser Total					1,348
South Coast	Placeholder	restore O&M			101
South Coast	Catch Monitoring	SBC Creel			352
South Coast	Coho	Black Creek CWT Indicator			50
South Coast	CWT Ind STK	Tag application - SBC			66
South Coast	Catch Monitoring	Emergency boat refit			60
South Coast	Catch Monitoring	Oct. Chum creel survey			25
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Nimkish CN and CM			20
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Mainland Inlet Pink			20
South Coast	Lab Processing	Otolith lab support			20
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Sooke CN			10
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Juvenile Sockeye surveys			20
South Coast	Lab Processing	Support A'legay scale lab			10
South Coast	Environmental Monitoring	Cowichan chum didson			20
South Coast	CWT Ind STK	Black Creek juvenile monitoring			15
South Coast	CWT Ind STK	Nanaimo River			25
South Coast Total					814
North Coast	placeholder	restore O&M			90
North Coast Total					90
STAR Salmon	CWT Ind STK	tag purchase			184
STAR Salmon	PST Tech	PST tech travel			35
STAR Salmon	PST Tech	Ewatch			30
STAR Salmon Total					249
Grand Total					2,617

Masson, Colin

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: Thursday, July 5, 2018 2:01 PM
To: Cox-Rogers, Steven, Davies, Shaun; McGivney, Kate, Winther, Ivan; Beach, Katie; Finnegan, Barry; Carr-Harris, Charmaine; Helin, Marilyn; Bergsma, Ian; Cann, Christina
Cc: Leighton, Cynthia
Subject: STad meeting July 06 2018. 9- 3:30 Skeena or conf call # 1-877-413-4788 code [redacted]

s.16(2)

s.69(1)(g) re: (a)

Folks :

Draft agenda for tomorrow:

- Outline evolving budget context and source and details of allocations
- Review core/base program – discuss proposed/requested increases
- Review criteria for PRIORITIZATION
- Prioritize projects and determine core/base projects within funding allocation
- Identify those projects on the relief funding list (i.e. below the line)

It's a lot to cover and I am still working on the prioritization criteria for distribution prior to discussion (thanks Ivan for forwarding criteria used for sentinel Stocks)

Colin Masson
North Coast Area Director,
Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans
Office: 250-627-3416
Cell: [redacted]

- PRCF - \$85K in C-Coast
- COMP. REV
- INCREASED AMTS
- NEW PROJECTS

INDICATORS :

- SX ✓ 4 in Skeena : Tyee / Barbine / Kitarunga / Moncton / Samgase
- CN - Kulu / Ahuko
- CO - Toboggan / Tell / cc. Vinal / ?
- Cay - Nigaa / Vinal / Simey / Charter Patrols
- Khuty / Quimimari → Nigaa - } from C.P. contract
- PK

NORTH COAST

Program	Project	Source	FY	Actual 1314	Actual 1415	Actual 1516	Actual 1617	1718	1819	url Code
CN	Altaico Chinook indicator program	A-BASE							66	5PC72
CN	Rivers Inlet Early Chinook: Visual Surveys	A-BASE		9	-	-	7	-	-	
CN	Wanook River Chinook Disruption: Visual Surveys	A-BASE		-	-	9	-	-	-	
CN	Area 5 South Visual surveys	A-BASE		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Multiple	Area 6 Visual surveys	PST		6	-	-	6	-	-	5PB10
Multiple	Lower Skeena: Visual Surveys	PST		6	38	-	-	-	-	
CN	Upper Skeena: Visual Surveys	A-BASE		12	12	15	20	20	20	5D501
CN	Kelium Chinook Hatchery Indicator	A-BASE		183	183	183	105	105	105	52134
CN	Kelium chinook: CWT Indicator Stock	PST		52	32	-	-	-	-	52134
CN	Upper Skeena: CWT Indicator Stock	PST		21	-	-	-	21	-	52134
CN	Upper Neus Chinook escapement assessments	PST		10	-	-	-	-	-	?
CO	CC: abundance indicators Visual Surveys (flights)	PST		6	6	6	-	10	10	5PB50
CO	Trell River Weir: Coho Escapement	PST		9	9	9	9	10	10	5PB10
CO	Area 5 coho escapement: Charter Patrol and Flights	PST		15	15	15	15	15	15	
CO	Skeena high interier (Upper Skeena): coho visual surveys	PST		6	6	6	15	15	15	5D501
CO	Upper Skeena: Coho mark-recapture	PST		-	-	-	-	-	-	5D501
CO	Mid-Coho mark-recapture (Tobogean)	PST		15	15	12	15	15	15	5D501
CO	Tobogean Coho mark-recapture - W&G	PST		10	10	10	17	17	17	
CO	Tobogean CWT cost and application	PST		7	7	7	10	10	10	
Multiple	Babine Weir Sockeye Adult - includes Chinook	PST		160	165	165	145	180	180	5D501
Admin	NC Training	ADMIN		85	85	85	-	92	92	50001
Admin	NC Training	ADMIN		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Multiple	Northern Boundary Annex Sockeye Glinet Marking: On water DNA scale sampling, analysis.	PST		80	80	80	-	80	-	52134
Sx	Area 3 & 4 Seine fisheries: On water DNA and scale collection and analysis	PST		40	40	40	-	40	-	52134
Sx	Kiwaga Smolt Program	PST		23	23	23	22	22	22	5PB10
Sx	Mokstown Sockeye Escapement M/R	PST		-	-	-	-	-	-	5PB10
Sx	NASL SOCKEYE RUN RECONSTRUCTION MODEL	PST		23	23	23	12	12	12	
Sx	Upper Skeena Sockeye visual surveys	PST		45	45	45	52	80	80	5H008
Sx	Skeena (Type) Glinet Test Flares	PST		25	25	25	23	25	25	52140
Sx	Skeena Test Flarey Biosampling	A-BASE		55	55	55	55	55	55	52140
Sx	Skeena Test Flarey Sockeye DNA analysis	PST		11	11	-	-	-	-	51208
Sx	Sockeye Doose Fence	PST		55	55	55	65	65	65	52130
Sx	Upper Skeena Coho Escapement M/R (Bulweri/Morice)	A-BASE		20	20	20	22	22	22	52130
CM	Area 5 Coho Sockeye	A-BASE		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sx	Upper Skeena sockeye visual surveys	PST		-	-	-	25	-	-	
Multiple	Altaico (upper) sockeye visual surveys	PST		-	-	-	-	-	-	52134
CO	Kwinnas and Khutzeymateen: Visual surveys	A-BASE		-	-	-	-	5	5	5PB10
CO	Denna CWT	A-BASE		-	-	-	-	5	5	5PB11
Multiple	Mid/Lower Skeena visual surveys	A-BASE		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Multiple	Visual surveys: Additional land based sampling (responsive fund)	A-BASE		-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total				1,018	991	991	730	928	833	

Row Labels	Column Labels	A-BASE	PST	Grand Total
Sum of 1718	ADMIN	92		92
Admin				
CM				
CN		125	41	166
CO		9,435	69.8	79,235
Multiple		0	260	260
Sx		102	229	331
Sum of 1819		92		92
Admin				
CM				
CN		125	0	125
CO		9,435	69.8	79,235
Multiple		0	189	189
Sx		102	229	331
Total Sum of 17		92	236,435	928,235
Total Sum of 18		92	236,435	928,235
Sum of 1415		92	236,435	928,235
Sum of 1516		92	236,435	928,235
Sum of 1617		92	236,435	928,235
Sum of 1718		92	236,435	928,235
Sum of 1819		92	236,435	928,235
ADMIN		92		92
A-BASE		313	304	303.9
PST		620	602	426.25
Grand Total		1018	991	790.15

2017/18 PROJECTS	ADMIN	A-BASE	PST	PST INT	PICFI	Science Relik Grand Total
Sum of 1718	92					92
Admin						0
CM		125	41			166
CN		9,435	69.8			79,235
CO		0	260			260
Multiple		102	229			331
Sx						
TOTAL	92	236,435	599.8	0	0	928,235
2017-18	A-Base (Admin)	91,864		Admin	92	92
2017-18	SACC (PST/A-base)	642,351		SACC (PST/A-base)	642	636
2017-18	PST Integrity	65,000			734	928
2017-18	NEPREF			Relief	102.80	194
2017-18	PICFI			PST Integrity	65	
2017-18	Other			PICFI		
2017-18	Relief	102,800				
2017-18	Total	902,015		Total	902	928

2018/19 PROJECTS	ADMIN	A-BASE	PST	PST INT	PICFI	Science Relik Grand Total
Sum of 1819	92					92
Admin						0
CM						0
CN		125	0			125
CO		9,435	69.8			79,235
Multiple		0	189			189
Sx		102	189			291
FishMon						-40
Grand Total	92	236,435	438.8	0	0	767,235
2018-19	Source	71,535		Admin	72	92
2018-19	SACC (PST/A-base)	555,151		PST Incl. staff	555	675
2018-19	PST Integrity				627	767
2018-19	Cohin			Relief		141
2018-19	NEPREF			PST Integrity		
2018-19	Other			PICFI		
2018-19	Relief			Other (Grant)		
2018-19	Total	626,686		Total	627	767

John Smith
July 23 2018

Science Project (OMV Allocation), including Above-Board												
FISCAL YEAR												
AREA												
REGION												
NCST												
PRASER												
MUSON												
TOTAL												
ADASE												
YEAR												
13/14												
14/15												
15/16												
16/17												
17/18												
18/19												
19/20												
20/21												
21/22												
22/23												
23/24												
24/25												
25/26												
26/27												
27/28												
28/29												
29/30												
30/31												
31/32												
32/33												
33/34												
34/35												
35/36												
36/37												
37/38												
38/39												
39/40												
40/41												
41/42												
42/43												
43/44												
44/45												
45/46												
46/47												
47/48												
48/49												
49/50												
50/51												
51/52												
52/53												
53/54												
54/55												
55/56												
56/57												
57/58												
58/59												
59/60												
60/61												
61/62												
62/63												
63/64												
64/65												
65/66												
66/67												
67/68												
68/69												
69/70												
70/71												
71/72												
72/73												
73/74												
74/75												
75/76												
76/77												
77/78												
78/79												
79/80												
80/81												
81/82												
82/83												
83/84												
84/85												
85/86												
86/87												
87/88												
88/89												
89/90												
90/91												
91/92												
92/93												
93/94												
94/95												
95/96												
96/97												
97/98												
98/99												
99/00												
00/01												
01/02												
02/03												
03/04												
04/05												
05/06												
06/07												
07/08												
08/09												
09/10												
10/11												
11/12												
12/13												
13/14												
14/15												
15/16												
16/17												
17/18												
18/19												
19/20												
20/21												
21/22												
22/23												
23/24												
24/25												
25/26												
26/27												
27/28												
28/29												
29/30												

Masson, Colin

From: Johal, Sharan on behalf of Reid, Rebecca
Sent: Friday, July 6, 2018 3:14 PM
To: Farlinger, Susan, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] larry.neilson@gov.bc.ca, Macgillivray, Paul;
s.16(2) Parken, Chuck; Brown, Laura (Pacific); Andrew Thomson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca, Payne, Brigid;
s.19(1) Kotyk, Mel, Masson, Colin, Gotch, Steve; Nener, Jennifer, Brown, Gayle; Lowe, Carmel;
Houtman, Rob, Scroggie, Jamie, Cox-Rogers, Steven; Waugh, Bill, Holmes, John; Van
Will, Pieter; Bate, Angela; Webb, Cheryl
Cc: Murdoch, Sarah; Jackson, Corey, Sihota, Sukhraj
Subject: Update on Pacific Salmon Treaty Renewal: PSC Agreement

Good afternoon,

I'm pleased to report that, after many months of negotiation, we have now reached agreement with our U.S. colleagues on the Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC) regarding Chapters 2 (Northern British Columbia and Southeast Alaska) and Chapter 3 (Chinook). As you may know, the Commission had previously reached agreement in principle on Chapters 1 (Transboundary Rivers), Chapter 5 (Coho) and Chapter 6 (Chum). The PSC now has a full package of chapters that will be recommended to the Governments for review, ratification and potential implementation beginning January 1, 2019.

In terms of next steps, the PSC will be preparing a transmittal letter with their formal recommendation to the governments of Canada and the U.S., as well as issuing a joint press release announcing the agreement and briefly summarizing proposed changes to the chapters. We anticipate both the press release and the transmittal letter will be finalized in the coming days, with the press release available on the PSC website at www.psc.org.

For DFO and the Government of Canada, our focus now shifts to the domestic review and ratification process, including further consultation with First Nations and stakeholders, internal briefings and seeking Government of Canada approval of the proposed changes, as well as new funding to support implementation.

Our Consultation Secretariat will also be coordinating some further consultation with First Nations and stakeholders, particularly on the proposed changes to Chapters 2 and 3. Timing and details are still to be confirmed; however, we anticipate it will include a WebEx, as well as some in-person meetings and conference calls with key groups. For more information on the consultation approach, please contact Angela Stadel (Angela.Stadel@dfo-mpo.gc.ca) or Corey Jackson (Corey.Jackson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca).

As you know, this is a high-profile agreement and we anticipate some media interest in both countries. Policy and Economics Branch are working with Communications on materials to support this next phase, including a communications strategy, media lines and background material/Q&As. This information will be made available to senior managers and staff who may be fielding questions about the Treaty and the proposed agreement.

Despite some challenges, reaching this agreement represents a significant accomplishment and will be key to supporting the conservation and long-term sustainability of Pacific salmon stocks in both countries.

On behalf of the Canadian Commissioners and the Canadian section of the PSC, I would like to thank all of those who were involved and/or supported these negotiations over the past two years. It was an incredible amount of work, both at the negotiating table and behind the scenes, and we could not have reached this point without all of your efforts.

Thank you.

Rebecca Reid
Regional Director General/ Directrice générale régionale
Fisheries and Oceans Canada - Pacific Region/ Pêches et Océans Canada - Région du Pacifique
200-401 Burrard Street / 401, rue Burrard, bureau 200
Vancouver, BC/CB V6C 3S4
Office / Téléphone: 604-666-6098
Cell / Cellulaire: [REDACTED]
E-mail/ Courriel: rebecca.reid@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

Masson, Colin

From: Dobson, Diana
Sent: Friday, July 6, 2018 9:50 AM
To: Maxwell, Marla; Mijacika, Lisa; Nener, Jennifer
Cc: Grout, Jeff; Patten, Bruce; Thiess, Mary; Holmes, John; Whitehouse, Timber; Luedke, Wilf; Masson, Colin; Thomson, Andrew
Subject: RE: Commercial salmon catch data support

s.69(1)(g) re: (a)

Good Morning,

Well, if we're on the topic of resourcing:

There are significant shortfalls in the budgets available to salmon stock assessment for FY 2018/19.

The combination of 'day 1 transfer taxes' and ongoing salary shortfalls results in a reduction of about 12% to Area (and regional science) O&M allocations.

Combined with the following:

- >reductions in available PICFI funding, which covered long-standing shortfalls in rec. monitoring programs;
- >reductions in 'PST Integrity' comp. review funding available to the area programs in NCST, SCST and Fraser which covered some of the ongoing shortfalls in FY 17/18,
- >reductions in S&W 'slippage' that was available to cover ongoing shortfalls because NCST was able to finally staff two vacancies;
- >the Fraser sockeye dominant year, which results in about a 400K additional O&M request, assuming the 'non-dominant program' is funded. (Keep in mind, that even on a non-dominant year about 1/3 of the Fraser program is risk-managed.)
- >less flexibility to allocate AFS funding slippage within Areas – often working with FNs funds were used to 'patch' long-standing short-falls (e.g. otolith lab, CWT indicator stocks)

The effective shortfall is much greater.

Area Chiefs/Section Heads are working with me right now to develop a 'relief funding' list.

Currently, the shortfall is somewhere between 2.5M and 3M depending on whether REC monitoring program shortfalls are funded regionally.

The request for National Science 'relief' will likely be no greater than 1.5M due to many other pressures.

Therefore, even with a prioritized relief funding list, there will be significant program shortfalls resulting in reduced program delivery.

In the short term:

For FY 18/19, we need to work with other sectors to identify what opportunities there are to cover some of these shortfalls.

For example, the unfunded work Shelee describes below is about supporting FM.

In the longer term:

Through the strategic program review that we are currently working on we hope to identify opportunities that might help in the long term (e.g. improve integration and alignment of programs across DFO sectors, support more effective collaboration with partners, improve efficiency via regional support, etc.). However, the fact remains that salmon assessment programs are expensive and flexibility to deliver a more efficient program is limited by the entire 'management system', mostly notably the PST

Diana

From: Maxwell, Marla
Sent: July-05-18 2:55 PM
To: Mijacika, Lisa; Nener, Jennifer
Cc: Grout, Jeff; Patten, Bruce; Thiess, Mary; Dobson, Diana
Subject: FW: Commercial salmon catch data support

Lisa and Jennifer,

On our weekly Salmon Area Update Call, Shelee Hamilton brought to our attention that she has not been able to staff the Salmon Technician position that supports the Salmon Data Unit for the current fiscal year due to budget limitations. As outlined below, this will create significant operational constraints for Resource Management for the coming salmon season. Managers from the Areas were very concerned about having the ability to complete routine in-season data management tasks without this support.

I am bringing these concerns forward to you so that this issue can be raised to Andy and the other Directors. As Shelee indicates below, she is ready to staff the position, but is in need of funding to do so.

Thank you!

Marla Maxwell
Program Manager – Salmon Team
Fisheries and Oceans Canada
t. 604.666.9993

From: Hamilton, Shelee
Sent: July-05-18 2:15 PM
To: Johnston, Cynthia; Maxwell, Marla
Cc: Patten, Bruce; Thiess, Mary; Dobson, Diana
Subject: Commercial salmon catch data support

Hi Cynthia and Marla,

The Salmon Technician position (EG-04) in the Salmon Data Unit (Science) is not being filled this fiscal. I wanted to let you know this, and also inform you of the consequences that this will have on Salmon Resource Management. The position is responsible for providing quality assurance of the commercial salmon catch and effort data in the Fishery Operations System (FOS), as well as assisting Fishery Managers with setting up openings in FOS, calculating in-season estimates, and running reports to keep up to date on fisher-reported catch and effort. The position is also responsible for providing data requests/fishery information to Fishery Managers, for example the recent MSC audit.

There are two particular circumstances this year that will generate a high workload for the Salmon Data Unit and creates an acute need for the EG-04 position to be filled:

1. Approximately half of all commercial salmon Fishery Managers across the Region are new this year (at least three in North Coast alone), all of which need timely in-season assistance in setting up their openings, calculating catch and effort estimates, and running reports in order to manage their fisheries. In addition to the increased workload for training and familiarization on FOS, new Fishery Managers tend to generate more errors compared with experienced Managers, which the Salmon Data Unit is responsible for identifying and correcting. If the catch and effort data is not quality checked, it will not be accurate for making in-season decisions, or for Fishery Officers to use in investigations or court cases.
2. This is a dominant late-run Fraser sockeye year with the additional associated salmon fisheries that may occur which can generate a large volume of work for the Salmon Data Unit. Fraser sockeye fisheries are very complex and require accurate and timely catch information for international and domestic management needs.

I would like to request funding to fill the EG-04 position until the end of fiscal. I have someone that is fully trained and available to fill the position immediately; their annual salary would be [REDACTED] until the end of March). Please let me know if you are able to identify the needed funding, or can commit to sourcing the funding this fiscal so I risk manage filling the position immediately.

Sincerely,
Shelee Hamilton

s.19(1)

Program Head, Salmon Data Unit, Science Branch
Fisheries and Oceans Canada / Government of Canada
Shelee.Hamilton@dfo-mpo.gc.ca / Tel: 250-756-7279

Chef du programme, Unité des données sur le saumon, Branche des Sciences
Pêches et Océans Canada / Gouvernement du Canada
Shelee.Hamilton@dfo-mpo.gc.ca / Tél. 250-756-7279

Questions for Core / Drama

→ Need to understand all of the rationale for funding sources for all areas

of - Why does Yabon get IRS, PST, INTEGRITY, SC, etc.

- Criteria for Projects / justifying & prioritizing of

- Q1 Discussion is Kate - July - 11, 2018

• Attorneys: - 77 from NEF; total cost is higher. (≈ 25 to ≈ 15 K)

① → some of the E6 costs are charged to OAM → recode/code \approx \$30-\$40K

⇒ recode to salary

in principal that should free up the \$ addn request of \$15K.

now.

② Quekko field program:

→ some sunk costs \approx est \$25K.

need overlap w
Drama

key to dev. of Treaty
allocations
key to meet CSAS report

* was covered by
relief in 2017.

③ Upper Attamko SX - was \$30:

commit by
Sept 1.

- Ci RA - recovery plan requires survey of pop'n → FSC req'd → RELATIONSHIP.

- broodstock collection code is assessment & used for PK in Block CV. - common fly flight

④ Central Coast assessment flights - PK/CA - SX - Area 7, 8.

previously covered in bulk coding - previously Aug 8 - Sept 30 - 1 fl/wk shared 50:50 with F.M. ~ could prioritize and operate to 10 or 20K

⑤ Olua Womwore cas support - bio data develop escapement index -

- V. valuable → RELATIONSHIP / TREATY / INDIC / SCIENCE.

⑥ F.N. CATCH SAMPLING - Attamko - SUNK COSTS: \$5K.

STAD Discussion

→ Conc - / John
cc D -

Suggestions -

→ Answer to Mary Fink
Complete to enable
Strategy discussion

7,463,931
4,922,977

\$ 2,540,954

on how to address current
role of STAD in Rec Reg
Salm Reg

FO: Channel II
FROM ^R 8

Options include:

- retire core funds
- dev. F.N. capacity to strategically fill in gaps over a multi-year
- with funds shortfall
- and open gaps - risk
- and reduce risk to further by more concentration

Masson, Colin

From: Tompkins, Arlene
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2016 10:52 AM
To: Masson, Colin
Subject: RE: science projects
Attachments: PSC 2016 NF and YK RE Approved Project List Summary.xls

Also 2016 NEF projects

From: Tompkins, Arlene
Sent: July-15-16 2:51 PM
To: Masson, Colin
Subject: science projects

Hi Colin

Last year NC was funded by Science \$1,074,131 S&W & \$671,337 O&M. Some of the S&W may have been used for O&M?

SACC workbook: This file is not up to date but might be useful for your purposes. It is based on 2013-14 data (anything = -1 in Active column was implemented in 2013-14 by various funding sources) . Last year we were using it for planning but I can't remember which sheet is more accurate. Some of the sheets were various summaries that are not obvious now. Look at 2 sheets: pivot by source & all species. Items highlighted grey were identified for cancellation in our discussions.

There are many other sources of funds being used for NC core projects – FNs & NEF. I will attach the NEF spreadsheet as well so you know what they covered last year. Also including the impact statement that identifies what was cut last year. I can't confirm if projects funded by other sources were implemented last year – area staff might be able to review the list quickly & determine if it was implemented or not.

Hope this is helpful, happy to discuss on Tuesday – availability in the afternoon
Arlene

Arlene Tompkins PhD
Head, Salmon Assessment
Pacific Biological Station
Fisheries & Oceans Canada
3190 Hammond Bay Rd
Nanaimo, BC V9T 6N7
250-729-8382

Pacific Salmon Treaty Northern Fund and Yukon R&E Fund Project Selections 2016

Project Title	Proponent	Organization	Source Fund	Area	CAN\$	Species
Aleak Sampling to investigate Kluksu Early/Late population structure	Workman	Chapagne & Aishihik FN	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 132,855	?
Assessing the Limits to Production of Juvenile Canadian-Origin Yukon River Central BC Chinook Mark Incidence and Catch Estimation Project 2015	Millar	DFO	Yukon R&E	Yukon	\$ 29,000	?
Atnarko River Chinook Escapement Estimation Project 2016	Koroluk	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 9,000	Chinook
Kwinamass and Khutzmateen Rivers chinook salmon escapement surveys 16	Koroluk	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 70,600	Chinook
Chinook salmon Escapement Estimation to the Skeena River using Genetic techniques 2016	Winther	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 17,500	Chinook
Genetic Analyses of samples collected in the Recreational Chinook Fisheries in Northern British Columbia 2016	Winther	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 36,000	Chinook
Genetic Stock Identification of Chinook salmon caught in Northern British Columbia Troll fisheries 2016	Winther	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 28,000	Chinook
Recovery Enhancement of Kibella-Chuckwalla Chinook	English	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 73,000	Chinook
Skeena River Recreational Chinook Creel Survey 2016	English	LGL	Northern	North Coast	\$ 98,800	Chinook
Nass Chinook Mark-Recapture Project 2016	Desson	LGL	Northern	North Coast	\$ 149,965	Chinook
Skeena River CWT Equipment Procurement Project, 2016	Barnes	NLG	Northern	North Coast	\$ 117,000	Chinook
BC marine/tidal recreational fishery iREC survey development	Luedke	SFC	Northern	North Coast	\$ 13,070	Chinook
PST High Priority Chinook 4 - Chinook CWT Improvement		DFO	Northern	North Coast / South Coast	\$ 93,620	Chinook
PST High Priority Chinook 5 - Terminal abundance of WCVI Chinook salmon	Willis	DFO	Northern	North Coast / South Coast	\$ 239,170	Chinook
PST High Priority Chinook 6-7 - CND Chinook Mark Recovery CWT sampling, dissection and reporting	Dobson	DFO	Northern	North Coast / South Coast	\$ 257,000	Chinook
Taku Nahlin Chinook Escapement Enumeration 2016-18	Fraser	DFO	Northern	North Coast / South Coast	\$ 484,844	Chinook
Stikine Chinook Aerial Surveys 2016-18	Boyce	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 89,455	Chinook
Stikine Little Tahltan River Chinook Assessment	Boyce	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 22,000	Chinook
2016-18 Alek Chinook Run Reconstruction Using GSI	Erhardt	TAF	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 20,878	Chinook
Yukon Porcupine River Chinook Salmon Sonar Program. Year 3	Boyce	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 10,000	Chinook
Yukon Blind Creek Chinook Salmon Enumeration Weir. Year 14	VGFN	First Nation Contractor	Yukon R&E	Yukon	\$ 135,624	Chinook
Pelly River Chinook Salmon Sonar Pilot Program	Wilson	Contractor	Yukon R&E	Yukon	\$ 48,062	Chinook
Porcupine River Chinook Salmon Telemetry. Year 3	Mercer	Contractor	Yukon R&E	Yukon	\$ 85,380	Chinook
Yukon River Canadian-Origin Juvenile Chinook Out-migrant Assessment. Year 2	SFN	First Nation	Yukon R&E	Yukon	\$ 170,591	Chinook
Yukon Development of a Genetic Baseline for Canadian-Origin Yukon River Chinook and Chum Salmon. Year 10	VGFN	First Nation	Yukon R&E	Yukon	\$ 160,951	Chinook
	Millar	DFO	Yukon R&E	Yukon	\$ 85,135	Chinook
	Millar	DFO	Yukon R&E	Yukon	\$ 55,000	Chinook & Chum
Yukon Genetic Stock Identification of Canadian-Origin Yukon River Chinook and Chum Salmon. Year 15	Millar	DFO	Yukon R&E	Yukon	\$ 30,000	Chinook & Chum
Stikine River Code Wire Tagging Augmentation, 2016	Boyce	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 90,040	Chinook?
Area 3 Wild Chum Assessment (Commercial fishery otoliths)	Cox-Rogers	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 11,095	Chum
McLoughlin Creek Enhanced Chum Assessment	Willis (Larsen)	DFO (HFN)	Northern	North Coast	\$ 19,700	Chum
Evaluation of New Approaches to Estimate Ecstall Chum Escapement	Hawryshyn	NCFNSC	Northern	North Coast	\$ 59,400	Chum
Nass Area Coastal Chum Escapement Project 2016 (Year 3)	Desson	NLG	Northern	North Coast	\$ 77,000	Chum
Development of a high-resolution SNP baseline for stock identification of Coho Salmon	Beacham	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 100,000	Coho
Northern BC coho cwt sampling net fisheries	Fraser	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 20,500	Coho
Nass Area Coastal Coho Escapement Project 2016 (Year 2)	Desson	NLG	Northern	North Coast	\$ 34,000	Coho
Zymachord River Coho cwt Harvest Distribution	Anderson	NWES	Northern	North Coast	\$ 5,500	Coho
Taku River Coho Adult Augmentation 2016	Boyce Williams	DFO ADFG	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 64,800	Coho
Taku River Coho Salmon Smolt Tagging Augmentation	Boyce Williams	DFO ADFG	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 59,394	Coho
Adapting benchmarks of biological status to variability in exploitation history and persistent changes in productivity	Holt	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 23,598	salmon
Kitwanga River Salmon Catch Monitoring	Thorkelson	Area C (gillnet)	Northern	North Coast	\$ 55,930	salmon
Multi-species salmon assessment for the Wannock River	Cleveland	GFA	Northern	North Coast	\$ 75,000	salmon
North Coast (Areas 3 & 4) Creel Survey	English	LGL	Northern	North Coast	\$ 29,706	salmon
	Addison	NCFNSC	Northern	North Coast	\$ 154,687	salmon

Project Title

Evaluation of population-specific behavioural impairment and mortality in Pacific salmon incidentally captured in marine commercial purse seine fisheries

Project Title	Proponent	Organization	Source Fund	Area	CAN\$	Species
Taku Nakina Creel Survey	Hinch	UBC	Northern	North Coast	\$ 42,313	salmon
Lakeelse Sockeye Enhancement and Restoration Effectiveness Monitoring Program Year 1 of 3	Erhardt	TRIFN	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 38,048	salmon
Monitoring occurrence and prevalence of Ich, Loma and IHNV in Skeena River sockeye stocks	Miller	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 25,000	Sockeye
Babine Lake, BC - Sockeye Salmon nursery ecosystem structure, functioning and productive capacity: An integrated fisheries, limnological, and paleolimnological assessment	Garver	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 37,170	Sockeye
Annual run reconstruction Northern Boundary Area Sockeye	Selbie	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 200,559	Sockeye
Babine River sockeye migration and predation	Cox-Rogers	DFO ADFG	Northern	North Coast	\$ 21,640	Sockeye
Sockeye Habitat Rehabilitation - Babine Lake	Piston	Lake Babine Nation	Northern	North Coast	\$ 44,000	Sockeye
Sockeye Smolt Enumeration at Babine Lake	McIntyre	Lake Babine Nations Fisheries	Northern	North Coast	\$ 47,350	Sockeye
Nass Sockeye Fishwheel DNA Analyses Project (Year 1 of 3)	McIntyre (Gottesfeld)	LBFN (SFC)	Northern	North Coast	\$ 151,289	Sockeye
Skeena Sockeye Lakes Juvenile Sockeye Hydroacoustic Surveys	Desson	NLG	Northern	North Coast	\$ 22,500	Sockeye
Nilkikwa Lake and North Arm of Babine Lake Juvenile Sockeye Hydroacoustic Surveys	Doire	SFC	Northern	North Coast	\$ 60,940	Sockeye
Taku 2016 Tatsamenie Lake Sockeye Fry Extended Rearing & Smolt Project	Doire	SFC	Northern	North Coast	\$ 24,888	Sockeye
Taku Trapper Lake Sockeye Enhancement/ Sockeye Access Improvement	Mercer	B. Mercer & Assoc	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 76,245	Sockeye
Taku Trapper Lake Sockeye Salmon Passage Improvement	Mercer	B. Mercer & Assoc	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 24,374	Sockeye
Taku Sockeye GSI 2016-18	Salomi	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 75,000	Sockeye
Taku King Salmon Lake - Sockeye Smolt Survey	Boyce	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 57,180	Sockeye
Alsek Sampling to investigate the juvenile distribution of lake-spawning and riverspawning Klukshu Sockeye	Erhardt	TRT FN	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 14,748	Sockeye
2016-18 Alsek Sockeye Run Reconstruction Using GSI	Workman	Chapagne & Aishihik FN	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 67,328	Sockeye
Upper Bulkley River Sockeye and Chinook Habitat Restoration Feasibility Study	Boyce	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 15,000	Sockeye & Chinook
Taku - Little Trapper Sockeye and Kowatua-Tatsatua Chinook 2015-18	Miller	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 40,000	Sockeye & Chinook
Stikine Chinook and Sockeye GSI 2016-18	Boyce	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 85,188	Sockeye & Chinook
Alsek Klukshu Chinook and Sockeye Escapement Enumeration 2016-18	Boyce	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 103,300	Sockeye & Chinook
Skeena Test Fishery DNA	Boyce	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 49,770	Sockeye & Chinook
Gitanyow (Klwanaga) Lake assessments, 2016	Cox-Rogers	DFO	Northern	North Coast	\$ 21,000	SX?
Stikine Tahltan Lake Smolt Enumeration and Sampling, 2016	Cleveland	GFA	Northern	North Coast	\$ 30,200	SX?
Taku Kuthai Lake access improvement assessment	Boyce	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 40,062	SX?
Stikine Tahltan Lake sockeye access improvement	Erhardt	TRT FN	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 49,239	X
Stikine Tuya Lake Enhancement Initiative Summary and Passage Investigation	Erhardt	TAF	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 31,218	X
Stikine Tahltan River Slide Remediation Investigation	Salomi	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 60,000	X
	Salomi	DFO	Northern	Transboundary	\$ 70,000	X

s.21(1)(b)

DFO Area	Project	Decision req'd by	Savings	Impact
South Coast	Broughton pink assessment	Jun-15	50.0	Cancel mainland pink assessments. Loss of fishing opportunity due to no ability to assess for stock abundance. Loss of public confidence given reports of increased sea lice infestation in Broughton fish farms.
South Coast	Reduction South Coast Creel survey	Aug-01	85.0	Mitigated PICFI (short term). No significant change from 2014. However, only 3 months of year currently surveyed with gaps in time / area coverage. In absence of reliable data, it will be difficult for Canada to confirm stock abundance and fishery impacts in a defensible manner B-base funding now accounts for over 80% of the regional creel program funding
South Coast	Cancel Cowichan fence (Chinook escapement)	Sep-01	40.0	Cancel fence & rely on carcass deadpitch mark recapture. This will result in an underestimate of the Cowichan Chinook return which will be poorly received by First Nations (FNs) This is a stock of concern & driver for both domestic and international management Exploitation rates remain high >60%.
South Coast	Robertson Chinook indicator WCVI	Sep-30	11.0	Reduce WCVI Chinook indicator program (Robertson Creek) by eliminating in river deadpitch and sampling. Result is increased uncertainty in escapement estimate, high priority information (driver) for PSC CTC model, fishery planning & assessment and forecasting WCVI chinook abundance (stock of concern). Far north migrating stock (SEAK). [REDACTED] High priority for SEP.
South Coast	Sakinaw sockeye	Jun-15	10.0	COSEWIC listed stock, currently being reviewed by COSEWIC. May impact MSC conditions for Fraser sockeye fisheries. High priority for SEP.
South Coast	Cancel Black Creek & Keogh coho indicators	Aug-15	60.0	This was the only remaining PST wild coho indicator (information used to determine marine survival, exploitation rates, and status of southern wild stocks). Result in loss of ability to detect changes in productivity of wild coho and risks lost fishing opportunities. [REDACTED]
Fraser	Cancel Chilliwack Chinook indicator	Aug-15	49.0	Loss PST Chinook indicator. Of Fraser chinook indicators, Chilliwack is the lowest priority. However, there is considerable domestic & international pressure to improve Chinook assessment. This will be a step backwards with negative reactions from First Nations, recreational and commercial fishing sectors,

DFO Area	Project	Decision req'd by	Savings	Impact
				and US/ PST High priority for SEP.
Fraser	Cancel Chilliwack R Creel survey (chinook)	Aug-15	68.0	Loss of harvest and stock-specific information for enhanced system. Coordinated with canceling indicator escapement survey (above) and expect similar response.
Fraser	Reduction in Extensive escapement surveys for chinook	Jul-15	27.0	Reduce CN escapement surveys for summer 5.2 management unit. Lower quality estimates will result in an index of relative abundance. Implications to PST abundance based management and renegotiations.
Fraser	Cancel Nicomen Creek survey (coho)	Jul-15	11.0	Impacts: underestimate catch estimates and CWT recovery data (required for determination of survival rate and exploitation rate) for Inch Creek (PST) coho indicator. Implications to PST abundance based management and renegotiations.
Fraser	Reduction in Lower Fraser recreational creel survey	Jul-15	5	Loss of harvest opportunities (chinook, coho, and sockeye). Delay fishery opening until August 1. Expect response from recreational fishing sector.
Fraser	Portage Creek sockeye escapement	Oct-01	5.0	Component of late timed aggregate - impact ability to determine exploitation rates and efficacy of management actions, en-route loss associated with poor migratory conditions, and stock status. Impacts estimation of total late aggregate run size and future forecasts (PST harvest sharing implications). Risk to Fraser sockeye MSC.
Fraser	Lower Fraser extensive surveys - Sockeye adult enumeration	Jul-15	59.0	Comprised by Later Early summer, Summer, and Late timed aggregate stocks; SEP linkage; impact ability to determine exploitation rates and efficacy of management actions, en-route loss associated with poor migratory conditions, or stock status (status concerns for 3 of 7 CUs: Widgeon, Chilliwack, Nahatlach). Impacts ability to estimate total aggregate run size and future forecasts (PST harvest sharing implications). Risk to Fraser sockeye MSC
Fraser	Nadina River Sockeye Adult Enumeration	Jul-15	10.0	Earl Summer aggregate. Cancellation risks partnership with Carrier Sekani TC -cover 50% of cost of program; WSP status -red zone; Risk to Fraser sockeye MSC
Fraser	Stellako Sockeye Adult enumeration	Jul-15	61.0	Component of the largest aggregate return in 2015. Didson program; WSP Red /Amber zone; significant impact to partnership with Carrier Sekani TC; Impact ability to estimate total Summer run sockeye return and harvest rate in 2015, and estimate recruitment

DFO Area	Project	Decision req'd by	Savings	Impact
				for future run size forecasting (PST harvest sharing implications) Risk to Fraser sockeye MSC
Fraser	Late Stuart Sockeye Adult Enumeration	Jul-15	74.0	Component of the largest aggregate return in 2015; WSP Red /Amber zone; Impacts partnership with Tlazt'en FN; Impact ability to estimate total Summer run sockeye return and harvest rate in 2015, and estimate recruitment for future run size forecasting (PST harvest sharing implications). Risk to Fraser sockeye MSC
Fraser	Birkenhead River Sockeye adult enumeration	Jul-15	52.0	Component of late-run aggregate. Didson program; impacts partnership with Lil'wat FN; Impact ability to estimate total Late run sockeye return and harvest rate in 2015, and estimate recruitment for future run size forecasting (PST harvest sharing implications). Risk to Fraser sockeye MSC
CORE	Reduction for Mark Recapture Program sampling intensity / reduced time/area coverage	Aug-01	165.0	Reduced sampling rates (not meeting PST standards) and fishery, time and area coverage will reduce reliability of estimated fishery impacts on chinook and coho indicators. Domestic & International implications -compromises ability to inform conservation / management decisions and evaluate fishery compliance with PST harvest provisions. In absence of reliable data, it will be difficult for Canada to confirm stock abundance in a defensible manner. Impacts PST renegotiations, and linkage to current support from PSC endowment funds (high priority chinook projects).
CORE	Defer staffing BI03	Aug-01	60.0	Deferring staffing will impact capacity to provide advice on Chinook stocks, domestic & PST fisheries, support to annual PST technical analyses, respond to Southern BC chinook strategic planning (many stocks conservation concern constraining fisheries) & /or obligation of current PST, as well as analyses & preparation for Treaty renegotiations. Given the reduced capacity in the section & current workload & OT, we require direction on which of these chinook activities will be deferred. Non delivery of any of these activities will result in response by stakeholders, NGO, and First Nations
Yukon/ TBR	Taku River Chinook GSI (Stock)	Dec-01	15.5	Impact. project deferred to subsequent year(s) and Canada's ability to develop new assessment approach for Taku River Chinook salmon will be compromised.

s.21(1)(b)

DFO Area	Project	Decision req'd by	Savings	Impact
	Analysis			Total project cost \$15.5K. Taku River Chinook are key stock of interest for Canada / U.S. and a reduced level of information / data availability will compromise Canada's ability to maintain current harvest shares through PST renewal in 2018. U.S. may express concern via PST over reduced Canadian commitment.
Yukon/ TBR	Reduction in (Yukon) Fishing Branch River (Adult) Chum Salmon Assessment	Jul-24	18.1	Impact: reduced Fishing Branch River (key PST stock of interest) adult chum salmon assessment. Fishing Branch chum stocks have experienced a significant decline in recent years and a lack of current assessment information may compromise the ability to inform conservation / management decisions and evaluate U.S. compliance with PST escapement and harvest provisions. In absence of complete data, it will be difficult for Canada to confirm stock abundance / escapement in a defensible manner. Total project cost \$60.0K.
Yukon/ TBR	Taku River (Adult) Coho Abundance Assessment	Aug-20	34.1	Impact - absence of in-season stock abundance data will compromise Canada's ability to fully access / prosecute commercial fishery in 2015 and will negatively affect Canada's ability to negotiate a harvest share via PST renewal in 2018. Stock of key PST interest - largest single contributor to SE Alaska commercial coho fisheries. Total project cost \$68.5K.
Yukon/ TBR	Yukon River Chinook Salmon Biological Escapement Goal Baseline Analysis	Aug-01	18.5	Loss of funding will result in deferring project to subsequent year(s) and compromise Canada's ability to renew bilateral agreement on escapement beyond the 2015 season (end of interim arrangement). Strong U.S. interest in maximizing U.S. access to stock. Total project cost \$25.0K.
North Coast	Cancellation Skeena River /Moricetown mark recapture program	Jun-01	60.0	Implemented. Loss of mark recapture estimate for Nanika sockeye (flagship stocks of concern); for Bulkley/ Morice watershed coho, and Morice steelhead. 100K project; cut 40 K last year. Expect strong reaction from the Wet'suwet'en First Nation & steelhead interests. This program has been the centre of their fisheries program for over 20 years, and used to leverage resources from the PSF (lost) and the Provincial Gov't. Concern program loss will be linked to reduced information on salmon stocks on the proposed gas and oil pipeline routes. Loss of steelhead information will reduce our ability to respond to concerns about the impacts of changes to

DFO Area	Project	Decision req'd by	Savings	Impact
				the 2015 sockeye fishery. Impact MSC sockeye and pink recertification.
North Coast	Loss of run reconstruction N Boundary area sockeye	NA	23	Mitigated NEF. No impacts in 2015. Essential component of PSC and Nisga'a Treaties, so major issues if not funded by Science or PSC Northern fund in subsequent years.
North Coast	Cancel Skeena test fishery DNA	NA	10	Mitigated NEF. Essential component of Skeena sockeye assessments and PST Treaty implementation. Linkage to Nisaga Treaty.
North Coast	Reduced sampling Areas 3-4 sockeye fisheries	Jul-10	30	Mitigated NEF. Reduced program (60K remain) partially mitigated by NEF, also reduction in Area 3 and 4 chum escapement survey - Important consideration in achieving MSC certification for sockeye, pink and chum fisheries; little sampling for age & composition remaining
North Coast	Cancel Area 6 sockeye, chinook and coho escapement surveys	Aug-15	25.0	Expected to reduce probability of MSC of Skeena sockeye, and north central pinks and chum No sockeye surveys on Enbridge and some LNG gas pipeline routes.
North Coast	Reductions Skeena sockeye, Chinook, and coho escapement surveys	Aug-15	35.0	Lose Upper Skeena sockeye (5) & Bear Chinook, Babine Chinook, L Skeena coho tributaries. Impact-expected to reduce probability of MSC certification of Skeena sockeye and pink fisheries; Expect response from FNs & NGOs

NORTH COAST										2017/18 PROJECTS										2018/19 PROJECTS										2019/20 PROJECTS																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
Program	Project	Source	FY	13/14	Actual 14/15	Actual 15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31	31/32	32/33	33/34	34/35	35/36	36/37	37/38	38/39	39/40	40/41	41/42	42/43	43/44	44/45	45/46	46/47	47/48	48/49	49/50	50/51	51/52	52/53	53/54	54/55	55/56	56/57	57/58	58/59	59/60	60/61	61/62	62/63	63/64	64/65	65/66	66/67	67/68	68/69	69/70	70/71	71/72	72/73	73/74	74/75	75/76	76/77	77/78	78/79	79/80	80/81	81/82	82/83	83/84	84/85	85/86	86/87	87/88	88/89	89/90	90/91	91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	97/98	98/99	99/100	100/101	101/102	102/103	103/104	104/105	105/106	106/107	107/108	108/109	109/110	110/111	111/112	112/113	113/114	114/115	115/116	116/117	117/118	118/119	119/120	120/121	121/122	122/123	123/124	124/125	125/126	126/127	127/128	128/129	129/130	130/131	131/132	132/133	133/134	134/135	135/136	136/137	137/138	138/139	139/140	140/141	141/142	142/143	143/144	144/145	145/146	146/147	147/148	148/149	149/150	150/151	151/152	152/153	153/154	154/155	155/156	156/157	157/158	158/159	159/160	160/161	161/162	162/163	163/164	164/165	165/166	166/167	167/168	168/169	169/170	170/171	171/172	172/173	173/174	174/175	175/176	176/177	177/178	178/179	179/180	180/181	181/182	182/183	183/184	184/185	185/186	186/187	187/188	188/189	189/190	190/191	191/192	192/193	193/194	194/195	195/196	196/197	197/198	198/199	199/200	200/201	201/202	202/203	203/204	204/205	205/206	206/207	207/208	208/209	209/210	210/211	211/212	212/213	213/214	214/215	215/216	216/217	217/218	218/219	219/220	220/221	221/222	222/223	223/224	224/225	225/226	226/227	227/228	228/229	229/230	230/231	231/232	232/233	233/234	234/235	235/236	236/237	237/238	238/239	239/240	240/241	241/242	242/243	243/244	244/245	245/246	246/247	247/248	248/249	249/250	250/251	251/252	252/253	253/254	254/255	255/256	256/257	257/258	258/259	259/260	260/261	261/262	262/263	263/264	264/265	265/266	266/267	267/268	268/269	269/270	270/271	271/272	272/273	273/274	274/275	275/276	276/277	277/278	278/279	279/280	280/281	281/282	282/283	283/284	284/285	285/286	286/287	287/288	288/289	289/290	290/291	291/292	292/293	293/294	294/295	295/296	296/297	297/298	298/299	299/300	300/301	301/302	302/303	303/304	304/305	305/306	306/307	307/308	308/309	309/310	310/311	311/312	312/313	313/314	314/315	315/316	316/317	317/318	318/319	319/320	320/321	321/322	322/323	323/324	324/325	325/326	326/327	327/328	328/329	329/330	330/331	331/332	332/333	333/334	334/335	335/336	336/337	337/338	338/339	339/340	340/341	341/342	342/343	343/344	344/345	345/346	346/347	347/348	348/349	349/350	350/351	351/352	352/353	353/354	354/355	355/356	356/357	357/358	358/359	359/360	360/361	361/362	362/363	363/364	364/365	365/366	366/367	367/368	368/369	369/370	370/371	371/372	372/373	373/374	374/375	375/376	376/377	377/378	378/379	379/380	380/381	381/382	382/383	383/384	384/385	385/386	386/387	387/388	388/389	389/390	390/391	391/392	392/393	393/394	394/395	395/396	396/397	397/398	398/399	399/400	400/401	401/402	402/403	403/404	404/405	405/406	406/407	407/408	408/409	409/410	410/411	411/412	412/413	413/414	414/415	415/416	416/417	417/418	418/419	419/420	420/421	421/422	422/423	423/424	424/425	425/426	426/427	427/428	428/429	429/430	430/431	431/432	432/433	433/434	434/435	435/436	436/437	437/438	438/439	439/440	440/441	441/442	442/443	443/444	444/445	445/446	446/447	447/448	448/449	449/450	450/451	451/452	452/453	453/454	454/455	455/456	456/457	457/458	458/459	459/460	460/461	461/462	462/463	463/464	464/465	465/466	466/467	467/468	468/469	469/470	470/471	471/472	472/473	473/474	474/475	475/476	476/477	477/478	478/479	479/480	480/481	481/482	482/483	483/484	484/485	485/486	486/487	487/488	488/489	489/490	490/491	491/492	492/493	493/494	494/495	495/496	496/497	497/498	498/499	499/500	500/501	501/502	502/503	503/504	504/505	505/506	506/507	507/508	508/509	509/510	510/511	511/512	512/513	513/514	514/515	515/516	516/517	517/518	518/519	519/520	520/521	521/522	522/523	523/524	524/525	525/526	526/527	527/528	528/529	529/530	530/531	531/532	532/533	533/534	534/535	535/536	536/537	537/538	538/539	539/540	540/541	541/542	542/543	543/544	544/545	545/546	546/547	547/548	548/549	549/550	550/551	551/552	552/553	553/554	554/555	555/556	556/557	557/558	558/559	559/560	560/561	561/562	562/563	563/564	564/565	565/566	566/567	567/568	568/569	569/570	570/571	571/572	572/573	573/574	574/575	575/576	576/577	577/578	578/579	579/580	580/581	581/582	582/583	583/584	584/585	585/586	586/587	587/588	588/589	589/590	590/591	591/592	592/593	593/594	594/595	595/596	596/597	597/598	598/599	599/600	600/601	601/602	602/603	603/604	604/605	605/606	606/607	607/608	608/609	609/610	610/611	611/612	612/613	613/614	614/615	615/616	616/617	617/618	618/619	619/620	620/621	621/622	622/623	623/624	624/625	625/626	626/627	627/628	628/629	629/630	630/631	631/632	632/633	633/634	634/635	635/636	636/637	637/638	638/639	639/640	640/641	641/642	642/643	643/644	644/645	645/646	646/647	647/648	648/649	649/650	650/651	651/652	652/653	653/654	654/655	655/656	656/657	657/658	658/659	659/660	660/661	661/662	662/663	663/664	664/665	665/666	666/667	667/668	668/669	669/670	670/671	671/672	672/673	673/674	674/675	675/676	676/677	677/678	678/679	679/680	680/681	681/682	682/683	683/684	684/685	685/686	686/687	687/688	688/689	689/690	690/691	691/692	692/693	693/694	694/695	695/696	696/697	697/698	698/699	699/700	700/701	701/702	702/703	703/704	704/705	705/706	706/707	707/708	708/709	709/710	710/711	711/712	712/713	713/714	714/715	715/716	716/717	717/718	718/719	719/720	720/721	721/722	722/723	723/724	724/725	725/726	726/727	727/728	728/729	729/730	730/731	731/732	732/733	733/734	734/735	735/736	736/737	737/738	738/739	739/740	740/741	741/742	742/743	743/744	744/745	745/746	746/747	747/748	748/749	749/750	750/751	751/752	752/753	753/754	754/755	755/756	756/757	757/758	758/759	759/760	760/761	761/762	762/763	763/764	764/765	765/766	766/767	767/768	768/769	769/770	770/771	771/772	772/773	773/774	774/775	775/776	776/777	777/778	778/779	779/780	780/781	781/782	782/783	783/784	784/785	785/786	786/787	787/788	788/789	789/790	790/791	791/792	792/793	793/794	794/795	795/796	796/797	797/798	798/799	799/800	800/801	801/802	802/803	803/804	804/805	805/806	806/807	807/808	808/809	809/810	810/811	811/812	812/813	813/814	814/815	815/816	816/817	817/818	818/819	819/820	820/821	821/822	822/823	823/824	824/825	825/826	826/827	827/828	828/829	829/830	830/831	831/832	832/833	833/834	834/835	835/836	836/837	837/838	838/839	839/840	840/841	841/842	842/843	843/844	844/845	845/846	846/847	847/848	848/849	849/850	850/851	851/852	852/853	853/854	854/855	855/856	856/857	857/858	858/859	859/860	860/861	861/862	862/863	863/864	864/865	865/866	866/867	867/868	868/869	869/870	870/871	871/872	872/873	873/874	874/875	875/876	876/877	877/878	878/879	879/880	880/881	881/882	882/883	883/884	884/885	885/886	886/887	887/888	888/889	889/890	890/891	891/892	892/893	893/894	894/895	895/896	896/897	897/898	898/899	899/900	900/901	901/902	902/903	903/904	904/905	905/906	906/907	907/908	908/909	909/910	910/911	911/912	912/913	913/914	914/915	915/916	916/917	917/918	918/919	919/920	920/921	921/922	922/923	923/924	924/925	925/926	926/927	927/928	928/929	929/930	930/931	931/932	932/933	933/934	934/935	935/936	936/937	937/938	938/939	939/940	940/941	941/942	942/943	943/944	944/945	945/946	946/947	947/948	948/949	949/950	950/951	951/952	952/953	953/954	954/955	955/956	956/957	957/958	958/959	959/960	960/961	961/962	962/963	963/964	964/965	965/966	966/967	967/968	968/969	969/970	970/971	971/972	972/973	973/974	974/975	975/976	976/977	977/978	978/979	979/980	980/981	981/982	982/983	983/984	984/985	985/986	986/987	987/988	988/989	989/990	990/991	991/992	992/993	993/994	994/995	995/996	996/997	997/998	998/999	999/1000	1000/1001	1001/1002	1002/1003	1003/1004	1004/1005	1005/1006	1006/1007	1007/1008	1008/1009	1009/1010	1010/1011	1011/1012	1012/1013	1013/1014	1014/1015	1015/1016	1016/1017	1017/1018	1018/1019	1019/1020	1020/1021	1021/1022	1022/1023	1023/1024	1024/1025	1025/1026	1026/1027	1027/1028	1028/1029	1029/1030	1030/1031	1031/1032	1032/1033	1033/1034	1034/1035	1035/1036	1036/1037	1037/1038	1038/1039	1039/1040	1040/1041	1041/1042	1042/1043	1043/

North Coast Area - Staffing Plan
2018-19 Summary of FTE's and Salary Requirements

**NOTE TO FINANCE: Please don't make changes to our pivot table - there are formula's feeding our summary page. Thanks!

Salaries by Business Line											
2018 / 2019	BL545	BL441	BL 411 Commercial Fishery	BL 411 PST EFM PST	BL 412 Recreational Fisheries	BL 480 Business Management	BL 430 Nisga'a	BL431 Strategies & Governance	BL810	BL810 PST	GRAND TOTAL
FTE Count	4.00	9.90	12.00	1.00	1.00	4.40	5.91	4.00	11.26	8.72	62.19
Requirement - FTE	4.00	8.96	8.46	1.00	0.96	4.81	5.52	3.98	9.43	7.06	54.18
TOTAL FTES	4.00	8.96	8.46	1.00	0.96	4.81	5.52	3.98	9.43	7.06	54.18
GAP	0.00	0.94	3.54	0.00	0.04	-0.41	0.39	0.02	1.83	1.66	8.01
Allocation	\$ 351,800	\$ 730,500	\$ 808,940	\$ 88,839	\$ 86,495	\$ 276,218	\$ 369,600	\$ 347,285	\$ 798,163	\$ 795,422	\$ 4,853,262
Transfers											
Conversion coverage											
Requirement - Base Salary	\$ 351,294	\$ 652,685	\$ 743,987	\$ 91,910	\$ 90,969	\$ 222,223	\$ 136,244	\$ 302,345	\$ 504,281	\$ 501,222	\$ 3,597,160
Overtime		\$ 9,387					\$ 22,887	\$ 46,689	\$ 252,794		\$ 331,738
Planned Staffing		\$ 64,341	\$ 42,424			\$ 53,995	\$ 255,150				\$ 415,910
TOTAL S&W	\$ 351,294	\$ 726,414	\$ 786,410	\$ 91,910	\$ 90,969	\$ 276,218	\$ 414,282	\$ 349,015	\$ 757,075	\$ 501,222	\$ 4,344,809
Funds Available	\$506	\$4,086	\$22,530	\$3,071	(\$4,474)	(\$0)	(\$44,682)	(\$1,730)	\$41,088	\$294,200	\$308,453
Grand Total by BL	\$506	\$4,086	\$22,530	\$19,458	(\$4,474)	(\$0)	(\$44,682)	(\$1,730)	\$335,288	\$335,288	\$308,453

Note: Above information includes Planned Staffing:

*this doesn't reflect the
S&W that will be JV'd
to Atmarco (up to \$38K)

Total S&W BL810 = 1,258,297
(expenditures + forecast)

Total S&W BL810
Allocation = 1,593,585

surplus = 335,288

335,288 x 1.2 = 402,345

A/ Staffing Requirements - not including additional project staff associated with O&M (These are allocations taken off the top - other staffing is accounted for in O&M dollars.)
updated from July 10 worksheet

CORE STAFF		PROJECT		Total FTE requirements according to 2017/2019	Of	staffing plans	
	Salary	PST	Abase			PST	Abase
Salmon_Core							
NC	4.17	7.00	7.31				3.80
SC		9.25	4.92	2.01			7.03
Fraser		14.70	8.46				5.73
Yukon		28.18	9.29				
		6.81	1.19	3.38			
Area total + salmon		65.94	31.17	5.39			16.56

	Total salary (\$\$) requirements excl contract s			Other	Total	Comments
	Salary		PST			
	Abase					
Salmon Core	628,704		724,533			
NC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5	796,163		433,785	121,173		192,472
SC	1,188,780		646,779	-		340,363
Fraser	2,205,357		681,149			301,482
Yukon	605,560		92,225	202,959		
Area total + salmon	5,426,563		2,578,471	324,132		834,317

	ARRAD		Fraser		TOTAL
	Core	S Coast	N Coast	Yukon	
2018-19					
1. Allocate Admin	25,000	66,684	88,503	49,912	301,634

2. Allocate PST. A-BASE O&M (based on 2016/17 proportions, for areas)

Core	ARRAD		S Coast		Fraser		TOTAL
	N Coast	S Coast	N Coast	S Coast	Fraser	Yukon	
2018/19 alloc (incl admin)	852,000	76,544	626,686	718,693	1,327,673	303,891	3,880,487
2018/19 alloc (excl admin)	827,000	76,544	555,151	652,009	1,239,170	253,979	3,603,853

2017-18	2017-18 (admin)	2017-18 (incl. admin)	2017-18 (excl. admin)
44,992.00	91,864.00	85,635.00	113,654.00
			64,097.00
			400,242.00
995,363.00	95,000.00	734,215.00	1,547,465.00
			357,969.00
950,371.00	95,000.00	642,351.00	1,433,811.00
			293,872.00
			4,169,828.00

Admin reduction	-	19,992.00	-	20,329.26	-	18,951.10	-	25,150.88	-	14,184.77	-	98,608.00
Project O&M reduction	-	123,371.00	-	18,456.16	-	87,199.82	-	102,413.72	-	194,641.35	-	565,975.48
Total	-	143,363.00	-	18,456.16	-	107,529.08	-	121,364.82	-	219,792.23	-	565,975.48
combined STAR	-13.0%			-13.6%		-13.6%		-13.6%		-13.6%		-13.6%

project O&M reduced by about 9.4%, relative (after accounting for S&W requirements)
n.b. additional slippage last year because NC

Masson, Colin

From: Holmes, John
Sent: Monday, July 23, 2018 11:41 AM
To: Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Luedke, Wilf; McConnell, Carmen
Subject: RE: For today's PSMT 2% Results Reserve Fund

A little more information from Reet on the reductions shown below

Coding will be needed from each Area that represents where you'd like the reduction applied. Please note the reduction can be applied to vote 1 Salary (in O&M equivalent) and/or O&M Source can be Abase, direct Abase or Bbase Multiple lines of coding can be provided.

This information will be needed by August 1st.

s.19(1)

John

(250) 756-7145
John.Holmes@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

From: Holmes, John
Sent: July-23-18 11:26 AM
To: Whitehouse, Timber; Masson, Colin; Millar, Nathan; Smith, Steve (Pacific); Luedke, Wilf; McConnell, Carmen
Subject: FW: For today's PSMT: 2% Results Reserve Fund

Hi All,

Please see below It is not good news Science has been hit with a bill of about 800K as our portion of Regional funding for the DM's 2% reserve fund This figure is based on the Vote 1 budget (i.e., BL 810 and PST among other items, but excluding OPP and other high profile initiatives). Carmel led the discussion among the Science Management Team last week and agreed to the prorate the reductions across Divisions and Areas based on Vote 1 budgets as shown in the Table below As budgets have already been distributed, Reet will need coding to recover these funds and will be contacting business managers for that coding by August 1

You will note that at the Divisional level we are looking at a bill of \$136K. I am already in a deficit position so this won't help But it means that I have no flexibility to cover deficits in your programs identified during the P5 or P8 reviews

I expect that you will identify whatever program cuts are taken to free up the funds as your highest priority for relief funding

The project list for relief funding is at about \$2.9 M (or \$3.3M if we also need to fund creel surveys previously funded by PICFI) We need to prioritize and rank the items on this list so that we know what projects will go forward and can communicate what we will not do and why once the level of relief funding is known Criteria to consider might include conservation concern (either legal, e.g., SARA, or biological, e.g., red-zone CUs), First Nations interest/concerns, international obligations (e.g., PST, CRT, etc.)

I will arrange a conference call later this week to discuss (likely Tuesday)

John

(250) 756-7145
John.Holmes@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

From: Dhillon, Reet
Sent: July-19-18 8:13 AM
To: XPAC SC Executive; Chamberlain, Jon; Thiess, Mary
Subject: For today's PSMT: 2% Results Reserve Fund

All - I ran some quick numbers for the 2% reduction. Below is what the reduction would look like in a prorated fashion, using initial budget for all programs. As these budgets have already been distributed, I would need the Branch Coordinators/Area Business Managers to provide the necessary coding.

We will discuss this at today's PSMT.

	Salary (all sources)	O&M (all sources)	2% results reserve fund reduction
RD/BMU	1,198,867	8,181	23,235
OSD	5,879,109	339,348	119,703
ESD	7,155,729	892,441	154,925
ADGT	4,350,281	257,855	88,705

CHS	4,518,990	168,512		90,233	
StAR	5,933,811	1,131,108		135,997	
NC	1,593,585	192,722		34,386	
SC	2,260,291	209,014		47,533	
BCI	3,720,526	359,007		78,530	
YTB	863,232	105,354		18,645	
				791,893	TOTAL

From: Nichol, Monica

Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2018 2:04 PM

To: Crockart, Jeanne; Egglefield, Chien-Yung Lily; Hughson, Lam; Krieger, Kelly; Lawrie, Kirsten; XPAC Pacific Region Business Managers

Cc: Ajani, Navroze; Dhesi, Hardeep; Gill, Puneet; Mankatala, Vic; Siu, Michael; Xu, Stella; Zheng, Raymond

Subject: FW: 2% Results Reserve Fund

Hi

Please see the additional 2% cut to be taken by your programs and the impact statements that will be required. Please have your coding for the cuts to your FMAs by August 7th.

Thanks!

Monica

From: Atwal, Randy

Sent: July-12-18 1:48 PM

To: XPAC RMC Members

Cc: XPAC RMC EA; Nichol, Monica

Subject: 2% Results Reserve Fund

Importance: High

Hi Everyone,

Follow up to our discussion at the June 21st Special RMC on the 2% Results Reserve Fund, as I had mentioned that day the 2% is no longer a risk management item and must be funded within the region. I had informed you all that after talking to the RDG I would come back with a plan to fund the 2% that worked best for region based on size of budget and ability to absorb the reduction and ability to secure additional funding from ADMs and other sources like Budget 2018 (Fisheries Act, Species at Risk, Spatial Plans, etc.).

The pro-ration break down can be found in the attached table; Policy, Communication, HR and Executive are excluded from the formula, \$300K will come directly from the Legal budget and the remaining \$2.3M is pro rated out to Science, EMB, FM, C&P, S&S/OEC and SCH based on initial vote 1 budget. All sources of vote 1 funds are available for use to fund the 2% reduction.

This reduction will require you all to work together in order to ensure the region comes in on budget at year end, if you have surplus funds identify them so they can be redirected to others, if you secure additional funding over and above what you require to fund your deficits then share it with others. There is no pot of funds this year within the region to cover off any deficits, you will all have to manage within your funding envelope or work with your NHQ counterparts to secure additional funding.

In order to inform the DM and CFO the RDG would like an impact statement from Carmel, Cheryl, Andy, Mike and Karen on what will be deferred or reduced in order to meet the 2% target. Please email Rebecca with a Cc to Bonnie, Sharan and myself by Monday July 23rd.

If you have any questions please contact me, also no decision has been made on what will be funded from the 2% Results Reserve Fund.

Thank you

Randy Atwal

Regional Director, Finance and Administration

Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Pacific Region

(604) 666 - 6045

Randy.Atwal@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

MacNeill, Jill

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: July-25-18 10:47 AM
To: Bate, Angela, Gotch, Steve
Cc: Brown, Laura (Pacific)
Subject: FW: Draft note s.16(2)
Attachments: Outline STAD ad memo_LLB.docx s.19(1)

Angela / Steve: any further suggestions? Do you support sending to Carmel with the proposed edits..?

Colin

-----Original Message-----

From: Brown, Laura (Pacific)
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 8:31 AM
To: Masson, Colin <Colin.Masson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Bate, Angela <Angela.Bate@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Gotch, Steve <Steve.Gotch@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: RE: Draft note

Thanks Colin,

A few comments and suggestions are in the attached version.

Laura

Laura L. Brown, PhD
Director, South Coast Area
Fisheries and Oceans Canada/Government of Canada Laura.L.Brown@dfo-mpo.gc.ca Tel: 250 756-7280/Cell: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Directrice, secteur de la côte sud Pêches et Océans Canada/Gouvernement du Canada Laura.L.Brown@dfo-mpo.gc.ca Tel: 250 756-7280/Tél. cell.: [REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: July-25-18 7:03 AM
To: Bate, Angela; Brown, Laura (Pacific); Gotch, Steve
Subject: Fw: Draft note

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

Original Message
From: Colin Masson [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 10:51 PM
To: Masson, Colin
Subject:

To Carmel
CC Andrew Thompson

Our objective in contacting you directly is to highlight our collective concerns with the continued under resourcing of salmon stock assessment programs and to support your continued efforts to search for both additional immediate relief and to champion the development and implementation of a long-term strategic solution.

While we appreciate that your team has clearly outlined both the regional and specific area-level impacts of this situation, we feel compelled to reiterate these as we concerned that these may be minimized while we are directly facing these impacts in our client interactions and in our mandate to implement sustainable salmon fisheries.

Commented [D1]: LLB I'm not sure what you mean What will be minimized? The impacts?

Area Directors fully appreciate that the under-resourcing is not simply a function of reduced Science funding in recent years, but the result of eroded regional funding from a variety of sources over the past 15+ years. Certainly priorities have changed along with budgets, however, we all currently face significantly enhanced expectations from Indigenous groups and the regional ability to meet well-established core salmon assessment programs is no longer possible with allocated funding. Initiatives such as the Salmon Stock Assessment and Harvest Management Framework (and others), have identified widespread risks to fisheries management, key program gaps, and inconsistent approaches to project prioritization. Partly as a result, the recent STAD program has directed over half the resources to Fraser SX and CN assessment with much of the balance directed at specific requirements associated with the Pacific Salmon Treaty. Precious little funding remains to assess the other salmon species (and the vast majority of conservation units), develop and monitor rebuilding plans and support fisheries management decision-making in a growing number of situations. Clearly program demands vastly exceed resourcing capacity.

To put this ongoing erosion in the context of the current budget situation, we found the "input" folder provided by Diana Dobson to be helpful as this listed the funds (by source) associated with actual project delivery for both this fiscal and last (table attached). The actual difference of operational project funding (including admin) from all sources, with the latest 2% reduction, is \$2.676 M. The effective impacts to program delivery are even greater when considering that

recreational monitoring costs are not included and that the inability to staff the required Salmon Data Unit positions exacerbate actual project impacts. Furthermore, prior to the Science reorganization, the Core Salmon Assessment Unit provided considerable guidance and additional support to the area assessment biologists and key programs, which is no longer available. While your efforts to maintain 'core' science funding to STAD is appreciated, implementing core salmon assessment programs is no longer achievable at current levels.

The impacts can not be minimized and in all areas, will directly affect relationships with First Nations and other stakeholders. For example, the cancellation of coho, chum and pink assessment programs in the North Coast will have direct fishery management impacts in the immediate future. Efforts to significantly rationalize Upper Skeena and Rivers Inlet chinook and sockeye assessments will also likely generate high profile responses.

Again, while recognizing that the current situation is driven by many factors, we support the recent discussions at RMC to search for a strategic approach moving forward. One such approach called for the completion of a STAD Program Review and the subsequent development of explicit plans with clear priorities. We believe that this needs to be done within a strong collaborative framework with Indigenous groups involved. The process must integrate the salmon stock assessment and harvest management framework with similar enhancement and habitat/ecosystem Frameworks. This would achieve a long-term integrated approach to assessment prioritization and WSP implementation.

In the short term, additional funding relief must be found as the current impacts will significantly retard the Department's ability to meet our fundamental fisheries management responsibilities and jeopardize our ability to effectively collaborate in the mid and long term with Indigenous groups and other stakeholders. We are ready and willing to help with this endeavor.

Meanwhile, Area Staff will work with Core Science to identify critical tasks and to identify the consequences of taking decisions to not complete Stock Assessment programs and projects.

Commented [D2]: I think it's important that we take an active part in this

Respectfully,

Areas Directors


, a review of regional have been undertaken conducted Various risk assessments, stakeholders all t the

Commented [D3]: This section is a little confusing

- Substantial new Science funding is targeted with none directed toward initiatives that directly support Fisheries Management – no new funding to deliver stock assessment programs
- Impact of science reorganization continues to impact the overall STAD capacity within the region and in the areas Where previously there were core salmon stock assessment senior bios with a regional species focus supporting the attention on the development and coordinated integration of of area based strategic assessment programs (Recently referred to as the Holtby model)
- Recent Program review on Salmon Stock Assessment for the regional Executive Spring 2018 outlined a the critical context for Salmon St Assessm and proposed a multi-year program Context and current critical situation can not be understated – range of issues associated with significant eroding of science funding for Sal St Assessment identified,
 - - lack of regionally defined standards
 - - data management and programs unable to meet program needs or stakeholder expectations
 - - poor documentation of programs .
 - - lack of consistent and transparent prioritization of programs
 - - impacts to fisheries sustainability from insufficient assessment data/advice
 - - expectations from Indigenous groups and stakeholders for engagement in collaborative science and assessment programs is unsupportable
 - -
- Vision for Stad program review provided

s.21(1)(b)

- Yes there are many opportunities for collaboration and engagement but issues of Indig capacity development , data access, collaborative development of programs , etc, etc require support and attention



MacNeill, Jill

From: Cox-Rogers, Steven
Sent: July-26-18 11:57 AM
To: Masson, Colin
Subject: RE: Area Concerns re: Salmon Stock Assessment Under Resourcing

Thanks Colin. Good, strong letter. Appreciate your attempts to date.

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: July 26, 2018 11:38 AM
To: Finnegan, Barry; Cox-Rogers, Steven; Beach, Katie; McGivney, Kate; Winther, Ivan; Davies, Shaun; Levesque, Wayne; Bergsma, Ian
Cc: McWilliam, Tracy; Cann, Christina; Leighton, Cynthia
Subject: FW: Area Concerns re: Salmon Stock Assessment Under Resourcing

FYI

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 12:56 PM
To: Lowe, Carmel <Carmel.Lowe@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Holmes, John <John.Holmes@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Cc: Bate, Angela <Angela.Bate@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Brown, Laura (Pacific) <Laura.L.Brown@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Gotch, Steve <Steve.Gotch@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Thomson, Andrew <Andrew.Thomson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Dobson, Diana <Diana.Dobson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: Area Concerns re: Salmon Stock Assessment Under Resourcing

Carmel:

Our objective in contacting you directly is to highlight our collective concerns with the continued under resourcing of salmon stock assessment programs and to support your continued efforts to search for both additional immediate relief and to champion the development and implementation of a long-term strategic solution.

While we appreciate that your team has clearly outlined both the regional and specific area-level impacts of this situation, we feel compelled to reiterate these as we are concerned that these impacts may be minimized while we are directly facing these impacts in our client interactions and in our mandate to implement sustainable salmon fisheries.

Area Directors fully appreciate that the under-resourcing is not simply a function of reduced Science funding in recent years, but the result of eroded regional funding from a variety of sources over the past 15+ years. Certainly priorities have changed along with budgets, however, we all currently face significantly enhanced expectations from Indigenous groups and the regional ability to meet well-established core salmon assessment programs is no longer possible with allocated funding. Initiatives such as the Salmon Stock Assessment and Harvest Management Framework (and others), have identified widespread risks to fisheries management, key program gaps, and inconsistent approaches to project prioritization. Partly as a result, the recent STAD program has directed over half the resources to Fraser SX and CN assessment with much of the balance directed at specific requirements associated with the Pacific Salmon Treaty. Precious little funding remains to assess the other salmon species (and the vast majority of conservation units), develop and monitor rebuilding plans and support fisheries management decision-making in a growing number of situations. Clearly program demands vastly exceed resourcing capacity.

To put this ongoing erosion in the context of the current budget situation, we found the "input" folder provided by Diana Dobson to be helpful as this listed the funds (by source) associated with actual project delivery for both this fiscal and last (table attached). The actual difference of operational project funding (including admin) from all sources, with the latest 2% reduction, is \$2.676 M. The effective impacts to program delivery are even greater when considering that recreational monitoring costs are not included and that the inability to staff the required Salmon Data Unit positions exacerbate actual project impacts. Furthermore prior to the Science reorganization, the Core Salmon Assessment Unit provided considerable guidance and additional support to the area assessment biologists and key programs, which is no longer available. While your efforts to maintain 'core' science funding to STAD is appreciated, implementing core salmon assessment programs is no longer achievable at current levels.

The impacts can not be minimized and in all areas, will directly affect relationships with First Nations and other stakeholders. For example, the cancellation of coho, chum and pink assessment programs in the North Coast will have direct fishery management impacts in the immediate future. Efforts to significantly rationalize Upper Skeena and Rivers Inlet chinook and sockeye assessments will also likely generate high profile responses.

Again, while recognizing that the current situation is driven by many factors, we support the recent discussions at RMC to search for a strategic approach moving forward. One such approach called for the completion of a STAD Program Review and the subsequent development of explicit plans with clear priorities. We believe that this needs to be done within a strong collaborative framework with Indigenous groups involved. The process must integrate the salmon stock assessment and harvest management framework with similar enhancement and habitat/ecosystem Frameworks. This would achieve a long-term integrated approach to assessment prioritization and WSP implementation.

In the short term, additional funding relief must be found as the current impacts will significantly retard the Department's ability to meet our fundamental fisheries management responsibilities and jeopardize our ability to effectively collaborate in the mid and long term with Indigenous groups and other stakeholders. We are ready and willing to help with this endeavor.

Meanwhile, Area Staff will work with Core Science to identify critical tasks and to identify the consequences of taking decisions to not complete Stock Assessment programs and projects.

Respectfully,

Areas Directors

FUNDS ASSOCIATED WITH PROJECT DELIVERY NOT CORE STAFF S&W

(In O&M Dollars - a portion of project-directed PST funds are transferred as S&W)

Year	Source	STAR	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
2018-19	A-Base	-	71,535	66,684	88,503	49,912	276,634
2018-19	PST	903,544	555,151	652,009	1,239,170	253,979	3,603,853
2018-19	PST Integrity	451,000		99,500		188,000	738,500
2018-19	Cohen				186,150		186,150
2018-19	NEF/SEF						-
2018-19	PICFI						-
2018-19	Other			352,000			
2018-19	Relief						-
2018-19	Total	1,354,544	626,686	1,170,193	1,513,823	491,891	4,805,137
2017-18	A-Base		91,864	85,635	113,654	64,097	355,250
2017-18	PST	1,045,371	642,351	754,423	1,433,811	293,872	4,169,828
2017-18	PST Integrity	224,000	65,000	189,500	215,000	30,000	723,500
2017-18	Cohen				219,000		219,000
2017-18	NEF/SEF	485,000					485,000
2017-18	PICFI			318,000			318,000
2017-18	Other						
2017-18	Relief	70,000	102,800	310,201	589,549	120,833	1,193,383
2017-18	Total	1,824,371	902,015	1,657,758	2,571,015	508,802	7,463,961
All Sources		- 469,827 -	275,329 -	487,565 -	1,057,192 -	16,911 -	2,306,824

MacNeill, Jill

From: Cox-Rogers, Steven
Sent: August-02-18 12:49 PM
To: Masson, Colin
Subject: RE: letter

Just there are proper channels to release concerns that is all...you would not have sent it around had you known it would end up where it has..Not that the issue does not need this type of exposure, but does not say much about internal integrity.

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: Thursday, August 02, 2018 12:17 PM
To: Cox-Rogers, Steven
Subject: RE: letter

s.21(1)(b)

It may be, [REDACTED] - it was an internal email that was not classified -= while I would like to know [REDACTED] I am not certain how that might be helpful in the end..

What are you thinking...?

-----Original Message-----

From: Cox-Rogers, Steven
Sent: Thursday, August 2, 2018 12:11 PM
To: Masson, Colin <Colin.Masson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: letter

Colin..thinking more about our discussion, it is pretty serious if that letter was distributed outside of the dept [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

	FTE Allocation	2018/2019 utilization	incl. ot
SALMON_CORE	18.00	13.31	
NC	16.29	19.98	
SC	30.40	30.19	
Fraser	47.86	43.20	
Yukon	11.75	11.38	
Total	124.30	118.06	

Total FTE requirements according to 2018/2019 staffing plans				
	Salary		O&M	
	Abase	PST	Abase	PST
Salmon_Core	7.00	6.31		
NC	9.25	4.92	2.01	3.80
SC	14.70	8.46		7.03
Fraser	28.18	9.29		5.73
Yukon	6.81	1.19	3.38	
Area total + salmo	65.94	30.17	5.39	16.56

Total Based on latest staffing plans

Indet	13.31
	27.48
	50.64
	88.11
	96.11
	39.80

Salmon_Core	
NC	
SC	
Fraser	
Yukon	
Area total + salmo	

New: Abase & PST covers only remaining salmon sec & Coord (partial) -some individuals

Total salary (\$\$) requirements (excl contract settlements) according to 2018/2019 staffing plans				
	Salary		O&M	
	Abase	PST	Abase	PST
Salmon_Core	628,704	626,333		
NC	798,163	433,785	121,173	192,472
SC	1,188,780	646,779		340,363
Fraser	2,205,357	681,149		301,482
Yukon	605,560	92,225	202,959	
Area total + salmo	5,426,563	2,480,271	324,132	834,317

% Salary
15.9%
15.6%
23.2%
#REF!
8.8%

1,255,037
1,231,948
1,835,559
2,886,506
697,784

Salmon_Core	
NC	
SC	
Fraser	
Yukon	
Area total + salmo	

Total FTE requirements according to 2018/2019 staffing plans

Salary	
Abase	Abase
8.48	6.00
7.01	4.69
14.00	8.00
27.63	9.08
6.79	1.00
64	29
	8
	15

Indet 14.48
11.70
22.00
36.71
7.79

Total 14.48
26.18
48.18
84.89
92.68

Based on latest staffing plans

Total salary (\$\$) requirements (excl contract settlements) according to 2018/2019 staffing | New. Abase & PST covers only remaining salmon sec & Cool

Salary	
Abase	Abase
633,745	592,692
601,696	414,784
1,123,561	596,801
2,045,808	663,463
569,124	78,235
4,973,934	2,345,975
	470,360
	848,107

1226437
1,016,480
1,720,362
2,709,271
647,359

1226437
1,300,274
2,035,819
3,132,444
943,402

Wakelin, Amy E

From: McWilliam, Tracy
Sent: 2018–September-19 12:10 PM
To: Wakelin, Amy E
Subject: FW: Area Concerns re: Salmon Stock Assessment Under Resourcing

As discussed

Tracy McWilliam
Business Manager, North Coast Area and Yukon Transboundary Rivers Area
Fisheries and Oceans Canada / Government of Canada
tracy.mcwilliam@dfo-mpo.gc.ca / Tel: 250-627-3418 / Fax: 250-627-3495

Gestionnaire des affaires, Région de la Côte-Nord et Secteur du Yukon Rivières Transfrontalier
Pêches et Océans Canada / Gouvernement du Canada
tracy.mcwilliam@dfo-mpo.gc.ca / Tel: 250-627-3418 / Télécopieur: 250-627-3495

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: July-26-18 11:38 AM
To: Finnegan, Barry; Cox-Rogers, Steven; Beach, Katie; McGivney, Kate; Winther, Ivan; Davies, Shaun; Levesque, Wayne; Bergsma, Ian
Cc: McWilliam, Tracy; Cann, Christina; Leighton, Cynthia
Subject: FW: Area Concerns re: Salmon Stock Assessment Under Resourcing

FYI

From: Masson, Colin
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 12:56 PM
To: Lowe, Carmel <Carmel.Lowe@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Holmes, John <John.Holmes@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Cc: Bate, Angela <Angela.Bate@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Brown, Laura (Pacific) <Laura.L.Brown@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Gotch, Steve <Steve.Gotch@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Thomson, Andrew <Andrew.Thomson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>; Dobson, Diana <Diana.Dobson@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>
Subject: Area Concerns re: Salmon Stock Assessment Under Resourcing

Carmel:

Our objective in contacting you directly is to highlight our collective concerns with the continued under resourcing of salmon stock assessment programs and to support your continued efforts to search for both additional immediate relief and to champion the development and implementation of a long-term strategic solution.

While we appreciate that your team has clearly outlined both the regional and specific area-level impacts of this situation, we feel compelled to reiterate these as we concerned that these impacts may be minimized while we are directly facing these impacts in our client interactions and in our mandate to implement sustainable salmon fisheries.

Area Directors fully appreciate that the under-resourcing is not simply a function of reduced Science funding in recent years, but the result of eroded regional funding from a variety of sources over the past 15+ years. Certainly priorities have changed along with budgets, however, we all currently face significantly enhanced expectations from Indigenous groups and the regional ability to meet well-established core salmon assessment programs is no longer possible with

allocated funding. Initiatives such as the Salmon Stock Assessment and Harvest Management Framework (and others), have identified widespread risks to fisheries management, key program gaps, and inconsistent approaches to project prioritization. Partly as a result, the recent STAD program has directed over half the resources to Fraser SX and CN assessment with much of the balance directed at specific requirements associated with the Pacific Salmon Treaty. Precious little funding remains to assess the other salmon species (and the vast majority of conservation units), develop and monitor rebuilding plans and support fisheries management decision-making in a growing number of situations. Clearly program demands vastly exceed resourcing capacity.

To put this ongoing erosion in the context of the current budget situation, we found the "input" folder provided by Diana Dobson to be helpful as this listed the funds (by source) associated with actual project delivery for both this fiscal and last (table attached). The actual difference of operational project funding (including admin) from all sources, with the latest 2% reduction, is \$2.676 M. The effective impacts to program delivery are even greater when considering that recreational monitoring costs are not included and that the inability to staff the required Salmon Data Unit positions exacerbate actual project impacts. Furthermore prior to the Science reorganization, the Core Salmon Assessment Unit provided considerable guidance and additional support to the area assessment biologists and key programs, which is no longer available. While your efforts to maintain 'core' science funding to STAD is appreciated, implementing core salmon assessment programs is no longer achievable at current levels.

The impacts can not be minimized and in all areas, will directly affect relationships with First Nations and other stakeholders. For example, the cancellation of coho, chum and pink assessment programs in the North Coast will have direct fishery management impacts in the immediate future. Efforts to significantly rationalize Upper Skeena and Rivers Inlet chinook and sockeye assessments will also likely generate high profile responses.

Again, while recognizing that the current situation is driven by many factors, we support the recent discussions at RMC to search for a strategic approach moving forward. One such approach called for the completion of a STAD Program Review and the subsequent development of explicit plans with clear priorities. We believe that this needs to be done within a strong collaborative framework with Indigenous groups involved. The process must integrate the salmon stock assessment and harvest management framework with similar enhancement and habitat/ecosystem Frameworks. This would achieve a long-term integrated approach to assessment prioritization and WSP implementation.

In the short term, additional funding relief must be found as the current impacts will significantly retard the Department's ability to meet our fundamental fisheries management responsibilities and jeopardize our ability to effectively collaborate in the mid and long term with Indigenous groups and other stakeholders. We are ready and willing to help with this endeavor.

Meanwhile, Area Staff will work with Core Science to identify critical tasks and to identify the consequences of taking decisions to not complete Stock Assessment programs and projects.

Respectfully,

Areas Directors

FUNDS ASSOCIATED WITH PROJECT DELIVERY NOT CORE STAFF S&W

(In O&M Dollars - a portion of project-directed PST funds are transferred as S&W)

Year	Source	STAR	NC	SC	Fraser	Yukon	Total
2018-19	A-Base	-	71,535	66,684	88,503	49,912	276,634
2018-19	PST	903,544	555,151	652,009	1,239,170	253,979	3,603,853
2018-19	PST Integrity	451,000		99,500		188,000	738,500
2018-19	Cohen				186,150		186,150
2018-19	NEF/SEF						-
2018-19	PICFI						-
2018-19	Other			352,000			
2018-19	Relief						-
2018-19	Total	1,354,544	626,686	1,170,193	1,513,823	491,891	4,805,137
2017-18	A-Base		91,864	85,635	113,654	64,097	355,250
2017-18	PST	1,045,371	642,351	754,423	1,433,811	293,872	4,169,828
2017-18	PST Integrity	224,000	65,000	189,500	215,000	30,000	723,500
2017-18	Cohen				219,000		219,000
2017-18	NEF/SEF	485,000					485,000
2017-18	PICFI			318,000			318,000
2017-18	Other						
2017-18	Relief	70,000	102,800	310,201	589,549	120,833	1,193,383
2017-18	Total	1,824,371	902,015	1,657,758	2,571,015	508,802	7,463,961
All Sources		- 469,827 -	275,329 -	487,565 -	1,057,192 -	16,911 -	2,306,824

STAD - Team : to address Budget Organization.

Tina
→ Katie
Cindy
Tracy
Cohn

Process:

STAD program - Katie B.
Framework - Cindy } Joint Lead

update every 2 weeks
\$4,000 Shopping } free ->

Area	Type	Project	Incremental Requirement
YUK/TRB	Stikine River	Chinook assessment program - PST	121
YUK/TRB	Taku River	Sockeye GSI - run reconstruction	48
YUK/TRB	Yukon	GSI Chinook, Chum	30
YUK/TRB	Taku & Stikine Rivers	Air charter cost relief	35
Fraser	Chinook	Chilliwack Chinook Key Stream	60
Fraser	Chinook	Fall Indicator (Harrison)	110
Fraser	Creels	Chilliwack Rec Fishereis Assess.	80
Fraser	Creels	Lower Fraser Rec Fisheries Assess.	110
Fraser	Creels	Nicomen Stave Fisheries Asses.	14
Fraser	Sockeye	Birkenhead Fence	44
Fraser	Sockeye	Bowron Adults	15
Fraser	Sockeye	Late Stuart Adults	57
Fraser	Sockeye	Portage Creek	5
Fraser	Sockeye	Fraser Valley Sockeye Roving (Intens	57
Fraser	Sockeye	Nadina Adults	10
Fraser	Sockeye	N/S Thompson Visuals (Intensive)	80
Fraser	Sockeye	Stellako River Adults	57
Fraser	Sockeye	Quesnel system DIDSON	161
Fraser	Sockeye	Early Eagle Adults	66
Fraser	Sockeye	Seymour Adults	129
Fraser	Creels	Lower Fraser Fall Rec Fisheries Asse	60
Fraser	Sockeye	Adams River/Shuswap Lake Adults	296
Fraser	Sockeye	Lower/Middle Shuswap Adults	127
Fraser	Sockeye	Harrison River Adults M-R	196
Fraser	Administration	Project funded FTE's - CR-04 HRG (0	31
Fraser	Administration	Project funded FTE's - BI-02 CH/CO (49
Fraser	Administration	Project funded FTE's - Cm/Cr EG-05 (36
South Coast	Coho	Black Creek CWT Indicator	50
South Coast	CWT Ind STK	Tag application - SBC	70
South Coast	Catch Monitoring	Emergency boat refit	60
South Coast	Environmental Monitoring	Cowichan chum didson	20
South Coast	Catch Monitoring	Oct. Chum creel survey	25
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Nimpkish CN and CM	20
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Mainland Inlet Pink	20
South Coast	Lab Processing	Otolith lab support	20
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Sooke CN	10
South Coast	Ext. Monitoring	Juveline Sockeye surveys	20
South Coast	Lab Processing	Support A'legay scale lab	10
South Coast	CWT Ind STK	Black Creek juvenile monitoring	15
South Coast	CWT Ind STK	Nanaimo River	25
North Coast	CO	Morictown Coho Marking and enume	15
North Coast	CO	Toboggan Coho Smolt mark rate anal	17
North Coast	CO	Toboggan CWT cost and application	15
North Coast	Sx	Kitwanga Weir Adult Enumeration	30
North Coast	CN	CC: Video estimating REC adipose fir	4
North Coast	Sx	Sockeye Docee Fence	25
North Coast	Sx	Kitwanga Smolt Program	25
North Coast	CO	Deena CWT	22
North Coast	CO	Middle/Lower Skeena visual surveys	5
North Coast	CO	Area 6 coho escapement: Charter Pat	6
North Coast	Sx	Owikeno Lake Sockeye: Visual Surve	15
North Coast	CM	Area 6 Chum otolith sampling	70
North Coast	Sx	Atnarko (upper) sockeye visual survey	6
North Coast	CN	Upper Skeena: Visual Surveys	20
North Coast	CO	Lower Skeena coho visual estimates	15
North Coast	Sx	Morictown Sockeye Escapement M/f	15
North Coast	CO	Tlell River Weir: Coho Escapement	8
North Coast	Sx	Upper Skeena sockeye visual surveys	9
North Coast	Sx	Sockeye indicator lake surveys	23
North Coast	multiple	CC: abundance indicators visual sur	25

North Coast	Multiple	Lower Skeena: Visual Surveys	7
North Coast	CN	Rivers Inlet Early Chinook: Visual Sur	8
Regional Scien	PST Tech	MRP	100
Regional Scien	Fraser sockeye	E-Watch	30
Regional Scien	PST Tech	PST Tech Travel	30